

Maryam Bakoshi: Dear All, welcome to the NCPH Intersessional Planning Call of 16 January 2018 at 1400 UTC

Ganga: Hello all. This is Ganga, Hyderabad, India

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome Ganga and Oreoluwa

Oreoluwa: Thank you, Maryam

Oreoluwa: Hello Ganga

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome Barbara

Barbara Wanner: Hi Maryam!

Ganga: Oreoluwa: check private chat tab

Ganga: hover cursor on GANGA in participants

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome Tony

Tony Holmes: Hi all - will only be able to communicate via the chat room - apologies

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome Rafik

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome Joan

Joan Kerr: Hello Everyone

Tony Holmes: Please add Tony Harris to the list of apologies for absence from the call

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you Tony this is noted

Philippe Fouquart: Hi everyone

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Hi everybody

Lori Schulman: hi

Maryam Bakoshi: Welcome everyone

Martin Silva: hi

Martin Silva: hi

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC: Hi

Tony Harris: Hi

Louise Marie Hurel: hi everyone

Tony Holmes: maryan - Please note Tony Harris has managed to join the call after all

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you Tony

Mary Wong: I think you covered our updates, Benedetta, thanks

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: an update

Kiran Malancharuvil: I think the urgent and critical importance of the GDPR topic created a situation where we need to revisit the in person participation issue

Martin Silva: (i can't listen the audio, gonna try to re connect)

Louise Marie Hurel: yes, we can Renata

Lori Schulman: Backing up Kiran's comment, perhaps a solution is to allow local participants just for GDPR discussion. This would take past practice into account and still respect overall balance of the meeting.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: that's great benedetta, thanks

Joan Kerr: A key take away is a great idea Renata

Tony Harris: Apology, must step away for a while

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: that could be a path too, certainly it will be the most appropriate time to get into a same page on that

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: thanks Steve

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Joan yes, we have little time for all the discussions but I'm sure we can do it

Tony Holmes: What is the benefit of being an observer over remote participation?

Mary Wong: @Kiran, from the staff side, this is a matter for the planning committee to decide; however, we want to note that there may indeed be space constraints so even if this arrangement is agreed to we will need to see how many more folks can be accommodated.

Kiran Malancharuvil: Many people have expressed a desire to attend in person as an observer as preferential to remote participation.

Kiran Malancharuvil: It's not our job as their representatives to question the preference

Tony Holmes: but what is the advantage ?

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: +1 Wolf Ulrich

Kiran Malancharuvil: I don't understand how it creates a disadvantage if they are only observing

Louise Marie Hurel: agree with Ulrich

Tony Holmes: If we start allowing observers what happens when the numbers wanting to join exceeds capacity? How do we decide who can come?

Kiran Malancharuvil: I suppose that's a risk with every open ICANN meeting, how do we ever deal with "capacity" issues? Is that a hypothetical that has ever materialized?

Ines Hfaiedh: Hi everyone

Tony Holmes: I thought that was why we're limited to 7 participants each at the Intercessional?

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Yes Farzaneh, I agree that in person observers have way much more leeway

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Yes Tony

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: as for the closing messages I think there could be a scenario without convergence on points but that will be ascertained once the sessions are done

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: yes

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: preserving the balance is key

Mary Wong: Following up on the lunch slot - as Benedetta mentioned, the Day 2 lunch arrangement with the Board does not allow for a formal meeting.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: I think we should still have the preparation

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: even though we don't have a formal meeting

Tony Holmes: AGREE with Renata

Barbara Wanner: agree that the lunch on Feb 1 provides a good chance to hone preparations for Board and CEO meetings

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: any update on the strategic session being a webinar or something similar?

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: yes

Steve DelBianco: teh Whiteboarding sessions with MSSI, right?

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: yes

Sam Lanfranco: It might be useful to review the intent of the Intersessional as captured in the first Intersessional agenda in 2016. In my view it was more about figuring out how to work together better on a menu of issues, and less like a high level "Cabinet/Ministerial Meeting" to battle over the issues on the ICANN policy table at the moment. See: <https://community.icann.org/display/ncph/NCPH+Intersessional+2016+-+Meeting+Agenda>

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: ok this is an interesting update to take back to our members, thanks Mary

Farzaneh Badii: oh so actually do we need co-chairs for that lunch meeting with board ?

