

## AC Chat:

Ozan Sahin:Welcome to the 2018 NCPH Intersessional Meeting Planning Call on 5 December 2017 at 1400 UTC!

Lori Schulman:Hello

Farzaneh Badii:hi. hmm I don't hear anything. and there is an active speaker

Juan Manuel Rojas>Hello everyone

Lori Schulman:There appears to be no audio at all

Farzaneh Badii:ok now I can ... someone is typing

Benedetta Rossi>Hello everyone, just about to start hence the silence

Sam Lanfranco:Good morning

Joan Kerr:Hello Everyone

Mary Wong:It looks like we have folks from every SG/C in the NCPH, thank you all!

Tatiana Tropina:Hi all

Tatiana Tropina:Sounds good to me

Vicky Sheckler:i think it would be great. thanks

Steve DelBianco:Agree

Barbara Wanner:Agree as well

Joan Kerr:I agree it would be good

Mary Wong:Please mute if you're not speaking, thank you!

Farzaneh Badii:who from ICANN board attending in the end? do we have them confirmed?

Farzaneh Badii:the full board?

Farzaneh Badii:all of them are there?

Mary Wong:The full Board is invited to lunch and the reception, yes

Ozan Sahin:Renata Aquino Ribeiro has joined us.

Farzaneh Badii:oh ok ... fancy

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:hello

Joan Kerr:Full Board for the one hour lunch

Tatiana Tropina:absolutely

Farzaneh Badii:we can allow them

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:)

Farzaneh Badii:where is the reception?

Tatiana Tropina:Definitely not on the beach :(

Ozan Sahin:Michael Karanicolas and Martin Silva Valent have joined the call.

Mary Wong:@Farzaneh, still TBC but most likely at the hotel, to make it convenient for everyone.

Farzaneh Badii:Disney

Mary Wong:We're going to try to avoid having people stuck in Friday evening LA traffic :)

Michael Karanicolas:Sorry Ozan - I'm here

Steve DelBianco:BC elections mid-December

Tatiana Tropina::-) so we would need a helicopter to avoid traffic :)

Farzaneh Badii:oh yes. good idea Tanya

Mary Wong:All, echoing Benedetta's request - PLEASE ask your respective delegates to get travel plans booked ASAP.

Barbara Wanner:We made a request for remote participation, if possible and if budget allows.

Farzaneh Badii:I will Mary

Steve DelBianco:We have one candidate for each of the 5 offices, so we really do know who will be attending for the BC

Ozan Sahin:Gangadhar Panday has joined the call.

Mary Wong:@Steve, thanks for the update

Joan Kerr:Hi Ganga

Steve DelBianco:So remote participants can enter comments in the chat. I think that's adequate

Barbara Wanner:Thanks for the clarification -- agree with Steve!

gangadhar panday:Hi all, This is Ganga, Hyderabad, India

Steve DelBianco:since we moved this call to today, I must drop at half-past the hour. Apologies

Mary Wong:@Steve (and anyone else needing to drop early) - please let us know if you have comments/thoughts on the current draft agenda, if possible.

Steve DelBianco:Transparency slot is a good time to discuss with ICANN legal.

Tatiana Tropina:agree with adding transparency

Tatiana Tropina:Benedetta, when one can make other comments on some other agenda items (not transparency)?

Benedetta Rossi:we can go through them in order if that works, I was just highlighting changes first

Tatiana Tropina:Works for me

Wolf-Ulrich Knobn:@Steve:the public comment on WS2 transparency is still open after the NCPH??

Michael Karanicolas:sorry - technical difficulties, one sec

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:still there we have gac and role of the board and reviews and role of the board

Mary Wong:@Wolf-Ulrich, I believe the public comment periods for WS2 close around 5 Jan.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:(old hand)

Michael Karanicolas:sorry - just had a brief technical issue

Wolf-Ulrich Knobn:thanks Mary

Louise Marie Hurel:hi all, apologies for the delay. Had to connect via mobile

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:summarizing comment: suggesting to add RPMs instead of reviews yes

Mary Wong:@Renata, so replacing the reviews topic (organizational and community) altogether?

