

Adobe Connect Chat Transcript

CCTRT Plenary Meeting #54 – 7 September 2017 @ 13:00 UTC

Pamela Smith: (9/7/2017 08:00) Hello, all, and welcome to the 54th Plenary Meeting of the CCT.

Pamela Smith: (08:00) Please note that this meeting will be recorded. When not speaking, you may mute your phones by pressing *6. Press *6 to unmute

Gao Mosweu: (08:07) Good day everyone

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (08:09) Drew, you have presentation rights.

Pamela Smith: (08:11) Whose is the number ending in 8374, please?

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:12) That's me

Pamela Smith: (08:13) Thanks, Jordyn.

Pamela Smith: (08:13) Done.

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (08:13) done

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:14) I think we should take a look at previous RTs recommendations and see how they're framed these things

Jonathan Zuck: (08:15) yes

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:17) But as I said on email, we should also not spin too much on this at this point; we've got time before the final report to be consistent and legally appropriate about this

Jamie Hedlund: (08:19) Agree with that too

Jonathan Zuck: (08:19) I am.

Jonathan Zuck: (08:20) no ,that's not me. I'll log back

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (08:20) we can hear something

Jonathan Zuck: (08:20) let's direct staff to look at the wording and we'll push this to the final report and be consistent

Jonathan Zuck: (08:20) I'm going to log out and log back in. don't know why you can't hear me

Laureen Kapin: (08:20) Does the use of the word "Recommendation" indicate that the Board has discretion to accept, modify or reject?

Jamie Hedlund: (08:21) My understanding is that under new bylaws Board can only accept or reject recommendations. They cannot modify.

Jonathan Zuck: (08:22) Yes, I believe it does. I think the whole nature of this is recommendations, not mandates so no one will be confused. let's just copy the wording of previous RTs as Jordyn suggests

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:23) hmm, my Adobe Connect is not working but I'd like to raise my hand

Jonathan Zuck: (08:24) Jordyn, it's a new diversity initiative

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:24) It does say "technical DNS abuse"

Jordyn A Buchanan: (08:24) so it's not intended to be fully comprehensive, I don't think

Jordyn A Buchanan 2: (08:33) My hand is still sort of chat raised

Jordyn A Buchanan 2: (08:46) I guess my question is for Jamie: would this language be a helpful enforcement tool for ICANN?

Jordyn A Buchanan 2: (08:48) I do agree it's quite clear that the existing safeguards aren't that helpful. :-)

Gao Mosweu: (08:54) I need to drop off the call as I need to head to another meeting.

Drew Bagley: (08:58) Yeds

Drew Bagley: (08:58) Yes

Waudu Siganga: (08:58) Yes can hear you

Jordyn A Buchanan 2: (09:02) I think that was my request, but it's good

Jordyn A Buchanan 2: (09:03) yes, I think it's helpful

Drew Bagley: (09:03) They're practically the same person

Drew Bagley: (09:10) I think that's a smart approach to focus on what we've observed instead of getting hung up on the definition of parking

Drew Bagley: (09:11) Can we just be really specific? "Potential impact of domain names that do not resolve to a webpage"

Drew Bagley: (09:11) or something like that?

Waudu Siganga: (09:11) I agree to leave out the subjectiveness

Waudu Siganga: (09:13) Im OK with "Parking" thats what we have called it for over a year

Gao Mosweu: (09:14) i agree CHECK MARK

Jordyn A Buchanan 2: (09:14) I'm completely neutral

Lauren Kapin: (09:15) neutral as well.

Drew Bagley: (09:15) I'm OK either way

Drew Bagley: (09:15) I'm happy to continue using parking with the understanding of what our working definition is

Drew Bagley: (09:15) or merely using a descriptor to discuss the phenomenon we've observed

Jordyn A Buchanan 2: (09:16) Premium domains usually don't show up as registrations

Jordyn A Buchanan 2: (09:16) that is definitely the exception rather than the rule

Waudu Siganga: (09:19) The word "parking" appears 55 times in the document so if the title were to be changed that would be fairly hard work to edit

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (09:19) yes you have scroll controls

Eleeza Agopian 2: (09:22) Jonathan do you have a citation for the statement re: Chinese spike in registrations?

Eleeza Agopian 2: (09:23) sounds good. Just reading it as an editor. :)

Eleeza Agopian 2: (09:23) Happy to help.

Eleeza Agopian 2: (09:25) I'll double check the numbers.

Jordyn A Buchanan 2: (09:25) I'll try to look tomorrow

Eleeza Agopian 2: (09:26) Jordyn, just let us know how we can help.

Jordyn A Buchanan 2: (09:26) seems right

Drew Bagley: (09:27) the second sentence is perfect

Drew Bagley: (09:27) might want to be more specific with the first one

Drew Bagley: (09:28) that looks good

Drew Bagley: (09:28) k

Waudu Siganga: (09:33) lowered

Lauren Kapin: (09:43) Are we planning on meeting with other stakeholder groups in Abu Dhabi?

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (09:43) correct

Waudu Siganga: (09:44) tomorrow?