ICANN

Moderator: Maryam Bakoshi
January 16, 2018
8:00am CT

Coordinator: Recording has started.

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you very much, Rachelle. Good morning. Good afternoon. Good evening. This is the NCPH Intersessional Turning Call on Tuesday 16th of January 2018 at 14:00 UTC.

On the call today we have Gangadhar Panday, Oreoluwa Somolu Barbara Wanner, Kiran Malancharuvil, Lori Schulman, Michael Karanicolas, Philippe Fouquart, Rafik Dammak, Steve DelBianco, Tony Holmes, Vicky Sheckler, Wolf-Ulrich Knoben, Joan Kerr, and on the phone bridge we have Tim Chen, Bruna Santos, and Farzaneh Badii.

We have apologies from Raoul Plommer, Arsène Tungali, Ayden Ferdeline, Tatiana Tropina, Juan Manuel Rojas, Heather Forrest, and Tony Harris.

From staff we have Andrea Glandon, Benedetta Rossi, OZan Sahin, Mary Wong, and myself Maryam Bakoshi.

I would like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much and over to you, Benedetta.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much, Maryam and thank you all for joining the final I think Intercessional Planning Call for this year's upcoming meeting. My name is Benedetta Rossi for the transcript.

So just before we delve into the finalization of the agenda -- which is going to be the focus of today's call -- I just wanted to give you a couple of updates on the logistics and see if anybody has any questions on logistics before we move on.

So just a quick update just so that everybody is aware, all delegates upon arrival at the hotel -- so when you check in -- will receive a folder which will include information on the venue like the venue map, you know, where to find the meeting room. There's going to be the invitation for the reception. You'll have your own tent card with your name and your community underneath, so you can bring that to the meeting. And then a local information, just to facilitate your stay in Los Angeles. And then you'll have a printed copy of the program agenda. So once you check in, the hotel staff will just give it to you directly.

Breakfast will be included within the hotel right at the Doubletree Hotel. So you'll have able to just have breakfast before the meetings begin. And then as you're aware, lunch will be provided during the meeting, so you won't have to worry about that. And on day one, it will just be for the NPCH delegation whereas day two we have confirmed that there's going to be lunch with the full ICANN board.

We will also have a reception on Friday, February the 2nd which is right after the meeting wraps up. Just thinking if there's anything else that we should be updating you regarding logistics.

I know that everybody has been supplying Maryam and (Andrea) with their biographies and pictures. That's for the bio document, which will also be included by the way, sorry, in your folder that you will pick up at the beginning of the, sorry, when you check into the hotel. And Maryam will be circulating that shortly to all the delegates and to ensure that all information is accurate before we actually print it before the meeting.

And then one final item which I think should be discussed on the call. I just want to bring to everyone's attention -- I know that we discussed this briefly on the mailing list -- but both the BC and IPC have submitted requests to see if we could accommodate local observers to attend the meeting in person. I know again that we've already discussed this, but I thought that it was an important point to make as full planning committee.

What has previously happened for the Washington DC meeting, but this was taken into account right from the beginning of the planning process to ensure that there was balanced participation even from local observers. And then obviously the additional attendees were then budgeted for within the NCPH Intersessional budget. So for this year unfortunately the requests came in very late and within the planning process it would be very difficult to accommodate it.

And just as a reminder, the purpose of the NCPH is sort of one of the principal guidelines of the meeting, is to have balanced participation from all participating communities. So that's up to seven delegates per group and for those seven delegates therefore represent their communities at the

intersessional meeting. But at least for this meeting obviously you will have multi participation capabilities, so anyone who's an observer will be able to take part in the active dialogues with the Adobe Connect Chat. And then obviously the delegates in room will be able to keep their eyes and ears on the ground and be able to bring any additional points that would need to be discussed during the meeting on their behalf.