Steve DelBianco: BC would like to do Whiteboarding session with MSSI

Steve DelBianco: Either way, Marika

Mary Wong: @Steve, thanks - I will put Andrea in touch with Theresa and her team

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (Bruna Martins sends her apologies, she is having a connectivity challenge, dropped out and can't get back on the phone bridge)

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Farzaneh's comment - co-chairs for lunch w/ the board, are they needed - also my doubt

Mary Wong: @Renata, @Farzaneh - the terrace where lunch will be served will likely be laid out as several tables and there will not be any mic/AV facilities, so the best arrangement may be to have informal conversations at each table

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Mary thanks

Farzaneh Badii: yeah I will ask Stephanie and Tatiana to be in touch with you

Tony Holmes: Mary- with that arrangement I can't see how any other option is viable. Is it the same arrangement on the second day for lunch?

Mary Wong: @Tony, that will definitely be the arrangement for Day 2, since most/all of the Board will be coming so the room cannot accommodate that many people :) For Day 1, we may have more flexibility so if the group can let Benedetta and me know your preference for Day 1 lunch, we can check to be sure.

Farzaneh Badii: but we had a really important topic to discuss

Tony Holmes: Benedetta - agree that's as far as we can facilitate the board session

Tony Holmes: that is to welcome the Board and summarise at the end

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: agree full group discussion at least even it w/out remote

Tony Holmes: agree that format will be difficult

Farzaneh Badii: I hope at least weather will be good. Since this terrace format is not really ideal for the topic we want to discuss

Tony Holmes: Separate discussions per table really changes this session

Farzaneh Badii: agreed Tony

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: we have the names of board who will be there already?

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (sorry if I missed it)

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: +1 tony

Tony Holmes: lits not ideal

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: ISPCP has also interest in a Whiteboarding session with MSSl. Where could this fit into the schedule?

Mary Wong: @Renata, we believe most Board members will be there as they will be coming from the Board workshop

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: mary thanks

Mary Wong: @Wolf-Ulrich, if it doesn't fit into this NCPH schedule, we will put you in touch with MSSl for a separate ISP session

Mary Wong: (as noted with Steve/BC)

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: thanks Mary

Ines Hfaiedh: @renta: Becky Khaled Mathew to the best of my knowledge

Brian J Winterfeldt: We have our IPC Membership call today so hope to have feedback from our constituency to share later today!

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: NCUC we are collecting questions to CEO and board

Kiran Malancharuvil: Good suggestion Steve.

Tony Holmes: @Steve+1

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: I agree

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: +1 farzi

Steve DelBianco: @Farzi -- Staff has an extensive proposal for community-drive specific reviews. So that is what I was talking about just now

Farzaneh Badii: yes I support that Steve

Steve DelBianco: see <https://www.icann.org/public-comments/reviews-standards-2017-10-17-en>

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: extensive and intricated

Barbara Wanner: We think it is very important for ICANN Legal to participate in the first element to be addressed. thx

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: I am between you and the closing day cocktail. Please be nice.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: :)

Michael Karanicolas: Thanks - fully agree, Steve. Would be good to get someone Senior from ICANN Legal there - preferably John Jeffrey, or someone who is comfortable speaking for Legal, as opposed to just reporting back.

Farzaneh Badii: haha ... I don't think anyone would be nice at the end of the second day minutes away from drinks

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Y support the point on transparency with a real discussion. This is not a session to be an "good intentions list" but an opportunity for real discussion.

Farzaneh Badii: so we have two invitation to be made: one to ICANN staff that proposed reviews standards and one to senior ICANN legal for transparency session. I support these suggestions

Mary Wong: We have already notified Legal about the first part of the Transparency session.

Mary Wong: We will invite Larisa Gurnick to the reviews session.

Barbara Wanner: thank you Mary!

Farzaneh Badii: thanks Mary

Farzaneh Badii: and Bene

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Benedetta thanks that is very helpful

Farzaneh Badii: see you soon all.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Thanks

Kiran Malancharuvil: Thanks all

Lori Schulman: good call

Ines Hfaiedh: thanks all. see you soon

Brian J Winterfeldt: Thank you everyone!

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: bye

Philippe Fouquart: Thx bye now

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen: Thanks and good bye

Sam Lanfranco: Thanks

Joan Kerr: Bye for now

Louise Marie Hurel 2: thanks all

Ganga: THANKS ALL

Barbara Wanner: Thanks Bene, Maryam, Andrea a dn Ozan!

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you all!