

Maryam Bakoshi: Dear all, welcome to the 2017 NCPH
Intersessional Planning call on 21 October 2016

Robert Hoggarth: Greetings! 2016 Meeting Survey
Results link -
<https://community.icann.org/display/ncph/NCPH+Intersessional+2016+-+Evaluation+Survey+Results>

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome Tapani/Klaus

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome Chris

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome Farzaneh

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome Tony

Farzaneh Badii: Hi, Rafik could not make it so I am
replacing him today

Benedetta Rossi: Thank you Farzaneh

Farzaneh Badii: It is set that it will happen in the US?

Chris Wilson: Couldn't make out the other city Klaus
noted: DC and where?

Farzaneh Badii: Boston. Tapani mentioned

Chris Wilson: Thanks

Farzaneh Badii: so you have decided already which country it will be hold in ?

Tapani Tarvainen: Reykjavik is certainly still in the air

Benedetta Rossi: @Farzaneh, no there hasn't been a decision regarding the country, we are discussing preferences so if you have a different view please feel free to raise it

Farzaneh Badii: oh Reykjavik sounds like a nice city in east europe

Tapani Tarvainen: not exactly east

Klaus Stoll: Last week of january is real bad for a lot of people

Farzaneh Badii: Iceland!

Tapani Tarvainen: January 26-27 really bad for NCSG

Farzaneh Badii: well the world is the oyster of some !

Klaus Stoll: I think we should keep the meetings seperate

Tony Holmes: a number of ISPs wouldn't be able to participatev if iy was linked with the ICANN meeting

Klaus Stoll: otherwise it just becomes an extension of the B meeting

Tapani Tarvainen: yes. [??]the point of the intersessional is the long break between regular meetings

Tony Holmes: Support keeping separate

Chris Wilson: Farzaneh: Suggestions for non-US cities/locations? Happy to consider.

Farzaneh Badii: yes

Klaus Stoll: What would be the easiest place to meet just based on the Visa situation

Tapani Tarvainen: Iceland is Schengen so just as easy as any EU country

Tapani Tarvainen: haven't heard of many problems in Helsinki meeting

Farzaneh Badii: that depends on nationality Klaus. But I don't think the majority should always win :) we could look at the potential attendees and see what would be the best option

Chris Wilson: Other than Reykjavik, any other non-US cities to suggest?

Chris Wilson: Not recommending LA.

Tony Holmes: Copenhagen before the ICANN meeting offers real benefit of enabling outreach in advance

Tony Holmes: later in the year certainly adds the potential for clashes with other events

Farzaneh Badii: and I wonder why we are only thinking Europe and US for location. we could go to Asia for example. not my fav city but Singapore is OK.

Chris Wilson: Singapore very expensive and many at last year's intersessional opposed going there again for awhile. It was rumored to be the stand-in for Meeting B this year.

Tony Holmes: we also have icann in Johannesburg in June

Tapani Tarvainen: 3 days could work.

Chris Wilson: We would do Copenhagen if we tagged on to Meeting A, correct? Otherwise, we'd be traveling to Copenhagen in Feb and then March for Meeting A.

Tony Holmes: travelling twice yes, that's how we get an outreach opportunity in advance

Chris Wilson: ok

Tapani Tarvainen: At least some people could get one Schengen visa that'd be valid for both Reykjavik and Copenhagen

Tony Holmes: There is no way the majority of ISPs can take 2 weeks out for an ICANN meeting Most already struggle with meeting C

Farzaneh Badii: meeting B is short

Farzaneh Badii: But meeting B is a 4 day meeting if I am not mistaken

Chris Wilson: Copenhagen is Meeting A. Johannesburg is Meeting B.

Tony Holmes: Yes but add travelling and 4 days is already 1 wwk

Farzaneh Badii: I am mistaken then :) thanks Chris for the clarification

Chris Wilson: Easy to get confused. I do all the time!

Chris Wilson: Indeed. BC Excomm elections end mid-December.

Chris Wilson: I think that is fine.

Tony Holmes: quick feedback - week beginning 13 thfeb has got a positive response

Tapani Tarvainen: NCUC elections also end by mid-December (or 1st week of December)

Farzaneh Badii: yes.

Tapani Tarvainen: we must have none-of-the-above in the meeting!

Chris Wilson: I think Nov. 18 is reasonable.

Tapani Tarvainen: I'd prefer deadline a bit later - say on Nov 21st.

Chris Wilson: sure

Farzaneh Badii: sorry bad connection, deadline for what?

Tapani Tarvainen: I've got a non-related conference on Nov 16-17 so that'd give me a few days to get back to this

Chris Wilson: finalizing date/location for meeting

Farzaneh Badii: thanks

Tony Holmes: isnt Reykjavic in February mainly in darkness?

Tapani Tarvainen: lit by Aurora Borealis :-)

Farzaneh Badii: we will use lamps

Tapani Tarvainen: besides the time when sun does not rise is not totally dark, rather twilight - worth experiencing at least once

Chris Wilson: Thanksgiving week tough.

Tapani Tarvainen: actually in beginning of February sun rises already at 10am and set at 5pm in Reykjavik

Benedetta Rossi: If the planning team supports Greg's proposal to have the Intersessional meeting be a culmination of efforts rather than just a standalone meeting, it might affect the timing of the meeting. E.g. it might need to be later in the fiscal year to enable your delegates/communities to hold prep meetings regarding the identified topics/agenda items

Benedetta Rossi: Bearing in mind the Christmas holidays, and the meetings you've noted happening in January which might impact your availabilities

Chris Wilson: thank you

Farzaneh Badii: Thank you

Farzaneh Badii: Bye

Tapani Tarvainen: thank you all and bye!

Chantelle Doerksen: Thank you!

Robert Hoggarth: thank you all very much!

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you all