This is all I had at this stage in terms of updates from a logistical standpoint. I'll just pause for a second to see if anybody has any questions or any additional items that have to do with logistical matters. And I'll see if Mary also has anything that I have missed. Thank you, Mary. I see in the chat I've covered our updates. I don't see any hands raised in the Adobe Connect. I see there's a lot of typing in the chat, so wanted to make sure that I didn't miss anything. Okay. I see that Renata's hand is up, so I'll turn it over to Renata. Please go ahead.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Hi. Renata here. I hope you can hear me. I just wanted to give an update that I received a response from (Heather). We are cofacilitating the closing. And it's quite a brief (segment). We had discussed a bit (unintelligible) so responded on using the segments for key messages, which did come from session co-chairs.

So we would appreciate if when planning their sessions, co-chairs think of a key takeaway they can send to the closing session. It should be action items for the future or specific conclusions. So it looks really good for that direction. So thank you for your collaboration.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much, Renata. And before I turn it over to Steve, I had just a quick note on what you just mentioned. Obviously, staff will be present so we'll be able to keep track of action items as well. And it will be very helpful

if each session co-chair, you know, maybe as part of the closure of their session just mentioned okay the action items for this session are, and we can at least keep track of them. And if anything, we can help aggregate them and submit them to you Renata and (Heather) for the closing remarks of the second day, if that works. That's just an idea. So we're there obviously to help you, if that's helpful.

And I see that Steve's hand is up and then Karin. So please Steve go ahead.

Steve DelBianco: Hi. Thanks. Renata used the phrase messages and the phrase takeaways in those descriptions. And I think both are appropriate, but our focus should be on takeaways within the non-contract party house -- things that we want to do. We might have some takeaways where there are items that staff has to follow up on.

But Renata, you're right -- we might even also have messages. I remember at the Washington DC Intersessional we all agreed on a joint message that we sent to ICANN board and management. And that's an option we have but it's not a requirement.

So as each slot works, as each constituency and stakeholder group meets, takeaways are important. And if it's possible that we have a joint message of some kind, then we can do that as well. But it's both takeaways and messages. Thank you, Renata.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much, Steve. Kiran, you're next. And would you please go ahead?

Kiran Malancharuvil: Hi, thanks. I just - can you hear me? I'm using the computer microphone.

Benedetta Rossi: Yes, we can hear you very well.

Kiran Malancharuvil: Okay. Great. I just wanted to back up to the in-person participation. I'm feeling quite passionate about this because of the sort of urgent and critical nature of the GDPR discussion.

And while we definitely understand that the principle of the NCPH intersessional is based on proportional representation and we don't want to upset any balance, perhaps -- and I think Lori mentioned this in the chat -- we could take advantage of the structure around the intersessional meeting to facilitate some discussion even just within our own group on GDPR and encourage attendance to these sort of important conversations that we're having. I know we haven't gotten there yet, but I'm assuming that the GDPR will come up in contacts with questions to the CEO and, you know, others.

I think there's an opportunity here that will be wasted for us to sort of utilize the infrastructure that this meeting provides to move the ball forward on these issues.

I don't really see the difference between in-person attendance where, you know, obviously the delegates are the participants in the conversation and the ones leading it but in-person attendees can observe, and remote participation. So I wonder is there a possibility for a compromise where we allow in-person attendance but, you know, treat them like remote participation and that they are observers? Is that a possibility?

And I guess a sort of follow question is what is the problem with the timing? Is it that there's no space now, like physical space, or logistics as far as, you know, outreach to community members to tell them that they can attend? So sort of a statement and a question. Thanks.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much, Karin. I see that Wolf-Ulrich's hand is up. I don't know if this is in response to Karin's point, but I'll defer to Wolf and then the response from staff perspective on the logistical standpoint. So please Wolf, go ahead.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Yes, thanks. This is Wolf speaking. To this point, we from the ISP we fully understand the point Karin and then (Brian) was raising about. But there is I'm afraid to say that we have an issue with that. You know, otherwise every time, you know, when we have such a meeting - and we are as we started, you know, early enough to prepare for that meeting in between it came up, you know, that this point is coming more urgent because of this date, you know, the deadline. So that is the only reason why it is that urgent.

