

Adobe Connect - Chat Transcript from RDS-WHOIS2 Plenary #9 F2F Day 2 3 October 2017

Brenda Brewer: (10/3/2017 01:32) Good day! Welcome to RDS-WHOIS2-RT Plenary #9 Face to Face Day 2 on 3 October 2017.

Brenda Brewer: (01:32) Please note that this meeting will be recorded. When not speaking, mute your phones by pressing *6. Press *6 to unmute. Thank you.

Alice Jansen: (02:09) We are about to begin

Alice Jansen: (02:09) Thanks for joining us, Stephanie and Thomas!

Stephanie Perrin: (02:10) Good morning!

Eric Evrard: (02:11) Hello Stephanie, is the sound level ok for you ?

Eric Evrard: (02:13) We did not.

Stephanie Perrin: (02:24) Hi Eric, Yes working fine!

Brenda Brewer: (02:29) Reminder, please state your name before speaking for the transcript. Thank you.

Alice Jansen: (02:33) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_download_attachments_69279139_WHOIS-2520Briefing-2520-2D-252003October2017-2520-2D-2520V2.0.pdf-3Fversion-3D1-26modificationDate-3D1506780907000-26api-3Dv2&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=0F3WbWwt3qiDCIxzZZ7Dv5faNyCtlVEcD3ujpyG4kUs&s=5BlonYwo_NZIQMLSUdhyyt_WrjwK7U1Mci6mwwH-XKE&e=

Negar Farzinnia: (02:35) Apologies for the delay. We will get started shortly.

Alice Jansen: (02:50) Stephanie - Thomas - do you have any questions/comments

Stephanie Perrin: (02:50) "Obscure" seems inadequate to describe how hard it is to figure out WHOIS.....I think the page makes a good start but it is a very sunny picture of what has been a long and heated debate.

Alice Jansen: (02:51) Do you wish to be have this comment read on mic?

Stephanie Perrin: (02:51) Yes please, if noone is reading chat

Stephanie Perrin: (02:53) There needs to be more on resellers

Stephanie Perrin: (02:56) A diagram with heat maps could provide what Erika just suggested...(red equals action going on here, with hotlinks to PDPs or IRTs)

Alice Jansen: (02:59) Hi again Stephanie - let us know when you would like to read your comments. You can also raise your hand if you want to voice them in the meeting.

Stephanie Perrin: (03:03) Thanks Alice! Is anybody online and reading chat?

Susan Kawaguchi: (03:03) I am reading the chat!

Dmitry Belyavskiy: (03:03) I do.

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (03:03) yes we're here and reading you!

Stephanie Perrin: (03:03) Ok great thanks everyone!

Alice Jansen: (03:05) We are displaying the adobe connect room in the meeting room and a number of RT members have logged into the Adobe Connect room as well - :-)) - but please know that you can raise your hand at any time and both Jean-Baptiste and I are happy to read any comments/questions you have .

Stephanie Perrin: (03:06) I had assumed that one had not been updated, but if that is not the case, please let us know. I would certainly like to know if the "rights and responsibilities" document has no legal authority.

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (03:07) apparently Alan has a different reading of the RAA

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (03:07) so he does think it has legal authority

Stephanie Perrin: (03:11) I had assumed it did, based on my research on the web materials and links. If it does not, we have a great example of how confusing the website has become. I have encountered quite a few in the process of documenting my dissertation....This kind of thing happens in any organization, of course, but it certainly reinforces the request that we in the NCSG have made a few times to the Board, asking for dedicated resources for a librarian/archivist to manage the documentation available on the website, and be available to assist the community

Alice Jansen: (03:16) Hi Stephanie - would you like to be added to the queue to read this comment or would you like one to voice it for you

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (03:17) I would agree with you, Stephanie, and with Alan. Here is the reference in the RAA

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (03:17) 3.16 Link to Registrant Educational Information. ICANN has published an educational webpage summarizing the terms of the Registrar Accreditation

Agreement and related Consensus Policies (as of the date of this Agreement, located at: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A_www.icann.org_en_registrars_registrant-2Drights-2Dresponsibilities-2Den.htm&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=0F3WbWwt3qiDC1xzZZ7Dv5faNyCtIVeCd3ujpyG4kUs&s=S0T7T-mAY1xvzKGiXWICMwneG2yWEMiJmbMWAOVVI80&e=). Registrar shall provide a link to such webpage on any website it may operate for domain name registration or renewal clearly displayed to its Registered Name Holders at least as clearly as its links to policies or notifications required to be displayed under ICANN Consensus Policies. ICANN may, in consultation with registrars, update the content and/or URL for this website.