Juan Manuel Rojas:I agree with @Renata's comments

Farzaneh Badii:agreed with Tatiana.

Benedetta Rossi:If the issue is the slot duration, we can increase this slot

Mary Wong:The session co-chairs should also be vigilant about timing for each topic, if both are to be covered (with time allocation agreed in advance).

Farzaneh Badii:I don't think GNSO review should be discussed at NCPH. we need CPH to be present. we can just discuss overall approach of board to reviews ...

Mary Wong:@Farzaneh, the staff understanding is that the NCPH may have specific/particular concerns about GNSO Review that are different from, or not shared by, the CPH (though we could be mistaken, of course).

Mary Wong:Should geo names be part of the New gTLD discussion? Similarly, RPMs as well?

Michael Karanicolas:Hi. Apologies - I was jus having some technical difficulties, so I missed the last few minutes. On the transparency issue and moving it earlier, I note that there's a specific timeslot very late for the "WorkStream 2 discussion". We could instead move that earlier, and include transparency rec's and ICANN legal as a focus of that.

Lori Schulman:Please speak louder.

Farzaneh Badii:so we should just limit the discussion to that then. not be broad.

Ozan Sahin:Please mute your line when not speaking, thank you!

Michael Karanicolas:We could then have the "transparency" timeslot to discuss different avenues forward, and ICANN's open data efforts and such

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:@mary change role of the board and gac to geonames

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:community reviews would be slot m

Mary Wong:@Renata, taht would be a whole new topic, yes? So, again, replacing the reviews topic altogether?

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:and we still need rpms somewhere

Farzaneh Badii:I want to talk to board about GAC over reach in generic domain name policy. is that appropriate?

Mary Wong:@Renata, hence my question about whether geo names (covered in WT5) and even RPMs should be part of the New gTLD discussion?

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:@mary I think just focusing on Community Reviews

Sam Lanfranco:Yes, that works for me

Farzaneh Badii:it works Bene

Tatiana Tropina:I am fine with marking it as an update form the board

Barbara Wanner:We spoke about shaping the TOR for the GNSO Review in Iceland, so I think that would be an appropriate follow up.

Tatiana Tropina:Board update sounds fine with me.

Farzaneh Badii:fine to me too

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:ok

Lori Schulman:Are we going to tie any new reviews to what is happening in current review?

Tatiana Tropina:thanks you Steve and Bene for finding the working solution here

Sam Lanfranco:Based on lessons learned, the TOR for the Review are an essential issue

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:how about outreach for rpms?

Tatiana Tropina:I wonder - can it be a part of outreach slot? probably not

Barbara Wanner:How about shifting the Transparency session to Slot D and the Board/GAC roles to Slot N -- it would naturally follow the discussion about Board and SO/ACs review.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:yes, understand, thanks maryam

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:tatiana, i thought replacing outreach for rpms

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:the was a suggestion of outreach survey and I think the timing for a survey is not ideal

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:\*there was

gangadhar panday:audio lost

Tatiana Tropina:This works well to me (what Barbara suggested)

Ozan Sahin:Hi Gangadhar, we are happy to dial your phone if you would like me to

Bruna Santos:Agree with renata, conducting a survey during holidays and january might give us a hard time on obtaining answers.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:Diversity

Tatiana Tropina:We have plenty of topics on WS2 so I think transparency deserves a separate session

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:yes

Mary Wong:Question on the Transparency session - who from ICANN staff will this planning group want to ask to attend?

Mary Wong:(if any)

Bruna Santos:Yes, Transparency deserves indeed a separate session

Michael Karanicolas:@Mary - that sort of depends on the focus of the session

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:We will have Legal attending already right?

Mary Wong:@Michael, yes, exactly

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:(a different session, I meant)

Barbara Wanner:Agree with Michael on prioritization of transparency issues.

Mary Wong:What Michael is mentioning are org initiatives that are different from, and led by different staff/departments, than ICANN Legal transparency

Bruna Santos:hence the guest speakers could include these other departments, no ?

