RDS-WHOIS2-RT
Leadership Call #8

Leadership Call

10 October 2017

RDS/WHOIS2-RT Leadership Agenda

1. Face-to-Face meeting # 1: Debrief and sign-off needed on :
   1. Decisions reached & Action Items
   2. Scope & Objectives
   3. RDS-WHOIS2 Objectives & Expressions of interest from RT members
   4. Questions: WHOIS1 Implementation Briefings
   5. Work plan
2. RDS Plenary Call Suggested Agenda #10:
   1. Welcome, roll-call, SoI
   2. Scope & Objectives
   3. Work plan
   4. Confirm action items/decisions reached
   5. A.O.B.
3. Confirm action items/decisions reached
4. A.O.B

Face-to-Face meeting # 1: Debrief and sign-off needed on

- **Decisions Reached & Action Items**

- **Scope & Objectives**

Updated version based on input received during the meeting.

- **RDS-WHOIS2 Objectives & Expressions of Interest from RT**

Matrix to reflect the expressions of interest from RT members. Review Team leadership to advise on composition of each workforce.

- **Questions: WHOIS1 Implementation Briefings**

Shared on the list - can this be considered approved?

- **Workplan**

RDS-WHOIS2-RT Objectives (tentatively agreed 3 October)

Topic 1 – WHOIS RT1 Recommendations

    Rec #1: Strategic Priority

    Rec #2: Single WHOIS Policy

    Rec #3: Outreach

    Rec #4: Compliance

    Rec #5-9: Data Accuracy

    Rec #10: Privacy/Proxy Services

    Rec #11: Common Interface

    Rec #12-14: IDNs

    Rec #15: Detailed Plan

    Rec #16: Annual Reports

Topic 2 – Anything New

Topic 3 – Law Enforcement Needs

Topic 4 – Consumer Trust

Topic 5 – Safeguard Registrant Data

Topic 7 - Compliance

Topic 1 – WHOIS RT1 Recommendations

Consistent with ICANN's mission and Bylaws, Section 4.6(e)(iv), the review team will (a) evaluate the extent to which ICANN Org has implemented each prior Directory Service Review recommendation (noting differences if any between recommended and implemented steps), (b) assess to the degree practical the extent to which implementation of each recommendation was effective in addressing the issue identified by the prior RT or generated additional information useful to management and evolution of WHOIS (RDS), and (c) determine if any specific measurable steps should be recommended to enhance results achieved through the prior RT's recommendations. This includes developing a framework to measure and assess the effectiveness of recommendations, and applying that approach to all areas of WHOIS originally assessed by the prior RT (as applicable).

Topic 2 – Anything New

Consistent with ICANN's mission and Bylaws, Section 4.6(e)(ii), the review team will assess the effectiveness of today's WHOIS (the now current gTLD RDS, including cumulative changes made to the then-current RDS which was assessed by the prior RT) by (a) inventorying changes made to WHOIS policies and procedures since the prior RT completed its work, (b) using that inventory to identify significant new areas of today's WHOIS (if any) which the team believes should be reviewed, and (c) determining if any specific measurable steps should be recommended to enhance effectiveness in those new areas.

Topic 3 – Law Enforcement Needs

Consistent with ICANN's mission and [Bylaws](), Section 4.6(e)(ii), the review team will assess the extent to which the implementation of today's WHOIS (the current gTLD RDS) meets legitimate needs of law enforcement for swiftly accessible, accurate and complete data by (a) establishing a working definition of "law enforcement" used in this review, (b) identifying an approach used to determine the extent to which law enforcement needs are met by today's WHOIS policies and procedures, (c) identifying high-priority gaps (if any) in meeting those needs, and (d) recommending specific measureable steps (if any) the team believes are important to fill gaps. Note that determining which law enforcement requests are in fact valid will not be addressed by this review.

Topic 4 – Consumer Trust

Consistent with ICANN's mission and [Bylaws](), Section 4.6(e)(ii), the review team will assess the extent to which the implementation of today's WHOIS (the current gTLD RDS) meets legitimate needs to enhance consumer trust in gTLD domain names by (a) agreeing upon a working definition of "consumer" and "consumer trust" used in this review, (b) identifying the approach used to determine the extent to which consumer trust needs are met, (c) identifying high-priority gaps (if any) in meeting those needs, and (d) recommending specific measureable steps (if any) the team believes are important to fill gaps.

Topic 5 – Safeguard Registrant Data

Consistent with ICANN's mission and Bylaws, Section 4.6(e)(ii), the review team will assess the extent to which the implementation of today's WHOIS (the current gTLD RDS) meets legitimate needs for safeguarding registrant data by (a) identifying the lifecycle of registrant data, (b) determining if/how data is safeguarded in each phase of that lifecycle, (c) identifying high-priority gaps (if any) in safeguarding registrant data, and (d) recommending specific measureable steps (if any) the team believes are important to fill gaps.

Topic 7 - Compliance

Consistent with ICANN's mission to ensure the stable and secure operation of the Internet's unique identifier systems by enforcing policies, procedures and principles associated with registry and registrar obligations to maintain and provide access to accurate and up-to-date information about registered names and name servers, the review team will (to the extent that this is not already covered in prior RT recommendations), (a) assess the effectiveness and transparency of ICANN enforcement of existing policy relating to WHOIS (RDS) through Contractual Compliance actions, structure and processes, including consistency of enforcement actions and availability of related data, (b) identifying high-priority procedural or data gaps (if any), and (c) recommending specific measureable steps (if any) the team believes are important to fill gaps.

Plenary Call #10

Suggested plenary agenda:

October 12, 2017; 12:30 – 14:00 UTC

1. Welcome, roll-call, SoI
2. Scope & Objectives
3. Work plan
4. Confirm action items/decisions reached
5. A.O.B.

Confirm Action Items/Decisions Reached

A.O.B.