But we have a problem with it because we cannot - so we have colleagues in our community as well who are, you know, very much engaged in that and they are doing as well in the appropriate forum. We can simply be saying ICANN. And they do not have a chance to come over to this meeting just for this purpose for the GDPR.

So while I have to apologize, Kiren and my IPC colleagues, that I cannot join your request here in this regard because, you know, this is - then we open up for the future for any kind of purpose which may arise. Thank you very much.

Farzaneh Badii: Sorry. Benedetta. This is Farzaneh Badii. Can I say something? Sorry. I'm on the phone.

((Crosstalk))

Farzaneh Badii: I don't see anything in that. This is how I think about - I just wanted to also voice some reservations about having observers to the meeting. I believe that observers, especially if they're in-person, they can do more than remote participant observers. They can, you know, do various things and strategize and do stuff that other people are not from other stakeholder groups. Observers from other stakeholder groups cannot.

So I totally agree with Wolf-Ulrich on this. I don't think we should allow observers because this is -- especially for GDPR, which is a very contentious issue. We are not agreeing on things at NCPH about various issues like GDPR compliance. And we are on the opposite side of the spectrum noncommercial and commercial stakeholder group mostly. So I believe it's better to keep it to our self.

And also the other thing about Kiran mentioned that they might want to like in their group strategize about GDPR during intersessional or there were two before. I don't know if this is the strategizing during intersessional, I still have some reservations of course. Groups can do whatever they want, but if the commercial stakeholder comes out of the meeting and says, "During intersessional we decided to take this position on GDPR," then I don't want that portrayed as something that NCPH has actually endorsed.

So this is my reservation about having these strategizing meetings during intersessional because this is NCPH. We're discussing together. Of course you can like private meetings and talk about what you want to discuss during the intersessional, but I'm not very sure about having like groups strategizing on their own and coming out with like messages of their own.

That was just my reservation. Thank you very much.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much, Farzaneh. And I see that Karin's hand it up, so I'll turn it over to Karin. Please go ahead.

Karin Malancharuvil: So I just wanted to - I understand the reticence and I understand the reason. And it's noted. Just two points -- and I don't think that I guess we're at the point of changing (unintelligible) mind.

I guess I'm a little about the characterization of strategizing with our stakeholder groups and our constituencies as like somehow problematic. I consider myself in this space as a representative of the IPC and I actually think it's part of my obligation as an intersessional delegate to communicate regularly with the IPC on the ground in person or on Skype or on email or whatever, because it's important for me to be continuously informing the IPC what we're doing here and getting their feedback on how to represent our issues appropriately.

I also think that the contracted party house set a really good example on how they've been dealing with these GDPR challenges and issues by, you know, having internal meetings and then hosting really big events that people could come to in person, they could participate remotely. It was a free for all for people to come in and discuss the contracted party house issues with relation to GDPR, and in particular the eco model that was being represented for GDPR compliance.

And I had hoped that the non-contracted party house would be sort of similarly aware of the importance of these challenges and issues and would similarly use the infrastructure that already exists around these issues to discuss it, you know, as a house and as a community -- which I think is the impetus behind the request.

So I understand the other things. I wish it were different. I think that this particular issue demands us to treat this differently than we would other intersessional meetings and other intersessional issues. But I guess I understand everybody's point. So thank you. Appreciate it.

Farzaneh Badii: Can I - sorry, Benedetta. It's Farzaneh speaking. Thank you, Karin. I actually didn't mean that you cannot strategize with your group. Of course you need to need to strategize with your group internally.

But having a separate meeting during the intersessional and then inviting outsiders to that to strategize and, you know. And then what I dear is that it comes out as like messages from the non-contracted party. And it will be problematic. Of course you can send emails and Skype with your group -- which is we are going to do it and I won't ask anything unreasonable like that.

The other point I do make -- the contracted party invites people and it has of course party does because they're kind of like aligned more or less on issues. But we are not. So I think comparing ourselves to the contracted party would be a mistake. And I don't think we should follow their framework. I think we should have collegial conversation about our differences about where we agree and keep to ourselves. And if we want then to tell the broader community what we discussed.