Michael Karanicolas:@mary - correct. these are separate issues, and although both are important ICANN legal seems to be the really high interest one right now

Mary Wong:@Bruna, we need to know what your topics are so we can ask the relevant people if they are available :)

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:agree michael

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:transparency and icann legal is where I'd go

Lori Schulman:Agree with Farzaneh.

Lori Schulman:Amazon is given an example. We can find more examples.

Tatiana Tropina:Agree with Farz too

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:keeping it broad as GAC role then

Tatiana Tropina:Broader focus sound like a very important point

Louise Marie Hurel:agree with Farzaneh

Louise Marie Hurel:would also agree that we keep the description more general

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:Ok w/ shifting

Louise Marie Hurel:broad\*

Joan Kerr:Shifting is good.

Bruna Santos:@mary, as michael mentioned, the Open data initiative could be very interesting for the session.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:how many sessions we will have board?

Juan Manuel Rojas:Could we replace Outreach with RPM then or even Diversity?

Tatiana Tropina:Agree with Farz again. I should start disagreeing from time to time :)

Tatiana Tropina:I am fine with replacing outreach with RPM or geo names.

Benedetta Rossi:@all, I mistakenly removed a lunch slot for day 1, I will add that back in my apologies

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:geonames and diversity will come up in other discussions - only RPM is missing

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:oh no, I support lunch staying :)

Tatiana Tropina:Diversity will definitely come in WS2 follow up.

Tatiana Tropina:Bene, :-) thank you - food is very important.

Bruna Santos:also support replacing outreach

Michael Karanicolas:+1 to discussing rpms

Mary Wong:@Renata, can you be more specific about what aspects of RPMs should be discussed?

Mary Wong:For New gTLDs, for instance, the focus is assessment and discussion of the next round

Vicky Sheckler:i hope to provide some comments on the latest schedule in the next day or 2

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:We can review the progress of this working group and challenges being faced

Farzaneh Badii:I agree Barbara .

Farzaneh Badii:but what we gonna talk about while having lunch?

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:I didn't understand that last part too

Mary Wong:Thanks, Renata - we will add that to the description

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:yes, I think lunch topics will need to be well thought

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:Mary thanks

Joan Kerr:Perhaps we can submit a few questions to the Board, say 2 or 3 to start so they can respond.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:maybe we want to tailor this

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:(agree w/ mary's reasoning)

Joan Kerr:The have Q &A as well

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:Q&A is interesting

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:I am guessing geonames is still w/out a home

Mary Wong:Would geo names/Work Track 5 fit into the new gTLD discussion?

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:new gtlds could bring that up  
Juan Manuel Rojas:@Mary I think it fit  
Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:rpms would replace outreach  
Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:Are guest speakers from in- or outside ICANN?  
Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:outreach is 30min ? so rpm for 30min?  
Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:ICANN org?  
Benedetta Rossi:@Wolf-Ulrich, for the new gTLD slot we don't have any guest speakers flagged this is  
an NCPH discussion so far  
Lori Schulman:I agree. Focusing on RPM and geo names is more important  
Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:geonames is 1h30min  
Tatiana Tropina:I agree with replacing outreach with RPM  
Lori Schulman:Farzaneh's approach is well thought out  
Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:sorry, I meant GDPR...  
Tatiana Tropina:Geonames would be discussed in the slot E (subpro)  
Mary Wong:@Wolf-Ulrich, as Benedetta said, and as noted previously, the sessions are really for the  
NCPH attendees to work out and discuss specific issues.  
Tatiana Tropina:YES Farz :) it should be  
Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:<victory dance from farzaneh>  
Mary Wong:@Wolf-Ulrich (again), ok, sorry - GDPR will include invited attendees from ICANN senior  
staff, is our understanding.  
Lori Schulman:We look forward to the draft.  
Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:yes would be good sending them to the list  
Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:great  
Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:thanks for this call  
Sam Lanfranco:Thanks to all  
Farzaneh Badii:Thanks all  
Tatiana Tropina:thanks all! bye  
Vicky Sheckler:thx  
Mary Wong:Thanks everyone  
Joan Kerr:Thanks Everyone  
Bruna Santos:thanks, everyone  
Barbara Wanner:Thanks Benedetta and all!  
Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:Bye  
gangadhar panday:bye  
Juan Manuel Rojas:Bye