

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the Reconvened IGO INGO PDP Working Group on Red Cross names call on Wednesday, 18 October 2017 at 13:00 UTC

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_ApJEB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-

[05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=DHnvzmlLiZnkKNwp9w_Pojudmg_tzTXk56wvVbesofKc&s=PkKRq7rvpVswCqS1Z8OzSBXvyU_wN_TKPT81ONssOGo&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_sites_default_files_packages_reserved-2Dnames_ReservedNames.xml&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-)

Thomas Rickert:HI alll, one moment , please!

Osvaldo Novoa:hello all, I am in Brazil in a hotel with a very slow WiFi, so my access is somewhat limited

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):sorry - coming in late

Mary Wong:No worries, Thomas - we're a team!

Mary Wong:Here is the link from Berry's email: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_sites_default_files_packages_reserved-2Dnames_ReservedNames.xml&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-

[05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=DHnvzmlLiZnkKNwp9w_Pojudmg_tzTXk56wvVbesofKc&s=0TUw0ggyJ7gxZDYPxmYhhigD-IcaRavoGRsd6maPCjs&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_sites_default_files_packages_reserved-2Dnames_ReservedNames.xml&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-)

Mary Wong:And note that these Red Cross names are reserved on an interim basis until the issue is resolved, which is what our reconvened WG has been tasked to try to do.

Jeff Neuman:Just to confirm - the list at that link - is that the list of currently protected names, or the list they want protected

Mary Wong:Current

Julie Bisland:Stephane, you should be able to speak into phone, as it is unmuted

Julie Bisland:maybe move on while the operator troubleshoots his line

Mary Wong:@Jeff, it is possible that the final list will look somewhat different from what is at the current link.

Hankins:Sorry for this please go ahead...

Berry Cobb:@Jeff, the link is what is currently protected. The attached word doc was a list at a first attempt to reconcile the names.

Berry Cobb:@Jeff, those acronyms and out of scope for this reconvened group.

Berry Cobb:"are" not and

Berry Cobb:Apologies to the WG. I have contractors directly behind me with too much noise to contribute via audio.

Mary Wong:@Jeff, the list is currently what is (temporarily) reserved - that is why they are in the document marked up by Stephane and his team.

Jeff Neuman:But acronymns are on both lists

Jeff Neuman:both the list from Berry as well as the one from Stephane

Mary Wong:At the moment, yes - b/c they were reserved per Board direction on an interim basis.

Mary Wong:We only have that one list at the moment - we don't yet have a list FROM this group since we haven't agreed on the formula and criteria.

Jeff Neuman:Under the GNSO policy that we passed, what is the list of names that would be protected. That is what I would like to see

Jeff Neuman:not the ones that are currently protected by the Board

Jeff Neuman:or the ones proposed

Mary Wong:@Jeff - we don't have a full list of those, with their DNS labels and language variants - since those were NOT adopted by the Board (hence our work here in this group), and so have NOT moved into implementation.

Jeff Neuman:Thanks Mary, I have my hand raised

Jeff Neuman:to discuss

Mary Wong:You're welcome! So, basically all we have as an implementable list (which is what is on screen here) is the list of a few RC identifiers that were approved under the original PDP (Page 1), and the rest of the list is the TEMPORARY reserved list (which is why acronyms are included, but which is NOT expected to be the final list coming out of our work). Hopefully that helps.

Julie Bisland:reminder: please mute

Mary Wong:Stephane also had a number of comments and specific questions in his email that we have not extracted for this document, but we have them ready for discussion if needed.

Berry Cobb:I'd just like to point out that the use of "hypens" is a part of that algorithm when we convert the formal names. So that is automatic in that regard.

Mary Wong:I believe Stephane is referring to this part of his email: "Names already included by the Board in the list of reserved names such as "magen david adom", "red star of david", but also "international red cross and red crescent movement" in the 6 UN languages (that is the Statutory name endorsed by the States), etc. "

Mary Wong:Done, Thomas

Jeff Neuman:So, adding the word "movement" to all of them?

Jeff Neuman:So long as we are just talking in terms of full names and not abbreviations, I guess no issues

Hankins:Mary - I am referring to the name "International Movement of the Red Cross and Red Crescent", that is the statutory name of the Movement / endorsed by States

Jeff Neuman:internationalredandcrossredcrescentmovement (Is that more than 63 characters?)

Berry Cobb:63 Char issues will be an output of the algorithm. This discussion is about which formal designations will be reserved.

Berry Cobb:Should they be >63, they will not be added to any future reserved list

Jeff Neuman:internationalmovementoftheredcrossandredcrescent.TLD

Jeff Neuman:I hear you Berry....I just like to visualize

Jeff Neuman:Lets just make sure we are really precise in the principle

Berry Cobb:Time check - 15 minutes.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):We need to protect usual names - although this is a criterion it would take form of a finite number of names pursuant to the list produced by the ICRC

Mason Cole:Thomas, should it be defined as the official "full" name for avoidance of doubt?

Osvaldo Novoa:Sorry, I have to leave now. I will read the transcript. Best regards.

Thomas Rickert:bye Osvaldo

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Jeff: I will leave that to Stephane -but we should avoid a chicken and egg problem as in the end the criterion will be complemented with a finite list the way I understand this effort

Jeff Neuman:Right....so if the official name is "American National Red Cross", but the "usual name" is "American Red Cross", I think that is fine.

Jeff Neuman:Can we change "usual" to "commonly known"

Mary Wong:I've tried to capture all this in the chat, Jeff

Mary Wong:Notes, I mean, sorry

Jeff Neuman:I think there is yes

Mary Wong:We will need a specific list to implement - possibly with a clear formula to update, but it can't be open ended.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):I feel staff would need to rely on the info offered by the ICRC, which I presume will be reasonably limited

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):the burden on the ICRC should be proportionate and reasonable

Jeff Neuman:Jorge - I am not saying this is a huge burden. If it is a "usual" name, then how hard would it be to point to examples

Jeff Neuman:In other words...for American Red Cross, you just have to say "look at Redcross.org"

Jeff Neuman:That's not a burden

Jeff Neuman:For British Red Cross, look at "https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A_www.redcross.org.uk_&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=DHnvzmlLiZnkKNwp9w_Pojudmg_tzTXk56wvVbesofKc&s=Cb5hm62h1TcOFv89K6d-VqEqVWLDkWf6Q-sRO_k-wrw&e="

Mary Wong:From the staff side, we request that ICANN not be placed in the position of making decisions as to what goes and what does not go on the list.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Thomas: if you invite us for a good coffee, I'll be there ;-)

Mary Wong:We will send a Doodle poll if that is better

Jeff Neuman:Mary - using the examples I provided, I think we can both affirmatively state that ICANN will not be in a tough position

Jeff Neuman:But if the Board wants us to revise our policies, staff should be willing to take on additional responsibilities

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):we have to balance trust and burden of proof...

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):thanks all and bye!

avri doria:bye