But as to inviting everyone and because then that's what - then the imbalance will appear again, because as you know the noncommercial stakeholder does not have as much resources as the commercial one. So there will be an imbalance if we open it. So thank you.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much, Farzaneh. I think that should probably be sufficient time to discuss the logistics. And I think that we can now move onto the

discussion on the actual agenda if everybody agrees -- unless anyone has any further comments on this topic. Okay, great. Seeing none, we can move onto finalizing the agenda.

Okay. So you can see the most updated agenda is on the screen for you to review. I just think the documents you can all scroll directly on the Adobe Connect. And for those who aren't on the Adobe Connect, we're showing on Adobe Connect the agenda that I shared earlier today on the list. So everybody should have a copy of that.

So okay. So starting from day one, day one will begin at 9:00 with slot A, which is open introductions welcome for 15 minutes, including an overview of the meeting logistics for the two-day period. And then followed by slot B, from 9:15 to 10:45. This will be a stakeholder group community introductory breakout. So one group will remain in the main room and there is going to be a second breakout room for the other stakeholder group to convene and prepare for the various sessions.

Then from 10:45 to 11:00 there's going to be a break. And then slot C will begin at 11:00am until 12:30pm. This is going to be plenary session number one. This is the community overview, so the 12-minute presentation by each constituency.

We now have all the session co-chairs assigned for each session. So for this plenary, we have Tony Harris -- sorry, I'm just turning my page -- Tony Harris and Joan Kerr will be the session co-chairs.

And then slot D will begin at 12:30 until 1:30pm. And this is a lunch slot which was initially assigned for preparation for the meeting with the board. I've discussed on the mailing list the meeting with the board is going to be

actually an informal lunch with the full board. So for capacity reasons, since the entire board is actually joining the NCPH delegation, this is going to take place at the hotel terrace.

So this slot is actually a free slot unless you'd like to still prepare for the meeting with the board. Otherwise, this can be accommodated with another topic. I don't know if there's anything else that should be discussed.

The only other item was potentially the meeting preparation for the meeting with the CEO. It's currently scheduled for 30 minutes. So I'm not sure if this is something that the NCPH would like to expand and maybe use this slot to further prepare for the meeting with the ICANN CEO or if there's anything else that isn't currently in the agenda that might be helpful to add to the agenda at this stage.

Wonder if anybody has any thoughts on this slot. Or if you'd rather just continue and port this for later. I see Mary is saying on the Adobe Connect Chat that the lunch with the board doesn't allow for formal meeting. Yes, so there's not going to be any audio-visual capability for the lunch slot with the board.

I see that on the chat Renata is mentioning that you should still have the preparation even though there isn't the formal meeting. I'm positive - I see that there's a lot of typing in the chat. Okay. Tony Holmes also agrees with Renata. And Barbara as well noting that there's a good chance (unintelligible) for the board and CEO meeting.

Renata, are you referring to the MSSI session? Mary do you have any updates regarding the MSSI session? I'll turn it over to you. Thank you, Mary.

Mary Wong: Thanks, Benedetta and thanks for the question, Renata. We on the policy team don't have the updates. I think where we left it on the mailing list was for this planning group to determine if you wanted to have that as part of your meetings in Los Angeles. And we haven't really seen any confirmation or great support for it.

So the alternative then is for each stakeholder group and all constituency to work with (Theresa) and her team to find a time that can work for your group, if your group is interested in the white boarding session with (Theresa's) team.

As I mentioned in email, the idea was to try to do it face to face because we're told that that actually has yielded the most constructive feedback. But it would be up to each group to figure out if say at ICANN 61 your sessions have time for (Theresa) and her team to come in. So Maryam and I are happy to facilitate your responses to (Theresa), but in terms of the meeting today I think the decision for this group is whether or not you want to include it in these two days or have each individual SGNC get back to (Theresa) with their preferences. Thanks, Benedetta.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you Mary. Okay so for the moment I'm going back to Slot D for the land spot on day one. I'll just update the agenda to reflect that it can be a preparatory spot for the meeting with the (ombuds) support and the CEO. And just for the transcript I see that Steve DelBianco who's (running) the BC would like to do the white boarding session with MSSI. And sorry Steve, just to clarify is that for the BC to do it directly as a BC or as part of the intercessional? Okay great. Okay I'll just continue going through the agenda.

