

**Adobe Connect - Chat Transcript from CCT Review Plenary #56
13 September 2017 @ 14:00 UTC**

Pamela Smith: (9/13/2017 09:02) Welcome to the 56th Plenary meeting of the CCT Review.

Pamela Smith: (09:02) Please note that this meeting will be recorded. When not speaking, you may mute your phones by pressing *6. Press *6 to unmute.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:04) Are we expecting Jonathan and/or Laureen?

Drew Bagley: (09:04) I'm fairly confident Laureen is planning on joining

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:04) GM all

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:04) No sound? Sound test plea...Got it!

David Taylor: (09:05) hello Carlton

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:05) Okay--since we only have an hour maybe we should just pick up the DNS Abuse discussion again for the first 30 minutes and then spend a bit of time on the INTA stuff?

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:05) I dunno who is in charge. :-)

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:05) We nominate Jordyn!

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:09) Can we project the current two recommendations?

Laureen Kapin: (09:09) Sorry to be late. Had trouble getting connected. to the adobe room.

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (09:10) You have scroll control Drew.

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (09:10) I can also put Kaili's email on screen if you wish

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:15) Comment: I disagree with incentives. All the business model factors we see that incent abuse mechanisms are objectively financial. So it should be disincentives that apply

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:16) Carlton, I roughly agree with that, except that one way you could imagine this being implemented is a high base fee with a big discount if you adopt anti-abuse mechanisms. That would technically be an incentive, but it would really be a strong disincentive to not engage in any enforcement.

Waudu Siganga 2: (09:16) I prefer Kaili's change. so that we do not necessarily on financial. We are already saying that high price domains have lower abuse rates

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:17) Waudu--that's the whole point, though. We don't want low price TLDs that don't do any abuse enforcement.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:17) I don't know how any incentives other than financial get to that balance.

Waudu Siganga 2: (09:18) wont financial incentives have the effect of reducing price?

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:18) Yes, but only for TLDs that adopt anti-abuse mechanisms.

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:19) @Jordyn: I see what you're saying. But that would be about construction of the plan.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:20) So can anyone give an example of a non-financial incentive that would achieve the desired outcome here?

Drew Bagley: (09:20) Something that would affect the reputation of a TLD brand

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:21) No one cares about that, as far as I can tell.

Drew Bagley: (09:21) which happens at an operator level with blacklisting

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:21) @David: You're not alone. I think the causal connections are made. We must be storn on disincenting that

Jamie Hedlund: (09:21) Other industries have used certifications or seals of approval to encourage behaviors

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:21) Indeed, and ICANN has been talking about that for years with no real results.

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:21) I'm coming in via Skype and it seems not to be working

Kaili Kan: (09:22) Seems like Carlton is in a Taifeng zone. :)

Gao M: (09:22) hello All,

Gao M: (09:22) my apologies for being late

Waudu Siganga 2: (09:22) So another way is to have penalties fo those with high abuse rates, rather than incentives for thse with low abuse rates?

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:22) Sure, that's same same.

Kaili Kan: (09:22) Agree to take out "consider".

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:23) Just different ways at looking at the same equation.

David Taylor: (09:23) How about incentives where there is low level of abuse but where a high level of abuse an obligation to clean up that abuse or be subject to a penalty.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:23) We could just say that registration restrictions are an effective anti-abuse mechanism.

Jamie Hedlund: (09:24) ICANN org can't impose these. I believe the DNA has also been looking at these. My point is that I agree with Kaili that with more time to consider there may be additional means of encouraging good behavior.

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:24) I'm trying again to speak

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:25) I think what I'd like to avoid is whack-a-mole where registries start with cheap price and then eventually get penalized.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:25) That allows a lot of abuse to happen in the meantime.

