Michelle DeSmyter:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 2 – Legal/Regulatory Issues call on Thursday, 19 October 2017 at 20:30 UTC

  Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_j4JEB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=Y8x58UcCszmzdGQ7gMO1jhOwEl8ZElNQzKSrnHaqfTw&s=DU7M8KF1pgjQIz0G31unDLLqJ_grpsGV1kUN_eFZ5Jk&e=

  Cheryl Langdon-Orr:hi all

  Cheryl Langdon-Orr:I am in overlapping calls sorry

  avri doria:interesting sound effects

  Karen Day:yes, sounds like someone's phone is near a dripping sink

  Cheryl Langdon-Orr:good luck Phil

  Heather Forrest:@Michael- global public interest is a topic that we could talk for years about (and indeed have done so). What is the desired outcome/output/result of our discussion today? (Just asking so we have a clear direction in our discussion today)

  Alan Greenberg:Public interest was also implicated by the priority for community applications.

  Jeff Neuman:As Michael is saying, we will cover the CC2 comments, and then ultimately get to the question of PICs, and whether this is the appropriate vehicle to address public policy concerns.

  Heather Forrest:OK thank you Michael and Jeff for clarifying

  Holly Raiche 2:What about Specification 11?

  Jeff Neuman:Holly - What aspects of Speicification 11

  Karen Day:WT3

  Karen Day:Accountability Mech

  Holly Raiche 2:Thanaks for that Jeff

  Michelle DeSmyter:YES

  Jim Prendergast:yes

  Sara Bockey:I hear you

  Michelle DeSmyter:yes

  avri doria:i can hear

  Trang Nguyen:I can hear Michael and Jeff.

  Holly Raiche 2:I can hear you

  Jeff Neuman:Am i the only one who cant hear

  Jim Prendergast:sounds good

  Trang Nguyen:I can hear you loud and clear, Michael.

  Phil Buckingham:loud and clear michael

  Holly Raiche 2:that's fine

  Holly Raiche 2:Fine

  Jeff Neuman:i reloaded

Jeff Neuman:So the approach to have PICs was a creation of the then CEO of ICANN to incorporate GAC advice.   Should this same approach continue? Is there another way to do it?

Jeff Neuman:yes.....there was no GNSO policy on this?

Holly Raiche 2:At some point maybe we should also look a the report from the Consumer Trust, Choice WG - and public expectations - in this tract of Track 2 as well?

Jeff Neuman:yes, once they finalize their report

Gg Levine (NABP):Excellent question

Phil Buckingham:very good points , alan

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:I am only on AC now had to join another meeting via phone bridge

Jon Nevett:.doctor?

Jon Nevett:based on what data, Alan?

Holly Raiche 2:Agreed wih Alan - the draft CCRT report does talk about consumer expectations

Gg Levine (NABP):Well said, Alan

Michael Flemming:Sorry for the background noise if I had made any

Phil Buckingham:how do we determine a regulated industry ? they may vary country by country ?

Jeff Neuman:I yield to Gg and Alan

Michael Flemming:I will call on you afterwards

Phil Buckingham:exactly Jon

Alan Greenberg:I was not advocating ANYTHING. I was asked to present the ALAC position and that is what I did.

Jon Nevett:no data in . DOCTOR

Jon Nevett:I didn't say that at all

Jon Nevett:how many in .doctor

Jeff Neuman:jon can go ahead of me

Jeff Neuman:i would like to hear Alan's view now

Heather Forrest:Michael - put yourself in the Qto hold your place

Michael Flemming:Thanks Heather

Alan Greenberg:Why is it I have REPEATEDLY been told that we are not trying to make deciisons during this review of comments?

Heather Forrest:chemist

Jeff Neuman:We are not making decisions, but we are debating the issues

Cheryl Langdon-Orr:thanks for the clarification Michael

Jeff Neuman:So, Alan, can you put yourself back in the queue?

Holly Raiche 2:Agree with Jeff - that is the issue that was raised by the CCRT report - the issue ishow to deal with consumer expectations and whether ICANN rules take those into account - just an aspect of public interest

Alan Greenberg:I am mystified why in other WT meetings, I was

told that the methodology, I think developed by Avri, that we were reviewing comments and NOT trying to resolve conflicts or come to closure.
   Jeff Neuman:I would note that there is a difference between "a connection" and "expectation of safety"
   Alan Greenberg:I did not come to this meeting prepared to debate the issues.
   Holly Raiche 2:Agree with Jeff - just raising the issue of how we deal with expectation and connection
   Jon Nevett:Right Jeff ex-ante censorship vs. ex-post enforcement
   Holly Raiche 2:I think the idea of he categories was to identify the critical names such as doctor and pharmacy
   Jim Prendergast:you went there!!
   Michael Flemming:I did
   Jon Nevett:i agree with Alan!
   Holly Raiche 2:Could this be sen out
   Phil Buckingham: dont think so michael
   Holly Raiche 2:sorry - 'sent'
   Julie Hedlund:We will send it with the notes and also post to the wiki.
   Jeff Neuman:next time
   Holly Raiche 2:Could we have time to look at it first
   Jeff Neuman:lets make sure it is out
   Cheryl Langdon-Orr:yep
   Heather Forrest:Goodbye all, safe travels to AD
   Cheryl Langdon-Orr:bye ð   for now then...
   Phil Buckingham:thanks michael