So we're now on Slot E on day one which is going to be from 1:30 pm to 3:00 pm and it's the plenary session Number 2. And it's the PDC discussion one

new gTLD subsequent procedures in the next expansion round. And (Hugh) regarding the co-chairs I'm still awaiting confirmation regarding Slot E and F regarding from the IPC and ISPCP. And we've got Robin Gross from the NCSG as the session co-chair for Slot E.

We then move on to a break from 3:00 to 3:15 and then resuming with Slot F from 3:15 to 4:15 pm. And it's plenary session Number 3. And it's a PDP discussion Number 2 rights protection mechanisms and all gTLDs. And for the NCSG we have Martin Silva Valent as the session co-chair and again pending IPC and ISPCP confirming the two co-chairs for Slot E and F.

And that brings us to Slot G from 4:15 to 5:30 pm. That's NCPH plenary session Number 4. And this is the NCPH procedural in-house issues including the board Seat 14 selection process and the GNSO Council chair and vice chair selection process. And here we have Wolf-Ulrich Knoben from the CSG and Rafik Dammak from the NCSG as co-chairs. And then from 5:30 pm to 5:45 this will be the (formal) wrap-up from the session co-chairs and SG leadership to summarize the action items and next steps from day one. And that wraps up – that adjourns day one.

And then for day two we'll begin at 9:00 am to 10:30 with Slot H and it's the plenary session Number 5. And this is the reconciling Whois and GDPR topic. Both Akram Atallah and Teresa Swinehart have been invited and confirmed as guest speakers. And ICANN legal will also be present. We're just awaiting confirmation from the legal team regarding the name of the representative who will join the NCPH delegation for this particular slot. Steve I see your hand is up. Please go ahead.

Steve DelBianco: Hi. I – Stephanie Perrin is not on the call today so I will do my best to reach Stephanie. But I do think that Vicky Scheckler and Tatiana Tropina should

also join so that the four of us can figure out how to sequence this discussion of GDPR because it's a total here of gosh, 2-1/2 hours and segmenting it between reconciling Whois and GDPR compliance I'm fine with segmenting it into two parts because it would be too long to go 2-1/2 hours. But the four of us really need to work out the way in which that agenda will map out.

And I think that one of the guiding principles there is that Teresa and Akram are there for the first 90 minutes and then legal would be attending. That is to say the folks in charge of compliance with respect to policies like Whois and GDPR those would be in the second hour. So I think the four of us better try to work things out amongst us prior to going down there. Thank you.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much Steve. Vicky I see your hand is up. Please go ahead.

Vicky Scheckler: Yes I completely agree with Steve. And Tatiana, you know, we'll send a separate email to you and (Stephanie). And hopefully we can get together this week to get our ducks in a row.

Benedetta Rossi: Perfect. Thank you very much Vicky. That brings us to Slot I so the plenary session Number 6 from 10:30 to 11:30. So again this is with Vicky and Tatiana session co-chairs. This is the GDPR compliance. And again ICANN legal was invited and confirmed for this session. We're just now waiting confirmation on who is going to be attending.

Following Slot I is Slot J. This is from 11:30 to 12:00 and it's – this can either be a break or the final preparation for the meeting with the CEO. We have Brian Winterfledt and (Louis Hurrell) as the session co-chairs for this and yes this is the CEO special final (unintelligible). And then from 12:00 to 1:00 is Slot K. And this is the informal NCPH lunch meeting with the board which is – yes so again we have no easy capabilities for this. We do have session co-

chairs assigned so I don't know if – I mean we can obviously maintain the co-chairs in case, you know, you want to say a few words what – when the board arrives, set the tone by then.

