


Q1 Please enter your name.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Renata Aquino Ribeiro	10/4/2017 2:21 PM
2	Lori Schulman	10/4/2017 1:11 PM
3	Frederic Guillemaut	10/4/2017 9:33 AM
4	Rafik Dammak	10/4/2017 6:59 AM
5	Tony Holmes	10/3/2017 1:13 PM
6	Susan Kawaguchi	10/3/2017 7:34 AM
7	Maxim Alzoba	10/3/2017 2:49 AM

Q2 Have you reviewed all candidate materials, available at <https://community.icann.org/x/sQ0hB?>


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	100.00% 7
No	0.00% 0
TOTAL	7

Q3 Based on the application materials and your own knowledge about the candidates, please rate the candidates' experience in and knowledge of the GNSO policy development process. The lowest possible score is 1 and highest possible score is 10.


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGH AVERA
Johan Helsingius	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	71.43% 5	0.00% 0	7	
Osvaldo Novoa	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	7	
Paul Rosenzweig	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	42.86% 3	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	

Q4 Based on the application materials and your own knowledge about the candidates, please rate the candidates' experience in and knowledge of recent and current policy work under discussion and / or review in the GNSO. The lowest possible score is 1 and highest possible score is 10.


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	TOTAL	WEIG AVER
Johan Helsingius	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	42.86% 3	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	7	
Osvaldo Novoa	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	7	
Paul Rosenzweig	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	42.86% 3	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	

Q5 Based on the application materials and your own knowledge about the candidates, please rate the candidates' availability to travel to and participate in GAC meetings during the course of ICANN meetings and also, where applicable, intersessional meetings. The lowest possible score is 1 and highest possible score is 10.


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGH AVERA
Johan Helsingius	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	71.43% 5	0.00% 0	7	
Osvaldo Novoa	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	7	
Paul Rosenzweig	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	

Q6 Based on the application materials and your own knowledge about the candidates, please rate candidates' effectiveness and experience at diplomatic, accurate and effective communication. The lowest possible score is 1 and highest possible score is 10.


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	TOTAL	WEIG AVER
Johan Helsingius	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	7	
Osvaldo Novoa	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	
Paul Rosenzweig	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7	

Q7 Based on the application materials and your own knowledge about the candidates, please rate the candidates' effectiveness and experience at working with / directing the relevant work of support staff. The lowest possible score is 1 and highest possible score is 10.


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	TOTAL	WEIG AVER
Johan Helsingius	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	7	
Osvaldo Novoa	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	7	
Paul Rosenzweig	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	7	

Q8 Based on the application materials and your own knowledge about the candidates, please rate the candidates' effectiveness and experience at working with colleagues in policy development work. The lowest possible score is 1 and highest possible score is 10.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGH AVERA
Johan Helsingius	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	42.86% 3	0.00% 0	7	
Osvaldo Novoa	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	
Paul Rosenzweig	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	7	

Q9 Are there any other factors that should be considered (either positive or negative)?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I believe it is important to know beforehand when potential candidates to the selections should not be participating on SSC. I remember candidates asked themselves this point this time. A clear process would be better.	10/4/2017 2:21 PM
2	I would like to see demonstrated knowledge of how GAC advice is considered by the Board and its impact on GNSO PDPs. There are big debates swirling in this area and the GAC liaison should understand procedural implications and be able to work effectively at advocating for GNSO interests in a way that garners GAC understanding.	10/4/2017 1:11 PM
3	Having served on council may give those candidates a slight edge regarding detailed workings of the GNSO as you are involved in the process almost daily for that period, although that is difficult to quantify unless you know all candidates as well.	10/3/2017 1:13 PM
4	Please be aware that RrSG, BC,RySG expressed their support of Johan Helsingius.	10/3/2017 2:49 AM