AC Chat:

Chantelle Doerksen: Welcome to the 2018 NCPH Intersessional Discussion Call on Tuesday, 26 September 2017. Ines Hfaiedh: Hi Chantelle Chantelle Doerksen: Hi Ines, welcome ! Ines Hfaiedh:thank you Tony Holmes: Hi everyone Barbara Wanner: Hi Chantelle! Having problems getting on the phone line. I've been on hold now for about 1 minute. Tony Holmes: also on hold for the phone link Chantelle Doerksen: Hi Tony and Barbara, welcome! Let me speak with the Operator, one moment Chantelle Doerksen: Steve DelBianco has joined the phone bridge. Tony Holmes: the jazz is good' Chantelle Doerksen: :-) Greg Shatan:Hello, all. Chantelle Doerksen: Hi Greg, welcome! Tony Holmes:still waiting to join Chantelle Doerksen: Thanks Tony, once we see you connected on the phone bridge, we'll begin Tony Holmes:suggest you go ahead Ines Hfaiedh: i do agree with Mary s suggestion Ines Hfaiedh: it was remotely Mary Wong: We hope it will be in person this time! :) Ines Hfaiedh: it would be great to have this info fairly new so that constituencies prepare their auestions to the CEO Mary Wong:@Ines, noted - thank you Ines Hfaiedh:fairly earlyi meant sorry Steve DelBianco:in Iceland, we talked about a NCPH budget working group Steve DelBianco:Ed Morris and Jimson Olufuye presented on it Ines Hfaiedh:could you speak up please Farzaneh Mary Wong: I'm having trouble hearing Farzaneh Benedetta Rossi:@Farzaneh you sound distant Tony Holmes: ISPs would support budget discussion Steve DelBianco:unable to hear Farzi Chantelle Doerksen: Asking the Operator to see what can be done regarding the AC line. Raoul Plommer: I can hear her Farzaneh Badii:oops sorry Raoul Plommer:..although not that well Ines Hfaiedh:farzaneh could you please repeat or type ur suggestion? Chantelle Doerksen: Farzaneh, we can also have the operator call you :-) Steve DelBianco:Date? We've been "living together" for 8 years! Farzaneh Badii: it's a beautiful partnership Benedetta Rossi:Ines please go ahead Greg Shatan:@Farzi, my eyes are getting moist... Raoul Plommer: I think we should use these meetings especially to find common ground between the constituencies Tapani Tarvainen:@Raoul and even more so common ground between stakeholder groups Raoul Plommer: It's more of a family setting really: we don't get to choose our partners

Tapani Tarvainen: We're all brothers and sisters

Steve DelBianco:in Iceland, we had an agenda topic for subsequent new gTLDs. Let's add that, I think Ines Hfaiedh: I would suggest the breakouts on the second day Steve DelBianco: I recommend two rooms, availabel on both days Greg Shatan: I expect we will want constituency level breakouts at some point. Greg Shatan: I agree with Steve. Mary Wong:@Steve, all, would you like us to upload last year's agenda for viewing here? Tapani Tarvainen:@Mary I think that'd be useful Benedetta Rossi: I've synched the document so you can all scroll directly Steve DelBianco: I would prefer we just have a room for CSG -- not one room for each constituency Ines Hfaiedh:sounds good **Tony Holmes:agree** Barbara Wanner: Agree -- that worked well in February Farzaneh Badii: yeah I agree. don't split the rooms Mary Wong: Thank you all, that is very helpful indeed Ines Hfaiedh: i think constituencies would like to meet inprivate as well Raoul Plommer: yes, we can share the times between each other, within the constituency Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (Hi all, I'm mainly on phone but will try to participate from chat too) Chantelle Doerksen:Hi Renata, welcome Ines Hfaiedh:ok i understand Tony Holmes: yes at least one constituency meeting would be helpful Steve DelBianco: I don't think we need to schedule extra time beyond the 2 days Mary Wong: Hopefully if we have two rooms for both days, all day, constituencies can work out some kind of arrangement among themselves if they need indivdual meeting time. **Tony Holmes:agree Steve** Ines Hfaiedh:we can squeeze in some time for constituency meeting right? Renata Aquino Ribeiro: I take dividing the room for constituency meetings can be maybe something decided later too as well? Sometimes conf. centers have those moving doors and it's quite easy to do it. Tapani Tarvainen:+1 Mary Raoul Plommer: Yeah, let's move on Benedetta Rossi:@Renata, I don't think this is possible in terms of reserving rooms. If formal rooms are required we'll need to know ahead of time, otherwise informal space will be available for constituencies to meet since delegates are only 7 per group Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Benedeta thanks for the information Renata Aquino Ribeiro: I think Mary has a good point then on the spaces Farzaneh Badii:oh? did I lose audio? Tapani Tarvainen:@Benedetta even if it's 7 per group, NCSG delegates will want to join NCUC and/or NPOC meetings Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Mary you asked about booking a meeting w/ Jamie Hedlund right? Mary Wong:Yes, Renata Chantelle Doerksen: The audio is still connected Greg Shatan: I think the constituencies can caucus informally. The only issue is remote participation, if non-attendees want to be part of the conversation. It would be nice to have a solution to that (other than cellphones/Skype). Farzaneh Badii: I lost audio. Farzaneh Badii:oh ok

Renata Aquino Ribeiro:Perhaps would be best to consult w/ our constituency a list of meetings we may do?