We won't have unfortunately any AV capability on the (terr). But if there are topics to be submitted to the board for this (unintelligible) an informal discussion at the various lunch tables that can obviously be arranged. And if – for – since there won't be any remote partipcipation capabilities if remote observers would like to have specific items to be discussed with the board obviously they can use the delegates who are on site to present them to board members face to face. I'm just catching up with the chat to see if there's anything that I might have missed. I see that Farzeneh is handing up so I'll pause for a moment. Please go ahead Farzeneh.

Farzeneh Badii: Hi, Farzeneh speaking. Are – see so we are talking about the lunch that we were going to talk about roles of the board and the GAC post IANA transition which is a very important subject for us. And we all agree as GNSO that GAC overreaches and in many aspects to generous names policymaking. And we wanted to have a discussion with the board about the role after IANA transition. So now with this lunch thing that is at various tables I'm not sure we can even do that.

So I don't – is it not at all possible that we could at some point just sit down and – but there won't be any microphones. So I don't know. I think this was a very important topic we wanted to discuss with the board. And at the moment I don't see it's feasible with this format unless you have another ideas.

Mary Wong: Hi Benedetta. This is Mary. Can I get in the queue?

Benedetta Rossi: Yes please go ahead Mary.

Mary Wong: Okay thank you and thanks for that Farzeneh. I think we understand that this is an important topic and had been discussed amongst this group. My understanding though is that the rooms and the layout is such that what we have available to us for a group of this size meaning, you know, the NCPH attendees and the board is that the terrace is the best place for a lunch which would encourage interaction albeit in small groups.

So one thing that you might want to consider is that obviously this is not going to be a topic where you'd have a one-off discussion. And given that we have ICANN 61 coming up pretty much within a month or so from the NCPH intercessional whether or not as each of your representatives use this opportunity to broach the topic with specific board members, then depending on the feedback that you get from the board whether this is something that you can pursue with the board through your SGs or Cs at ICANN 61 or indeed in other forums as well.

So we're not saying that you can't talk about it obviously but that the conversation will likely have to happen in smaller groups. And so how you might want to choose to do the follow-up could depend on the feedback that you get from specific board members. I hope that's helpful. Thanks Benedetta.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you Mary. Farzeneh go ahead.

Farzeneh Badii: Okay so I suggest either we have to agree with the NCPH internally that at least while we are at the table not to admit it only to the role of GAC plus IANA transition discussion but at least mention the issue. I mean they are not obliged to but when they are at the table if they think this is an issue they want to discuss let's put (aside) that as an issue forward so that at least we've raised some awareness.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you Farzeneh. I was catching up with the chat. I see that Wolf-Ulrich is also noting that the ISPCP also has an interest in the white boarding session with MSSI. I'm wondering where this can fit into the schedule. I see that Mary's suggesting that if we can't fit it into the NCPH schedule we can put the ISPC in touch with MSSI for a separate session yes so for the BC.

But just looking at the schedule I mean really the only slot which seems to be open unless anything changes in terms of the topics was the preparatory session on day one for the lunch session on day one which was initially penciled in to accommodate prep for the meeting with the board. Since the meeting isn't' a – more of an informal meeting we could potentially fit that there unless you still want to keep that to prep for the meeting with the CEO. I'm told by Mary that the lunch probably won't work for the white boarding.

But my apologies. I will take that back as a suggestion. I was trying to think outside the box and that just didn't' work out. My apologies. But we can continue to go through the current topics and see if there's anything that can be moved around or to accommodate the MSSI session. Otherwise we can take that offline for MSSI just figure out directly with communities who are interested in the white boarding exercise elsewhere.

So after lunch with the board we'll resume at 1:00 pm to 2:30 pm with Slot L. And this is the NCPH meeting with Goran Marby. So the session co-chairs for this segment are Brian Winterfledt from the CSG and Farzeneh Badii from the NCSG. We really need to finalize the topics for this session as discussed on the mailing list. I haven't seen anything come in yet from the planning committee so I'd just like to pause for a moment to see if anybody has any input on having potentially discussed this at the individual constituency level

regarding potential topics for the discussion with the CEO. Steve please go ahead.