David Taylor: (09:25) @Jamie Why can ICANN not impose these?. it

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:25) Whereas if you say it's expensive to offer domains UNLESS you have proactive anti-abuse then you don't create these long windows like with Nanjing where abuse occurs but before ICANN's enforcement has kicked in

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:26) Carlton wants to try to talk again. :-)

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:26) Yeah, that doesn't work at all.

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (09:26) carlton, can we call you? please provide your number

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:27) Please call me on +1 876-xxx-xxxx Jean-Baptiste

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:28) Comment: The facts show a strong causal connection between financial and abuse; cheap domains lead to high abuse.

Jamie Hedlund: (09:28) By these I meant certifications/seals of approval. Either these would be part of the contract negotiated by ICANN and registries or through bottom-up community consensus

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:28) The reason for cheap domains in my view is to drive sales.

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (09:28) can you please double check the number you provided Carlton?

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:28) The next recommendation is just about registrars as-written.

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:30) There are contractual obligations to stop or mitigate abuse. We should disincent that behaviour, not find ways to reward those who do the right thing! Afterall they all should do the right thing.

Waudu Siganga 2: (09:31) @Carlton - agreed. It's easier to penalise than reward

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:32) I am for disincentives. In my view the contracts have enough elbow room for ICANN to disincent abuse. The question is how? Money is the mother's milk. That is what everybody in the chain understand. So the disincentives ought to be financial!

Brenda Brewer: (09:32) Carlton, apologies but having error issue with dial out.

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:33) @Jean-Baptiste. Thanks. The last time Brenda tried she was not able to get call to my area code. :(

Waudu Siganga 2: (09:33) @Drew - how will "specific registrars" be pre-identified for inclusion in RAs?

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:35) I think Drew's right that there was some collateral damage to domain availability.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:36) But the problem probably would never have been fixed but for the harm to registries.

Drew Bagley: (09:36) The amendments would apply to all registrars at the point at which they'd have to sign a new RAA. Whereas, the specific registrars will be identified after the fact as high amounts of abuse are registered.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:36) But then someone else would taste it!

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:36) I think a lot of the domains went around through several different registrars.

Pamela Smith: (09:41) All yours.

Calvin Browne 2: (09:47) Jordyn - yeah - there definitely was impact on consumers... but I remember the huge volumes of transactions registries were being hammered with

Calvin Browne 2: (09:48) and I'd agree it was the driver to 'fix' the problem

Calvin Browne 2: (09:48) .org did it first if I remember

Jamie Hedlund: (09:49) I just circulated some comments on the paragraph preceding the Key Takeaways

Jamie Hedlund: (09:49) Sorry, in redline of the document

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:53) That's a good point, David.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:54) I think the other interesting takeaway is that the new RPMs don't seem very effective--trademark holders seem to like the old ones a lot more. :-)

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:56) @Jordyn: +1

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:57) Is the plan to keep these points standalone or incorporate them into existing text somewhere?

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:59) @Laureen: Yes you have reproduced what I want to say very well!

Drew Bagley: (09:59) Thanks for reading that to the group!

Carlton SAMUELS: (09:59) Much thnaks!!

Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:59) I'm going to respond to Carlton's e-mail.

Drew Bagley: (09:59) and thanks for the feedback Carlton

Drew Bagley: (10:01) I have to go for another meeting. Please get me feedback today. Thanks!

Carlton SAMUELS: (10:01) All: Not sure what is happening with my comms. I don't have toll free access to the audio bridge from Kingston but if I use Skype I can get onto the US toll free.

Carlton SAMUELS: (10:01) It works for every other call. I am now on the audio hearing everybody.

Carlton SAMUELS: (10:01) But when I try to speak nobody hears me.

Carlton SAMUELS: (10:02) I can call staff direct from my mobile. But they cannot call me from the US

Kaili Kan: (10:02) Bye!

Calvin Browne 2: (10:02) bye

Carlton SAMUELS: (10:02) Those are the facts

Carlton SAMUELS: (10:02) Bye all

Gao M: (10:02) bye