Michelle DeSmyter:Dear All, Welcome to the Privacy and Proxy Services Accreditation IRT Meeting on Tuesday, 05 December 2017 at 15:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_EichB&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=18PA1PD6tPPKGPevGNQqiItBwmRw5OQSirB5PBVJwo8&s=SK4PuAEzVndSgXc8fZBiM1dQhSqdYkV6yu6d7fDF2Zs&e=

Sarah Wyld:Good morning, all

steve metalitz:Were Peter Roman's comments sent to the list? I did not receive them.

Sara Bockey:I idn't receiece them either

Darcy Southwell:I did not receive them.

Volker Greimann - RrSG:Entity Reference not found in Location:Mailbox

steve metalitz:Thanks for forwarding Caitlin.

Sara Bockey:I note that I will need to drop shortly before the top of the hour for another meeting

Vicky Sheckler:apologies for being late, and apologies in advance that i will likely leave early

Mary Wong:Thank you all for the examples - please be assured that staff had no intent to change any of the PDP recommendations. There may be instances where our suggested "gap filling" may not be clear or appropriate in the IRT's view, hence we are grateful for the IRT's feedback to ensure that the agreement and provisions reflect the PDP recommendations (as Caitlin is now saying).

Darcy Southwell:@Caitlin, the difficulty with that approach is that we don't know what came from the PDP and what is suggested by "some" IRT members.

Mary Wong:@Darcy, perhaps the most appropriate and comprehensive approach - to ensure that the PDP recs are properly and accurately captured - is what we have assumed the IRT has been doing, i.e. going through all the documents section by section (so regardless of who suggested what and where).

Vicky Sheckler:thanks Mary- important to make sure we are in line w/ the pdp recommendations

Sara Bockey:I will need time to review the edits in the PPAA that you sent out last night before providing further comment. Thanks

Volker Greimann - RrSG:I object to change the language. Lt's stick to what is in the RAA

Sara Bockey:And I agree with Volker. I'd like to stick with what is in the RAA re definitions.

Volker Greimann - RrSG:3.14 Obligations Related to Proxy and

Privacy Services. Registrar agrees to comply with any ICANN-adopted Specification or Policy that establishes a Proxy Accreditation Program. Registrar also agrees to reasonably cooperate with ICANN in the development of such program. Until such time as the Proxy Accreditation Program is established, Registrar agrees to comply with the Specification on Privacy and Proxy Registrations attached hereto.

   Volker Greimann - RrSG:this should be all that is needed. and as it is already in the RAA, there needs to be no mention in the policy

   Volker Greimann - RrSG:sec, wrong qote ;-)

   Sara Bockey:The definitions in the Final Report mirror the RAA

   Volker Greimann - RrSG:The thinking on my part was that the only reason to differentiate was not to overregulate affiliated providers who have to do many of the things already trhough their registrar agreement

   Volker Greimann - RrSG:and agreed to Sara re: this program is way overdesigned in many respects

   Volker Greimann - RrSG:there is so many reviews and process steps that are not necessary for this service and that just serve to drive up costs

   Eric Rokobauer:+1 Sara

   Darcy Southwell:+1 Volker about the obligations that will be performed by the affiliate registrar

   Vicky Sheckler:apologies - have to drop off. -V

   Darcy Southwell:I like Steve's idea - a chart showing the activities of an affiliated vs. unaffiliated P/P providers would be incredibly helpful to IRT members to figure this out.

   Sara Bockey:Agree with Steve and Darcy. That would be very helpful

   Darcy Southwell:@Caitlin, bracketing within the agreemenet would be so difficult to read .. can it be a chart?

   Alex Deacon:Seems to me we should try to put topics in to "buckets" and address them together.

   Volker Greimann - RrSG:when will the IRTP-C issue be scheduled?

   Darcy Southwell:Caitlin is correct.

   Darcy Southwell:It's after public comment goes out.

   Volker Greimann - RrSG:sounds good

   Mary Wong:Yes, that start period is in the GNSO Council resolution.

   Eric Rokobauer:thanks Caitlin - thanks all!

   Darcy Southwell:Thanks.

   Sara Bockey:thanks all

   Vlad Dinculescu:Thanks all

   Sarah Wyld:Thank you

   Peter Roman:Thank you