

Michelle DeSmyter: (9/12/2017 08:34) Dear All, Welcome to the Privacy and Proxy Services Accreditation IRT Meeting on Tuesday, 12 September 2017.

Michelle DeSmyter: (08:35) Agenda wiki page:
https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_4yYhB&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw1l3mSVz_gfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=SfzVZj7zXwQ3Ut_o_d1bhiwkQ1jV_6B26M1ak3PY1o4&s=My1t_ybzDHywG2KcRDhP4qqxl8AYvIfPiI-DEVlZLko&e=

Amy Bivins: (08:59) Hello, All! As you can see from the agenda, we've added a second topic for today--we have a few questions about data escrow to discuss at the beginning of the call before we get into the second draft of the applicant guide

Michele Neylon: (09:09) that's what Volker was talking about though

Volker Greimann: (09:10) "do on its own" is broad enough

Volker Greimann: (09:11) the way it is written can mean both though

Volker Greimann: (09:11) as it does not prescribe where he does it on his own

Theo Geurts: (09:12) We can do both options

Vicky Sheckler: (09:13) agree w/ Theo

steve metalitz: (09:13) @Michele, currently providers are not required to escrow are they?

Darcy Southwell: (09:13) +1 Theo

Michele Neylon: (09:14) Steve - yes they are

Roger Carney: (09:14) +1 Theo

Darcy Southwell: (09:14) Is there a reason it has to be proscribed? Can it be left up to the registrars to decide which option they prefer? Different registrars may approach the issue differently.

Michele Neylon: (09:14) +1 Darcy

Michele Neylon: (09:16) I think the key thing is that the data is escrowed

Theo Geurts: (09:18) It is indeed the same as the other scenario

Darcy Southwell: (09:20) +1 Theo

Darcy Southwell: (09:21) I think Volker expressed it well earlier - it seems like we're trying to overengineer this issue. Data escrow has to be completed. There are multiple ways to complete it. Establish the standard for deposits (which we already have), and providers have to accomplish it somehow based on its unique business model.

Michele Neylon: (09:22) Darcy +1

Sara Bockey: (09:22) Agree with Darcy

Michele Neylon: (09:22) We don't know what is out there now nor

what could be out there in the future

Michele Neylon: (09:23) the data escrow stuff hasn't been updated in years - it's far from perfect

Theo Geurts: (09:23) No issue

Theo Geurts: (09:25) That is already happening

Volker Greimann: (09:25) allow both

Theo Geurts: (09:27) No sound?

Eric Rokobauer: (09:27) no sound here either

Amy Bivins: (09:27) just a second everyone, I can't hear Francisco either

Michele Neylon: (09:27) Theo - is that a complaint or just a statement ? :)

Theo Geurts: (09:27) Time for a commerial

Sara Bockey: (09:27) audio??

Michele Neylon: (09:27) /me is going to burn in hell

Francisco Arias: (09:27) I see that no one can hear me

Theo Geurts: (09:28) @Michele lol

Michele Neylon: (09:30) what the hell is a DEA?

Michele Neylon: (09:30) We sell drugs now??

Volker Greimann: (09:30) data escrowe agent, i think

Theo Geurts: (09:31) Agreed Michele

Darcy Southwell: (09:36) Agree with Michele. It's impossible for registrars to comment on using a tool we dont' have access to and have no idea how it works.

Amy Bivins: (09:37) We'll check internally to confirm we can make the option available for all registrars (I don't see why not)--the issue is we can't require Rrs to use it under the current registrar spec

Theo Geurts: (09:37) Thanls @Amy

Michele Neylon: (09:40) problems with deposits => recurring headache for registrars

Volker Greimann: (09:42) can someone tell me whare the hidden camera is?

Michele Neylon: (09:49) +1 Theo

Eric Rokobauer: (09:50) +1 Theo

Michele Neylon: (09:51) I can't hear

Vicky Sheckler: (09:51) sounds like fast tracking for affiliated providers may mitigate alot of the concerns

Vicky Sheckler: (09:51) Jen - I hope you are oK!!

Volker Greimann: (09:51) jen is not audible

Michele Neylon: (09:51) Vicky the proposed process is more complicated than the accreditaiton for a registrar

Amy Bivins: (09:53) @ all--noted. The difference between this and the registrar process is the required training program prior to accreditation, which the IRT recommended that we have.

Vicky Sheckler: (09:53) Disagree w/ Volker - there should be a

higher level of diligence required for unaffiliated providers. moreover, we know p/p services are abused. a check the box approach is simply not appropriate and incredibly irresponsible.

