```
Michelle DeSmyter:Dear All, Welcome to the Privacy and Proxy
Services Accreditation IRT Meeting on Tuesday, 19 September 2017
 Michelle DeSmyter: Agenda wiki page:
https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A community.icann.org x -
2DyYhB&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM&r=8
WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe 5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m
=loP70UzEoMN41FC5hmPoBdl788IkhYYDOhsEWMWsscY&s=ayriJLk1Hr7M-
k39owSa36wtjVEGpaXxD2rFReYpjVU&e=
  Alex Deacon:No audio from volker....
 Michelle DeSmyter:no
 Greg DiBiase:no audio
  steve metalitz:can't hear Volker
 Michelle DeSmyter: I will send him a private chat
 Volker Greimann: the room shows me it is hearing me
 Volker Greimann: the microphone is animated when speak
 Vicky Sheckler:apologies for being late
 Michelle DeSmyter: Welcome Vicky!
 Volker Greimann:both
 Volker Greimann: but for affiliated it should be covered by the
data already on file for the affiliated party
 Vicky Sheckler:+1 alex
 Alex Deacon: Yes volker agree 100% with affiliated p/p
providers.
 Volker Greimann: I covered all of generally
 Vicky Sheckler:agree w/ Steve Metalitz
 Vicky Sheckler:susan raises a good point
 Alex Deacon: It seems to me that any changes to the existing
registrar background screening process would increase costs (and
fees) vs. lower them.
                        economies of scale and the like....
 Alex Deacon:@susan +1
 Susan Kawaguchi: Thanks Amy good to know
 Volker Greimann: I wouuld never do that, Susan
  steve metalitz:@Volker, crowdsourcing the background check (as
you just proposed) was considered and rejected in the new gTLD
process
  Volker Greimann: Steve: But that was for TLD Operatoors, not
privacy services
 Vicky Sheckler:agree with Susan.
  Leana Melnichuk:i agree with susan
 Volker Greimann: there is a different quality of trust needed
 Vicky Sheckler:disagree volker - same if not more trust is
needed
  Susan Kawaguchi:also do not agree with crowd sourcing
background check
 Volker Greimann:@Vichy: You need more trust in a privacy
```

provider than a registry operator? Seriously?

Susan Kawaguchi: Wolker at least the same level

Vicky Sheckler: trust is needed not just for internet users generally, but also for the registrants that rely on the p/p service.

Sara Bockey: FYI - I need to drop for another meeting in about 5 mins.

Volker Greimann: ^ ^

steve metalitz:@Volker, agree that not all of these would be published, but the labeling needs to be such that third parties can know that a particular registration iS a p/p registration Vicky Sheckler:agree w/ metalitz

Vicky Sheckler:what are ICANN's costs - fee shouldn't be less than ICANN costs to evaluate the application.

Leana Melnichuk: Vicky you are making a good point

Amy Bivins:@Vicky--we are working on some numbers to share with the group

Leana Melnichuk:clarification, the costs should not be more than ICANN costs

Volker Greimann:some do charge, some don't. it depends on how transparent you are with your prices

steve metalitz:@Theo, how many p/p registrations do you have in your portfolio?

Theo Geurts: around 20k, with the GDPR in affect we expect our resellers that 1 million people will use it.

Vicky Sheckler:if p/p is a loss leader, then need to consider revenue affiliated providers obtain from other services in ocnsider whether a cost for accrediation is high

Vicky Sheckler:@volker, wouldn't that jusst end up wiht your registar fees going up?

Volker Greimann:Or less ALAC travel slots

steve metalitz:@ Volker --- "Community pays" = "registrants
pay," since vast bulk of ICANN budget comes from gTLD
registrants.

Volker Greimann:@Steve, ultimately this would be the case, although the cost will not be noticable

Volker Greimann: the ICANN budget has a lot of potential for savings

steve metalitz:@ Theo, so annual fee = 20 cents per p/p customer now, 4/100ths of a cent per customer in future..... And note that I also question the basis for the 4k annual fee

Volker Greimann:@Steve: Or more, in case of new gTLDs Theo Geurts:Steve, I am of the view that the right to privacy is a human right and should not be charged.

Philip Corwin: Whoever pays, fee levels should be set at no

```
higher than cost recovery (noting that some experience with the program may be required to fully determine new ICANN costs). steve metalitz:+1 Phil Vicky Sheckler:congratulations amy!! Theo Geurts:Good luck Amy! Alex Deacon:Congratulations Amy! steve metalitz:All the best to you Amy!! Lisa Villeneuve:Best wishes! Philip Corwin:Best wishes Amy
```

Eric Rokobauer:Congratulations!!!!!