

**Adobe Connect - Chat Transcript from RDS WHOIS2 Plenary #10
12 October 2017 @ 12:30 UTC**

Brenda Brewer 2: (10/12/2017 07:17) Good day! Welcome to RDS-WHOIS2 Plenary Meeting #10 on 12 October 2017 @ 12:30 UTC!

Erika Mann: (07:35) I just joined ... sorry for the delay but I couldn't get into the system. Can hear you, will be probably not able to talk. Low bandwidth.

Alice Jansen: (07:36) As a reminder, legal docs can be found here https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_display_WHO_Legal-2BDocuments&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=01WLn9RcTSKOeyOD4DDh6XEAduVvpsyTIQg2S4bOkco&s=-w3TYkIZ-bqMdlvEcb4b4JSBT45Fcd1xGsVVCldb7E&e=

Erika Mann: (07:41) I agree

Erika Mann: (07:44) We discussed it but have not decided about a timeframe

Erika Mann: (07:44) Yes, we did

Carlton Samuels: (07:46) Good morning all. Apologies.

Carlton Samuels: (07:46) Used the new app and would you know, choose to carry on this morning!

Carlton Samuels: (07:47) @Alan: 1

Erika Mann: (07:48) + 1

Erika Mann: (07:49) Just use instead the term 'legitimate' again

Carlton Samuels: (07:49) @Alan: It seems to be saying which ones did not meet their needs so we cannot determine a 'valid' need. Let 'em go to court for that.

Erika Mann: (07:50) ... or how about saying instead of 'legitimate' in each case 'defined

Erika Mann: (07:50) Sorry I'm still thinking, ignore my last posting

Erika Mann: (07:51) 'valid' can be problematic but it's a good hint that in certain areas ICANN may have to access the 'legitimate' need and may need to refuse sometimes requests

Carlton Samuels: (07:51) @Erika: let's look at just the subset of requests and from that subset only, determine if they are fulfilled. Those are legitimate and did the WHOIS fulfilled these requests

Erika Mann: (07:52) ICANN will have to judge sometimes certain law requests, valid is a good indication that ICANN may have to refuse sometimes requests

Erika Mann: (07:54) Strange place I'm in. Even on the phone you can't hear me.

Erika Mann: (07:55) I agree with Alan

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (07:55) +1 Alan

Carlton Samuels: (07:55) @Alan: +1

Dmitry Belyavskiy: (07:55) I agree with Alan too

Carlton Samuels: (07:56) @Lisa: Right

Erika Mann: (08:01) Just offering help if needed

Erika Mann: (08:03) OECD are a kind of blueprint for introducing laws or action plans. The Safe Harbor agreement for example between EU - US went far beyond what OECD did

Lisa Phifer: (08:04) Erika would you like to work with Alan on the Action Item to refine the wording of this one?

Erika Mann: (08:04) Lisa, yes, happy to do this!

Erika Mann: (08:08) Agree Alan

Erika Mann: (08:10) Okay

Erika Mann: (08:11) Agree with Alan

Carlton Samuels: (08:11) @Alan: Yes, let's keep it open

Carlton Samuels: (08:13) @Alan: That's why I thought we agreed we would look at "Internationalised" registration data

Carlton Samuels: (08:14) @Alan: Ah yes. Good catch!

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (08:17) just have to step out for 2 mins, brb

Alice Jansen: (08:18) :-)

Lili SUN: (08:21) What does "ASK" means?

Erika Mann: (08:21) You can move me around, happy to support anything that would relate to legal implications, for example the top two groups.

Alan Greenberg: (08:22) Lili, it means we will ask you to participate in this one. We have very few people who actually use non-ASCII character sets

Lili SUN: (08:23) Ok, sure.

Alice Jansen: (08:23) Thanks, Lili!

Carlton Samuels: (08:24) I will take on Rec#3: Outreach

Erika Mann: (08:26) Can this list be send to us by email?

Volker Greimann: (08:26) need to drop early, apologies

Erika Mann: (08:26) Thanks!

Carlton Samuels: (08:28) @Jena- Baptiste:Can we have individual screen control Please

Lisa Phifer: (08:28) @Carlton , it's a screen share so you cannot scroll

Carlton Samuels: (08:29) @Lisa: Ah OK. Thks

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (08:34) :-)

Erika Mann: (08:34) Showmaster Alan!

Carlton Samuels: (08:35) @Alan: The last ToR did not include updates from Brussels, did it?

Carlton Samuels: (08:36) @Alan: OK thanks

Alice Jansen: (08:37) @ Carlton - indeed - the TOR are undergoing a "facelift"

Alice Jansen: (08:38) we will have an updated version for the Team next week

Carlton Samuels: (08:38) @Alan: ...and 'consumer" !

Carlton Samuels: (08:39) @Alice: Thanks.

Dmitry Belyavskiy: (08:39) I mean to take the IDN definition, but it can be shortened

Erika Mann: (08:39) Okay

Carlton Samuels: (08:40) @Alan: "consumer" definition to be lifted from the CCT RT

Carlton Samuels: (08:40) @Alan: mem

Dmitry Belyavskiy: (08:41) Carlton +1

Erika Mann: (08:41) Agree

Erika Mann: (08:49) I'm fine with what Susan is recommending

Erika Mann: (08:50) Correct

Carlton Samuels: (08:51) @Susan: Consensus means not having a vote +1.

Carlton Samuels: (08:51) @Alan: Not agreeing with Susan. No single member has a veto!

Lisa Phifer: (08:52) I don't think the email suggests anyone has a veto, but rather gives guidance as to how each individual determines their level of agreement - with 80% agreement determining consensus

Carlton Samuels: (08:53) Susan's position on veto reminds me too much of our Academic Board decision-making; opaque and subject to subterfuge and humbug.

Carlton Samuels: (08:54) @Alan: That is how I read it too!

Carlton Samuels: (08:55) Blocks & Stand Asides in context are twinned

Lisa Phifer: (08:56) I suspect that was supposed to be "Full Consensus" not "Consensus" when mapped to GNSO levels of consensus

Carlton Samuels: (08:57) @CCT RT no we did not agree on that

Carlton Samuels: (08:57) @Alan: I'm reverting to the text and make the adjustments as appropriate

Carlton Samuels: (08:58) No. I will follow the text we agreed. And MAY adopt the definition from CCT RT

Carlton Samuels: (08:59) Thks.

Erika Mann: (09:00) Have a good day!

Carlton Samuels: (09:00) Bye all. Thnaks very much Staff!

Lili SUN: (09:00) Thanks Alan and all staffs.

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (09:00) bye

Dmitry Belyavskiy: (09:00) Ину!

Dmitry Belyavskiy: (09:00) Bye!