Volker Greimann: (09:53) @Vicky: Why?

Volker Greimann: (09:55) training can be an online questionnaire, like the Swedish registry did for their registrars?

Volker Greimann: (09:55) (registrar staff even got an Amazon coupon for filling that out)

Volker Greimann: (09:56) everything else is compliance

Vicky Sheckler: (09:56) over 50% of domains used to engage in just one form of copyright infringement use p/p services to hide their identity and location. I anticipate it may be higher with other forms of illegality.

Volker Greimann: (09:57) what does the use of a service by its customers do with the provision of the service?

Volker Greimann: (09:58) nothing

Steve Metalitz: (09:59) Note that WG also recommended a public outreach and education program for registrars, P/P service providers and customers.

Theo Geurts: (09:59) That is correct Steve

Vicky Sheckler: (09:59) disagree Volker - this example of abuse shows the need for the training Jen just mentioned, for example. I understand that there may be ways to streamline the process, which we should look at, but a check the box which you just suggested is irresponsible

Vicky Sheckler: (10:00) my typing is atrocious. apologies

Volker Greimann: (10:00) and why is that an argument against providing training materials and then testing it through an online course?

Volker Greimann: (10:00) and why is that an argument against providing training materials and then testing it through an online course?

Volker Greimann: (10:00) oops

Volker Greimann: (10:00) sorry for the duplication

Vicky Sheckler: (10:03) agree w/ Susan

Leana Melnichuk: (10:04) I agree with Susan as well.

Susan Kawaguchi: (10:05) I think we need both the educational element and the compliance action

Vicky Sheckler: (10:05) agree w/ Susan

Theo Geurts: (10:07) I take that offer

Volker Greimann: (10:07) keep them in mind

Volker Greimann: (10:08) that does not explain the level of detail and verification the application process proposes

Volker Greimann: (10:10) what you want is the ability to wave a

sword over their heads

Volker Greimann: (10:10) not this process

Vicky Sheckler: (10:11) We believe some p/p providers are in active concert with unlawful actors. diligence is necessary to address this on the front end.

Volker Greimann: (10:11) so how is this process to make it harder for them to get accredited good for your interests

Volker Greimann: (10:12) you will only get more copies of phone books in the whois

Volker Greimann: (10:12) bring them into the light, don't drive them underground

Vicky Sheckler: (10:13) It is good for all of our interests to ensure the p/p service provider community is healthy, robust, and reputable. agree w/ you volker that the idea is to bring accredited p/p providers into the light

Vicky Sheckler: (10:14) @volker,@michlele - all of that being said, if there are ways to streamline generally without losing the concept of accreditation or fast track affiliated p/p providers, we should look at that.

Theo Geurts: (10:15) Agreed @Vicky

Michele Neylon: (10:16) If they can't get accredited they won't cease to exist

Michele Neylon: (10:16) so you want them accredited

Vicky Sheckler: (10:17) @michele - absolutely not.

Susan Kawaguchi: (10:18) Thanks Amy

Michele Neylon: (10:18) TBH a raspberry PI is more powerful than the first dedicated server I used to sell hosting in the Irish market

Michele Neylon: (10:19) and my iPhone has about 50 times more disk space than the desktop computer I had as a postgrad

Susan Kawaguchi: (10:20) disagree with Volker. Basic requirements are needed for a healthy environment

Vicky Sheckler: (10:20) agree s/ susan

Volker Greimann: (10:20) the adequate planning for business failure is called: Data escrow

Volker Greimann: (10:20) same for the other issues

steve metalitz: (10:20) +1 Susan re basic requirements

Susan Kawaguchi: (10:21) we are currently dealing with an environment where there are no requirements and that is why we have spent the time to develop all this critieria

Volker Greimann: (10:21) @susan: you are really overestimating the level of the requirements in the proposed document

Leana Melnichuk: (10:21) +1 w Susan

Volker Greimann: (10:21) underestimating

Volker Greimann: (10:22) and overestimating what is actually needed to provide the service

Susan Kawaguchi: (10:22) we know what we do not want, and have a few examples of what we do want but the industry has not been a shining example of doing a great job of privacy proxy

Vicky Sheckler: (10:22) @amy - can you please send or resend to us the summary slides?

Vicky Sheckler: (10:29) agree w/ steve

Sara Bockey: (10:29) I need to drop in a minute. Thanks all.

Darcy Southwell: (10:30) Thanks, all.

Michele Neylon: (10:31) I gotta go

Michele Neylon: (10:31) bye all

Eric Rokobauer: (10:31) Thanks - bye all!