Stephanie Perrin: (03:17) Who is speaking?

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (03:17) that is pretty clear as far as I'm concerned

Alice Jansen: (03:17) Akram

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (03:17) Akram Atallah

Stephanie Perrin: (03:17) Thanks! I lost the connection when Alan was asking a question.

Stephanie Perrin: (03:18) Akram does not show up on the Adobe, is he in person or on line?

Alice Jansen: (03:18) He is here in-person

Volker Greimann: (03:18) Sitting right next to me

Stephanie Perrin: (03:18) Thanks

Stephanie Perrin: (03:22) I have a question if you could read it out for me please. Has Compliance ever done any measurement of compliance with data protection law, or the rights of the registrant as spelled out in the RAA? So I am talking about things like notice that there is relevant data protection law, their rights in terms of accessing records, their rights to withdraw consent, the ability to get a proxy service, notice of what happens to their personal data, transparency rights (in terms of getting notice of law enforcement access to their personal data that might be held by the Registrars) ?

Alice Jansen: (03:24) you have been added to the queue

Alice Jansen: (03:24) I will read your comment

Stephanie Perrin: (03:25) Thanks Alice!

Stephanie Perrin: (03:27) It is a question so I am hoping they have answers. If the answer is yes they have done checks on this, I would like to know what the metrics are

Alice Jansen: (03:27) ok - will add that clarification

Stephanie Perrin: (03:28) I presume that now that there is a privacy officer, I can file my complaints about failure to comply with data protection law to him, and that he will take action.

Stephanie Perrin: (03:30) Just a general question

Stephanie Perrin: (03:31) Compliance with local law is in the contract

Stephanie Perrin: (03:32) requirement to inform of proxy service is also in the RAA. Requirement to get consent is in the contract, but may be in violation of local law.

Stephanie Perrin: (03:32) i would suggest that the CPO take a good look at that contract to check and see how compliant with data protection law it is.

Stephanie Perrin: (03:33) Just trying to be helpful

Alice Jansen: (03:43) We are now on a 10-minute break

Alice Jansen: (03:43) and will return at 08:45 UTC

Stephanie Perrin: (04:07) test

Stephanie Perrin: (04:08) Did I miss anything, or did you folks just take a 35 minute coffee break?

Susan Kawaguchi: (04:09) long break

Susan Kawaguchi: (04:09) sorry

Alice Jansen: (04:10) We are back

Stephanie Perrin: (04:11) No problem, I just think you should send us chocolates too!!!

Alice Jansen: (04:11) :-)

Alice Jansen: (04:12) you both deserve chocolate for participating remotely in a two-day face-to-face meeting!

Stephanie Perrin: (04:16) Can you point us to the documentation of that history please Alan?

Stephanie Perrin: (04:16) Particularly the "planning documents"

Volker Greimann: (04:17) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_resources_pages_approved-2Dwith-2Dspecs-2D2013-2D09-2D17-2Den-23whois-2Daccuracy&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-

[6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=0F3WbWwt3qiDClxzZZ7Dv5faNyCtlVEcD3ujpyG4kUs&s=CqFOInxIUdowGVILilOGnjZTbf7Yz3p4dIHsb3TXUGg&e=](https://www.whois.icann.org/6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=0F3WbWwt3qiDClxzZZ7Dv5faNyCtlVEcD3ujpyG4kUs&s=CqFOInxIUdowGVILilOGnjZTbf7Yz3p4dIHsb3TXUGg&e=)

Volker Greimann: (04:17) within fifteen (15) days of (1) the registration of a Registered Name sponsored by Registrar, (2) the transfer of the sponsorship of a Registered Name to Registrar, or (3) any change in the Registered Name Holder with respect to any Registered Name sponsored by Registrar, Registrar will,....

Volker Greimann: (04:17) you will note that renewal is not mentioned

Stephanie Perrin: (04:17) How will it be addressed in the report that we will come out with?

Stephanie Perrin: (04:18) Yes, just wanted to follow up on the history that you just gave us

Susan Kawaguchi: (04:19) @Volker I understand that but do not agree with it. This would allow a substantial number of registrations that never have to comply with new WHOIS rules

Alice Jansen: (04:20) Can you confirm that you can hear Patrick ?