Steve DelBianco: (Unintelligible) I think most folks on the call realize that we'll have gone a day and a half at the point in which we meet with Goran. And in that day and a half there will have been many request, questions, takeaways, complaints and concerns that would be steered directly at Goran during that session. And I don't think you have to load this lunch, this meeting with Goran. I don't think you need to load it up with an agenda when in fact I believe we'll have more than enough to talk about based on the conversations that will occurred over the previous day and a half.

GDPR for example, both the process and the substance of the models will easily be a topic that would fit into that. You can easily discuss the idea of the staff driven work on operating standards for the community driven reviews. And that's going to be discussed a little bit in Slot M. And I think a lot of the things we're talking about are going to naturally lend themselves to wanting to take the issue up with Goran when we have the opportunity to discuss it.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much Steve. And I see that Brian is writing in the chat that the IPC have a membership call today so they should have feedback from the IPC to share later today or yes the week right. Thank you Brian. Farzeneh please go ahead.

Farzeneh Badii: I have already asked the NCSG members what they want to discuss. But this is not only NCSG members issue. So what I'm going to do is I'm going to compile the answers and I'm going to send them to the intercessional mailing list I want other constituencies to do that too. And then we can – maybe we can come up with a flexible list of issues that we could agree on to discuss

with them. I think Renata from NCUC also has assured with the members and we will see what we can agree on to talk about. Thanks.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much Farzeneh. Okay so after the meeting with the CEO there's going to be a 15 minute break from 2:30 to 2:45 pm. And then plenary's – there's going to be another plenary session from 2:45 to 3:45. And this is the role with the – role of the board and SO ACs and community driven reviews. And this is plenary Number 7.M.

We have confirmation that the board OEC Chair, (Kalid Kuva) will be joining the NCPH for this session as well as Matthew Shears. And Becky Burr and Avri Doria were also invited. And we still – we're awaiting confirmation that Becky's going to join. And in terms of the co-chairs we have (Karen Millincharvo) and Aiden Ferderline as session co-chairs for this.

And we will be looking at the – at session co-chairs to submit specific topics or questions for the guests especially regarding the – I know that the NCPH delegation had asked for an update on – from the OEC on the GNSO review. If there's anything specific about the GNSO review that the planning committee would like to hear from the OEC and that would be very helpful. And I see that Steve and Farzeneh are in the queue so Steve please go ahead.

Steve DelBianco: Thank you. Regarding the community driven reviews you – we all realize that the staff has prepared operating standards, draft operating standards. And that public comment period ends the second of February. The BC is preparing our comments now. And what I would ask is that the staff member leading the ICANN work on operating standards for specific reviews be available in that session. If only to hear what the community's concerns are and to discuss the kind of things that are going to show up in that public comment. I think it's

Larisa and if Larisa's available to either listen in or be there in person I think that would be helpful. Thank you.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much Steve. We'll follow-up with Larisa to see if she can also join us for this session. And Farzeneh you're next in the queue. Please go ahead.

Farzeneh Badii: Yes I just wanted to support what Steve said and also as Steve when we suggested this topic as Steve said we are going to talk about the procedure of these reviews, so how they carry out the reviews if I'm not mistaken. So we are not going to suggest any substantive of how the GNSO structure should work or anything like that. But we are going to talk to boards and staff about how the procedure of these reviews are done. And we limited discussion to that, just wanted to point that. Thanks.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you Farzeneh. Okay so following on this session is going to be Slot N from 3:45 to 4:45. And this is the plenary session Number 8 on ICANN transparency. The session co-chairs will be Barbara Warner and (Michael Carnicola). ICANN legal has been invited for the first portion of this topic and we're awaiting confirmation on the specific speaker who is going to join this session. And then the next item is from 12:45 to 5:45...

Steve DelBianco: Marika?

