Brenda Brewer: (9/20/2017 07:37) Hello and welcome to CCT-RT Plenary Meeting #57 on 20 September 2017 @ 13:00 UTC!
Waudo Siganga: (08:01) HI all
Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (08:01) Hi Waudo
Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (08:01) @Waudo, Can I invite you to mute your line?
Waudo Siganga: (08:02) Yes I am muting but it switches on again. let me log in with another laptop
Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (08:02) ok
Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (08:07) will do Drew, one sec
Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:08) I managed to join, but will probably have to leave somewhat early..
Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (08:10) @Jordyn, we can move your paper next if needed.
Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:12) That would be great.
Carlton SAMUELS: (08:14) Good morning all
Carlton SAMUELS: (08:15) David is so low I can't hear him
Waudo Siganga: (08:17) sorry I have a bad line on and off.
Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:18) We're not talking about those yet!
Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:25) Don't we have an existing recommendation about the DNS Abuse study?
Waudo Siganga: (08:26) sorry I went offline for about 6 minutes after I asked a question.
Requesting a callout +254 xxx xxxx
Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:26) We should certainly consolidate this recommendation and that one. There seems like a lot of overlap.
Brenda Brewer: (08:26) yes, will call you Waudo.
Calvin Browne: (08:27) wo - you were very fast on 3
Waudo Siganga: (08:28) thanks Brenda. Im on the call now
Brenda Brewer: (08:28) Great! You're welcome.
David Taylor 2: (08:32) Im on adobe ok now
Calvin Browne: (08:54) even recommendation 3 changes the need for 4
Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:54) My feedback is that there shouldn't be a Recommendation #4. :-)
Waudo Siganga: (08:55) can you enable scrolling
David Taylor 2: (08:55) my feedback is there should ;-) 
Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (08:55) done
Carlton SAMUELS: (08:56) @Drew: Rec 4 not necessary if Rec#3 is changed as outlined.
Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:56) We're trying to address transparency into Compliance in other recommendations, no?
Eleeza Agopian: (08:57) @Jordyn, yes rec 23 suggests more detail about subject matter of complaints.
David Taylor 2: (08:58) It is a failsafe mechanism for the community to explore
Carlton SAMUELS: (08:59) @Eleeza: That is correct. Note in the updated recommendation we outlined details as well.
Carlton SAMUELS: (09:00) We can always add some language to include a clear need for outcome feedback
Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:02) LINSTAGRAM
Jonathan Zuck: (09:05) is this a PDP or the subproc wg?
Carlton SAMUELS: (09:05) @David:+1 wellsaid!
Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:06) Jonathan raises a good question--who is this even targeted at?
Eleeza Agopian: (09:08) from ICANN's bylaws: "(A) Each report of the review team shall describe the degree of consensus or agreement reached by the review team on each recommendation contained in such report. Any member of a review team not in favor of a recommendation of its review team (whether as a result of voting against a matter or objecting to the consensus position) may record a minority dissent to such recommendation, which shall be included in the report of the review team. The review team shall attempt to prioritize each of its recommendations and provide a rationale for such prioritization."
Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (09:09) @David please mute your line if you do not speak
Brenda Brewer: (09:09) David, please mute when not speaking. Thank you
David Taylor 2: (09:09) done,
David Taylor 2: (09:10) What sort of consensus do we have or not have?
David Taylor 2: (09:10) United
Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (09:10) thanks David.
Jonathan Zuck: (09:10) agree completely
Jonathan Zuck: (09:11) even non-consensus should be a majority
Calvin Browne: (09:13) i need a "i have serious reservations" button
Jonathan Zuck: (09:13) is it possible to make this part of recommendation 2 so there's a kind of contingency built into the discussion?
Waudo Siganga: (09:14) I am for Rec. 4 alone after listening to David's explanation. BUT requires a little editing
Carlton SAMUELS: (09:14) Please be clear I am agreeing that if we extend Rec #3 to add subject matter and outcomes language then #4 would be gone.
Alice Jansen 2: (09:15) Use the drop down list of your hand raised icon to find the green/red Calvin Browne: (09:15) i need to abstein right now
Calvin Browne: (09:15) *abstain
Carlton SAMUELS: (09:16) I can also go for a standalone rec if we choose not to make it clear in Rec #3
Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:18) I think Carlton is saying if we make ICANN more transparent about it's decisions on abuse then we don't need #4.
Carlton SAMUELS: (09:18) Rec 3 said data to determine relationships between bad actors. I would add subject matte details + outcomes of any response from registries and any other party in the compliance process
Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:19) My concern is foundational with regards to recommendation #4--I think if you're going to include it you should make it work the way you want, but I fundamentally don't agree that we should create private rights of enforcement in the ICANN contracts so there's not a way to tweak this recommendation to address that.
Gao M: (09:25) i am not getting any audio
David Taylor 2: (09:26) LOL
David Taylor 2: (09:26) re JZ comment not you not having Audio GAO :-)
Jordyn A Buchanan: (09:29) Okay, I've got to drop off now.
Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (09:30) thanks Jordyn, safe travel
Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (09:31) you have scrolling rights David.
Gao M 2: (09:31) @David, I was worried for a moment.
Carlton SAMUELS: (09:34) David 's audio totally gone
Carlton SAMUELS: (09:36) It is referenced
Carlton SAMUELS: (09:37) in the Choice Report. But we must reverify for referential integrity!
Carlton SAMUELS: (09:38) Could you repeat Laureen?
Laureen Kapin 2: (09:39) @Carlton, just asked about our schedule (we are a bit behind).
Carlton SAMUELS: (09:39) OK. Ye. Thanks
Carlton SAMUELS: (09:39) Thanks all.
David Taylor 2: (09:39) My hand ignored again ;-) @JZ
David Taylor 2: (09:39) bye