Stephanie Perrin: (04:20) yes

Eric Evrard: (04:20) i can hear you

Volker Greimann: (04:21) correct, because the thought of having to touch millions of legacy format domains registrants caused Jeff to lose his hair, James and me to grey-out and ICANN to agree that this was unfeasible.\

Alice Jansen: (04:21) Great!

Volker Greimann: (04:22) reminding registrants to check and regularly update the domains and checking format when they do that should be sufficient to slowly update the backlog

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (04:24) @RT you have received a copy of this document by email this morning.

Alice Jansen: (04:25) Here is the copy - <http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/rds-whois2-rt/attachments/20171003/82d30da2/Scope-and-Objectives-v09-draft-0001.docx>

Stephanie Perrin: (04:28) Yes it would be very helpful to get rid of the agenda column, I cannot get it to go away

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (04:29) @Stephanie, on the top right hand corner, click on the icon with four arrows. that will help viewing the document in greater size.

Stephanie Perrin: (04:30) So you are just adding "as applicable"???

Stephanie Perrin: (04:32) I really don't like inserting weasel words like as appropriate.

Stephanie Perrin: (04:33) All we are doing is, if there is contention over whether or not we should do something, we open up a battlefield over whether something is appropriate. We already have tons of ambit for discretion.

Alice Jansen: (04:34) Stephanie - do you want to speak?

Stephanie Perrin: (04:34) Hint: Steph has already noted over the past four years that it never seems to be appropriate to provide measureable privacy rights.....)

Stephanie Perrin: (04:35) (just a little light humour)

Stephanie Perrin: (04:35) just noting that it adds nothing.

Stephanie Perrin: (04:35) and opens up an area for contention

Stephanie Perrin: (04:37) But you dont need to pad your scope document with weasel words to permit that degree of ambit. Just makes the entire endeavour look weaker....

Alice Jansen: (04:37) We are now on topic 2

Alice Jansen: (04:39) Stephanie/Thomas - any input on topic 2?

Stephanie Perrin: (04:39) In my view, keeping the inventory actually limits the scope, removing it opens you up to investigating any "new areas" of WHOIS which might, for instance, be opened up in the public comments.

Alice Jansen: (04:40) If you want to download your .doc version of this discussion document - click: <http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/rds-whois2-rt/attachments/20171003/82d30da2/Scope-and-Objectives-v09-draft-0001.docx>

Alice Jansen: (04:40) Stephanie - would you like me to read that?

Alice Jansen: (04:40) never mind!

Stephanie Perrin: (04:40) I am not sure that it is necessary.

Alice Jansen: (04:40) yes :)

Stephanie Perrin: (04:42) As Lisa pointed out, once you do A you have an inventory. you will have to weasel word A down if you do not want to provide transparency about our delliberations on the "inventory"

Stephanie Perrin: (04:43) Don't misunderstand my quibbling about the words....in my view we have a dutry to do that inventory. Part of our responsibility as a review team.

Stephanie Perrin: (04:43) But it does follow logically that if you do it you should be transparent about it, and then you have to address them, not just shrug.

Lisa Phifer: (04:45) Resulting language in row 2: by (a) identifying significant new areas of today's WHOIS policies and procedures (if any) which the team believes should be reviewed, and (b) determining if any specific measurable steps should be recommended to enhance effectiveness in those new areas.

Lisa Phifer: (04:47) Revert

Stephanie Perrin: (04:48) YEs topics is better than areas....

Alice Jansen: (04:49) Now on topic 3

Alice Jansen: (04:50) Is that a new or "old" hand. Stephanie?

Alice Jansen: (04:50) new hand - ok

Stephanie Perrin: (04:54) new hand

Alice Jansen: (04:55) ok

Alice Jansen: (04:55) you're in the queue

Stephanie Perrin: (04:58) I keep hearing the expression national law, that is why I raised it.

Stephanie Perrin: (05:01) I think validity of a request might be better because "legitimate" carries some kind of a moral tone. Valid, i would construe in the following manner: Here is a request for data access from the Humane Society, here is the legal authority that they have to request data. Easy. No such request should be permitted unless it specifies the authority they have to gather the data.

Lisa Phifer: (05:04) Proposed text: Note that determining which law enforcement requests are in fact valid will not be addressed by this review.

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (05:05) I like Lisa's text

Susan Kawaguchi: (05:05) makes sense to me

Stephanie Perrin: (05:05) Good.

Volker Greimann: (05:07) i like

Stephanie Perrin: (05:08) I pointed out yesterday that the inventory of data requested in the 2008 GAC contribution to the amendments to the 2009 RAA and the OCTopus report are looking for deeper data that is not in the WHOIS (eg Financial). I think we should define the particular illegitimate needs of law enforcement that are going to be addresssing ought to be spelled out.