Benedetta Rossi: ...plenary session Number 9.

Steve DelBianco: Marika one quick question before we move.

Benedetta Rossi: (Unintelligible).

Steve DelBianco: Thank you. Before we move past transparency (Michael Carnicola)'s and Barbara Warner and I are hoping to talk later this week in preparation for that because ICANN legal as Barbara indicated in the chat in particular it would be the folks in ICANN legal who reacted to the Workstream 2 CCG proposals regarding transparency in particular the transparency of how legal simply decides that a particular document needs to be kept confidential. And so there is a bit of a clash of views between the CCWG community consensus and ICANN legal.

And we're really not done with that process yet. As you know, the chartering organizations for Workstream 2 are only just now seeing the recommendations. And if there's a glaring omission in terms of transparency about confidential documents this would be a great time to air that. And (Michael Carnicola) is a real expert so let's see if we can get the right folks in the room. Thank you.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much Steve. The next item is from 4:45 to 5:45. That's the plenary session Number 9 on the Workstream 2 discussion. And the co-chairs are Jimson Olufuye and Rafik Dammak. And that's the last plenary session before the closing and next steps from 5:45 to 6:00 pm with Heather Forrest and Renata (premaber) as session co-chairs. And that's Slot P.

And then once the meeting wraps up from 6:00 pm to 7:30 pm is going to be the NCPH Intercessional reception. That sort of wraps up the two meeting agenda. Does anybody have any further comments, question or anything else that should be addressed regarding the meeting agenda at this stage? Wolf-Ulrich I see your ha4nd is up. Please go ahead.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Yes thanks Benedetta and Wolf-Ulrich speaking. Coming back to the point which was made by Steve DelBianco I think at the beginning with

regard the question of to say that summarizing the outcome of these session yes or of condensing a message towards whomever the board or whatever, so I'm just wondering so how we can deal with that? So that, you know, really it should be done for my feeling at the meeting because, you know, when you leave the meeting after that so everybody knows it's going to back to his desk at home and in the office and then it's done. It's hard well to summarize that.

So though I'm just thinking about how we could deal with that. I do not have a final idea on that but I would just to encourage everybody to think about it. Thanks.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much Wolf-Ulrich. And in looking at the chat I see that Farzeneh was noting the invitations to be sent out for the review standards and one to senior ICANN legal for the transparency session. And Mary responded that yes we have already invited ICANN legal for the transparency session. And we – yes we just need to invite (unintelligible) next for the review session. And we'll do that right after this call.

Other than that I think all of the invitations for all speakers have been sent and we've received confirmation for all of them except for the specific names from the ICANN's legal team, seem to be in very good shape in terms of the agenda so I don't – unless anybody thinks we should have another planning call it seems like the other pending items can be discussed potentially on the mailing list especially regarding the topics for the session with the CEO. Does everybody agree with this or would the preference be to have another final planning call?

I don't see anybody coming up with any additional points on this call and so I see Renata's time is up so Renata please go ahead.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Thank you. Yes I'm just try here to think about timelines. So we have two weeks mostly to do the – oh less than two weeks, a week only for travel and all. So I'd really like I think an answer to the senior legal invite and the staff invite for breaks in procedures for review. And I think once we have these responses on list perhaps we can get back to the discussion whether there needs to be a final call or not. I don't see a lot of time for that so I just – I would just really like to have these most important points of this meeting being discussed on the list. Thank you.

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you very much Renata. So I'll keep an eye out on the – obviously on the – intercessional planning mailing list so for any additional comments or questions that might come up in the meantime and we'll update you on the pending invitation and circulate a more finalized agenda as soon as we hear back from the additional guest speakers. So perfect. I'll look forward to seeing you all very soon in Los Angeles for the meeting. And obviously if anything else comes up please don't hesitate to reach out on the planning list or directly to me and we'll answer any questions that you might have. Thank you all very much for joining today's call.

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you everyone for joining the call. Rachelle please stop the recording and disconnect all lines. Thank you very much. Bye.

END