Farzaneh Badii:everyone lost audio. others are just used to silence so didnt say anything!

Tapani Tarvainen: A bit of silence every now and then is good. :-)

Greg Shatan:@Tapani, wouldn't NCSG delegates be representing NCSG, and not NCUC or NPOC?

Tapani Tarvainen:@Greg yes but I expect they'd want to attend NCUC or NPOC meetings

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Mary perhaps you can give us other names which will be likely possible to book meetings at NCPH too?

Chantelle Doerksen:Benedetta has now joined

Benedetta Rossi:back on now, sorry about that

Mary Wong: Thanks, Steve - Chantelle has noted the suggestions.

Chantelle Doerksen: I recall the 2019 GNSO review had been previously mentioned, and want to flag that

Renata Aquino Ribeiro:+1 from me on GDPR topic

Farzaneh Badii:We should talk about GAC too ... my favorite topic

Renata Aquino Ribeiro:+1 on GAC - inc. their upcoming events in ICANN Panama

Benedetta Rossi:We already had two potential topics on the list as well: GNSO Organizational Review and the Board Seat 14 Process

Tapani Tarvainen: Talking about GDPR without CPH ... why not, it might be interesting to see if NCPH has a common viewpoint there

Tony Holmes: Discussion of relationship with GAC would be timely as would GDPR

Renata Aquino Ribeiro:GAC is conducting 2 workshops for underserved regions - 1 in AUH and another in PTY - this has been creating a bridge also to increase GAC dialogue w/ ICANN community. So I agree w/ Farzaneh that GAC and policy in ICANN could be something to discuss in this mtg.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: Agree on session co-chairs

Tapani Tarvainen:+1 for co-chairs

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: about NCPH Budget WG would be helpful to anticipate some of this discussion? Sounds a bit too complex to establish/renew a WG onsite

Renata Aquino Ribeiro:agree on outreach/recruiting discussion too

Farzaneh Badii: I support

Tapani Tarvainen:+1 Steve.

Ines Hfaiedh: I second that

Andrew Mack:+1 Steve

Greg Shatan:Support.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro:but would also note that it would be good to anticipate some of the discussion - maybe a survey on practices - otherwise it is quite a wide topic

Tapani Tarvainen:starting the discussion on email would certainly not hurt

Ines Hfaiedh:Worth noting that for the NCUC outreach we reached out to the Wits university and ISOC

Gautang members, would be nice to get some UCLA students attending this time

Steve DelBianco: it is the co-chairs who organize and set the stage for each topic.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (raised hand but will talk via phone - i know it's confusing - pls be patient)

Steve DelBianco:we had NCSG and CSG co-chairs for each topic while in Iceland

Steve DelBianco:"session co-chairs" we called them

Tapani Tarvainen: We do have ncph mailing list now...

Steve DelBianco:Renata --- yes, session co-chairs connect before the meeting to agree on topic points and slides.

Steve DelBianco: If a sessoin co-chair wants to survey their members on a topic, that's something they could pursue

Andrew Mack: think co-chairs would be helpful to frame the discussion

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: yes, other ways of gathering input can also be planned

Ines Hfaiedh:yes we can have a call after icann60 to agree on and update the choice of topics

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: i think before icann60 is a bit too soon Steve DelBianco:after ICANN 60 Greg Shatan: Agree. Steve DelBianco:after iCANN60, I think Tony Holmes:after Abu Bhabi Farzaneh Badii: I like these long silences during this meeting. like a couple who have deep understanding of each other Farzaneh Badii:so beautiful Mary Wong:But please remember to send us your final list of travelers if you haven't yet, as soon as you can :) Renata Aquino Ribeiro:but not on december, november for next call would be good Tapani Tarvainen: Mid-November or so Renata Aquino Ribeiro: y mid would be great Tony Holmes: thanks all Farzaneh Badii:oh great. Ines is volunteering to compile NCUC members comments Renata Aquino Ribeiro:maybe the exchange of materials and preparation for sessions can use the end/beginning of the year time Ines Hfaiedh:yeey :) Ines Hfaiedh:thank you see you all Farzaneh Badii:see you everyone Renata Aquino Ribeiro::) Greg Shatan: Bye all! Ines Hfaiedh:bye Tapani Tarvainen:Bye all Chantelle Doerksen: Thank you everyone, this meeting has been adjourned. Renata Aquino Ribeiro:bye all thanks