Stephanie Perrin: (05:08) Lunch? you guys just took a 35 minute chocolate break? Slack....

Alice Jansen: (05:08) :-) - We will reconvene at 10:45 UTC. Thanks !

Alice Jansen: (05:08) reconvene*

Susan Kawaguchi: (05:09) They will have to photoshop you into the photo Stephanie

Stephanie Perrin: (05:09) Not right now, I am still in my jammies!

Alice Jansen: (05:09) perks of remote participation :-)

Stephanie Perrin: (05:10) Yes, there definitely are some perks.

Alice Jansen: (05:53) Stephanie - can you confirm that you can hear Patrick

Lisa Phifer: (06:00) Stephanie are you able to comment?

Stephanie Perrin: (06:00) I believe I had complained that "safeguarding" not a great word (I know we are stuck with it) because it is not useful to cover collection

Alice Jansen: (06:02) you're in the queue

Stephanie Perrin: (06:08) That is very reassuring Susan!

Stephanie Perrin: (06:10) But I still don't understand why there is such an insistence in the RDS working group that Privacy Proxy services should be the automatic response. I am sure you have read all the traffic on that score...

Stephanie Perrin: (06:10) They ought to be complaining, I find it very curious.....

Susan Kawaguchi: (06:11) I am not following you

Susan Kawaguchi: (06:11) what do you think they are advocating for in the RDS WG?

Alice Jansen: (06:15) marks can be found in the hand raised drop down list

Thomas Walden: (06:15) include

Stephanie Perrin: (06:18) It is very curious that the folks fighting spam, who are very adamant that privacy makes their lives impossible, are suggesting that registrars should provide free proxy services. If proxy services worked, ie protected the identity of registrants, then does this not interfere with their work? I do understand that some of them are scoring sites on "reputation" systems, but it makes me curious as to what happens when they ask for a reveal.

Stephanie Perrin: (06:19) (this was all in response to Susan)

Stephanie Perrin: (06:19) So "rough consensus" is 80% agreement then?

Susan Kawaguchi: (06:20) ah, so in my reading of their call for "free proxy services" it is only to prevent registrars from making a profit from what they perceive is a negative situation.

Susan Kawaguchi: (06:20) they are not in favor of masking registrations

Susan Kawaguchi: (06:21) but if that is what is going to happen due to the GDPR (there have been many registrars that have stated they are going to mask everything) then the registrars should not be making a profit from a bad situation

Stephanie Perrin: (06:21) Well I agree that there is some allegations about proxy services being a gravy train, and I did wonder if the pressure for free proxy was simply to force them to quit altogether

Susan Kawaguchi: (06:22) I doubt most proxy services currently make that much money but I do not have any data to base that on

Volker Greimann: (06:23) it is a very minor point on our balance sheet

Stephanie Perrin: (06:24) I see this item as lame duck or no duck at all....

Stephanie Perrin: (06:25) Yes I understand that proxy services are not the money machine some have alleged...but I find it very curious that the howling about privacy law is not echoed in howling about proxy services.

Volker Greimann: (06:26) IDK, I keep hearing it is a significant income source for some. It really depends on how it set up

Stephanie Perrin: (06:33) which one are we talking about, I don't see number 8 up on the screen

Susan Kawaguchi: (06:34) discussing RDAP

Stephanie Perrin: (06:36) I believe we discussed this yesterday. Including it could be incredibly quick.

Stephanie Perrin: (06:36) I thought indeed that we felt we could just take what Cathrin is saying as our recommendation.....

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (06:38) @Stephanie: number 9 is "assess current protocol for current purposes"

Thomas Walden: (06:39) Include

Stephanie Perrin: (06:40) Can you please point to or highlight the text you are talking about, I am having a really hard time following the order of the discussion

Thomas Walden: (06:40) I concur with Catherine

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: (06:44) @Stephanie, old or new hand?

Stephanie Perrin: (06:44) I don't understand the logic of taking these out

Stephanie Perrin: (06:46) Can we be precise about what "removing means". If the scope document has both of these things out of scope, it is not appropriate in my view

Stephanie Perrin: (06:47) thanks Volker, I appreciate the clarification

Stephanie Perrin: (06:52) I agree with Erika. Laws are very broad, and we hear a lot of rhetoric about the extent to which WHOIS contributes to "Consumer Trust". I think there are remarkably

strict limits as to how much consumer trust can be generated through the WHOIS, because of that slippery slope into content of websites....

Alice Jansen: (06:53) would you like me to read, Stephanie?

Stephanie Perrin: (06:54) Possibly it might be useful, I think Dmitry might be making a similar point about how limited the usefulness of WHOIS is in terms of figuring out who you want to buy something from

Alice Jansen: (06:57) You're in the queue, Stephanie

Stephanie Perrin: (07:01) my understanding is that the CCT did studies

Alice Jansen: (07:02) Here is a list of the CCT related studies

- https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_display_CCT_Studies-252C-2BResearch-252C-2Band-2BBackground-2BMaterials&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=0F3WbWwt3qiDClxzZZ7Dv5faNyCtlVEcD3ujpyG4kUs&s=nvf73BJX35KG9bZrVncOmQXysJ3VbsCtDS34n_rnuaU&e=

Stephanie Perrin: (07:02) Thanks Alice!

Stephanie Perrin: (07:11) +1 Volker....we do need to deal with the TBDF

Alice Jansen: (07:19) the exercise is on page

11 https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_document_d_1pZnZ3jze-5FEmq1dN3OSMIxaGkgR27XoJbZPa0LoLbvhE_edit-3Fts-3D59d166a7&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=0F3WbWwt3qiDClxzZZ7Dv5faNyCtlVEcD3ujpyG4kUs&s=Mx4EkgpznIsfPbzmngLzOo8OsX0mGuxzqLIFK0Rg4Q4&e=

Stephanie Perrin: (07:24) cannot hear

Stephanie Perrin: (07:25) are we disbanding and reconvening at a giventime?

Alice Jansen: (07:25) they are all their "working stations"

Alice Jansen: (07:26) Jean-baptiste and I will get Patrick back on the microphone

Stephanie Perrin: (07:26) thanks

Alice Jansen: (07:27) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_document_d_1pZNZ3jze-5FEmq1dN3OSMIxaGkgR27XoJbZPa0LoLbvHE_edit-3Fts-3D59d166a7&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=0F3WbWwt3qiDCIxzZZ7Dv5faNyCtlVEcD3ujpyG4kUs&s=Mx4EkpgznIsfPbzmngLzOo8OsX0mGuxzqLIFK0Rg4Q4&e=

Stephanie Perrin: (07:28) perfect, reassemble in 20 minutes or so?

Alice Jansen: (07:28) we are reassembling at 12:45

Alice Jansen: (07:28) UTC

Stephanie Perrin: (07:28) I need a coffee break and will mark up the Google doc. number 6 is deleted right?

Alice Jansen: (07:30) yes

Stephanie Perrin: (07:51) if there is any way to boost the volume, it would be much appreciated. Hard to hear and v hard to follow

Alice Jansen: (07:55) asking tech

Alice Jansen: (07:56) is this better?

Alice Jansen: (08:01) We are on a break for 15 minutes

Alice Jansen: (08:02) We will reconvene at 13:15 UTC for a work plan discussion

Alice Jansen: (08:17) We will be reconvening soon - here is the list to the work plan we will be discussing shortly - https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_download_attachments_69279139_RDS-2DWHOIS2-2DRT-2520Workplan.xlsx-3Fversion-3D1-26modificationDate-3D1506686408000-26api-3Dv2&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=0F3WbWwt3qiDCIxzZZ7Dv5faNyCtlVEcD3ujpyG4kUs&s=Gk00Y0zyFSNps6uuzvjWeEw7bwgEpR820g60-sbuF9M&e=

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_download_attachments_69279139_RDS-2DWHOIS2-2DRT-2520Workplan.xlsx-3Fversion-3D1-26modificationDate-3D1506686408000-26api-3Dv2&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=0F3WbWwt3qiDCIxzZZ7Dv5faNyCtlVEcD3ujpyG4kUs&s=Gk00Y0zyFSNps6uuzvjWeEw7bwgEpR820g60-sbuF9M&e=

Stephanie Perrin: (08:34) Sorry to be late

Alice Jansen: (08:36) no worries!

Alice Jansen: (08:46) Stephanie - Are you still with us?

Stephanie Perrin: (08:48) YEs, but I am not quite sure how to participate in this exercise. If I don't yell out for something, am I left out?

Stephanie Perrin: (08:49) Bit hard to follow....

Stephanie Perrin: (08:49) I think I would like to volunteer for Law enforcement Consumer trust, and Safeguards

Alice Jansen: (08:50) Thanks

Alice Jansen: (08:50) We are trying to find volunteers for all topics

Alice Jansen: (08:50) Are there any additional topics you would be participated in joining

Stephanie Perrin: (08:51) workload wise, 3 seems enough

Alice Jansen: (08:51) interested*

Stephanie Perrin: (08:52) i am not quite sure what "anything new" means

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (08:52) that's the assessment of WHOIS in its current shape

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (08:52) as it is after impl of recs

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst: (08:52) the one we had the big discussion on

Stephanie Perrin: (08:53) Privacy proxy for me

Stephanie Perrin: (08:54) Right, maybe I should volunteer for that one as 4 and 5 are quick

Stephanie Perrin: (08:54) So steph for anything new

Alice Jansen: (08:56) we have you in anything new, Stephanie

Stephanie Perrin: (08:56) thanks

Alice Jansen: (08:57) you've been added to consumer trust

Alice Jansen: (08:57) you've been added to safeguards registrant data

Stephanie Perrin: (09:24) hard to tell how aggressive this is as yet.

Stephanie Perrin: (09:25) What did we decide to do about TBDF?

Stephanie Perrin: (09:26) I presume that gets allocated to Anything new (2) I just suspect that over the year we may see the results of Schrems II, now that it has been referred to the high court. (CJEU)

Stephanie Perrin: (09:35) GNSO meets in advance.

Stephanie Perrin: (09:38) Some of us find the long meetings very difficult. If I have to come in early for GNSO, then add two days to the end, that is too long away from home, particularly on the long haul flights

Stephanie Perrin: (09:38) I am not willing to skip GNSO Council.

Stephanie Perrin: (09:40) GNSO council meets on t Saturday before, no?

Stephanie Perrin: (09:41) +1 Chris

Stephanie Perrin: (09:42) Long stays are very hard on lives

Stephanie Perrin: (09:50) I heard that bit about getting people shot....

Alice Jansen: (09:58) you're in the queue, Stephanie

Stephanie Perrin: (09:59) thanks

Stephanie Perrin: (10:11) I would ask the Board to look at the recommendation, calculate the risks of going forward with the recommendation.

Alice Jansen: (10:12) you've been added to the queue

Alice Jansen: (10:14) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_document_d_1pZnZ3jze-5FEmq1dN3OSMIxaGkgR27XoJbZPa0LoLbvHE_edit-3Fts-3D59d166a7&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=0F3WbWwt3qiDCIxzZZ7Dv5faNyCtlVEcD3ujpyG4kUs&s=Mx4EkpgznIsfPbzmngLzOo8OsX0mGuxzqLIFK0Rg4Q4&e=

Volker Greimann: (10:15) I prefer decisions to be done offline if not all members are there

Stephanie Perrin: (10:18) indeed, the GNSO has a procedure for that, I suggest we emulate that.

Stephanie Perrin: (10:21) Perhaps we need to consider establishing a proxy system

Stephanie Perrin: (10:22) If I have a pulmonary embolism on the way home from Abu Dhabi, I should be able to name a proxy. from my constituency.

Stephanie Perrin: (10:26) sound???

Stephanie Perrin: (10:29) We will inevitably discover, as we go along, discover terms that are not well understood. Those terms, we should be able to define as we go along. They don't need to be in the terms of reference in my view.

Stephanie Perrin: (10:35) It is my understanding that we have until next week to review all the documents and raise any issues that we feel need further definition/discussion. Is that correct? we are mushing through this at a great rate, and since I cannot pull up the documents and follow remotely, I would like the caveat that if I find a problem this week, I can raise it.

Stephanie Perrin: (10:36) So how do we raise the issues of a need for external experts??

Stephanie Perrin: (10:37) IF for instance in the discussion of law enforcement needs, we feel the need for an independent legal review of the ask, how do we raise the issue, achieve consensus, and get the funds?

Stephanie Perrin: (10:37) I am busy typing away in chat in order not to slow down the meeting, but I do trust someone is noting my comments.

Stephanie Perrin: (10:38) What was the decision that was just made

Stephanie Perrin: (10:40) Yes many thanks for the support for us remotes

Stephanie Perrin: (10:40) It was great!

Alice Jansen: (10:40) We are having an informal meeting at ICANN 50

Alice Jansen: (10:40) on Oct 31st

Alice Jansen: (10:40) 12:15-13:15

Stephanie Perrin: (10:40) Thanks Alice

Alice Jansen: (10:40) ICANN 60