

2017 NCPH Intersessional Preparations

12 January 2017 Planning Call Agenda:

- Introductions – Roll Call
- Logistics Update
- Programming Discussion – Narrow Session List and Discuss New Proposals

2017 NCPH Intersessional Preparations

Reykjavik, Iceland

T-Minus 33 days

2017 NCPH Existing Session Ideas

- 1. Presentation of Group Priorities for 2017 calendar year
- 2. NCPH Procedural (“In-House”) Issues
- 3. Meeting time with ICANN Senior Leadership (e.g., CEO)
- 4. Discussion with staff leader of ICANN Compliance function
- 5. Policy Issue Placeholder – Areas of Common Interest (potentially defined during the meeting after the introductory presentations by all the groups?)
- 6. Maintaining the GNSO's traditional policy-making leadership position at ICANN
- 7. ICANN budget discussion session (involvement of CFO?)(potential discussion to establish a small budget working group made up of NCPH members)
- 8. Broad Topic session with GNSO Councilors in attendance (potential discussion of Council role vs overall GNSO role)

2017 NCPH New Session Ideas

- **Workstream 3, Restructuring ICANN, Impacts on GNSO (“Futuring”)** – presented by Tapani (NCSG) ... Yes/No Obj. from IPC, BC, NPOC; No by NCUC
- **New gTLDs - Would a new round of gTLD applications in the short term be advisable and, if so, what major safeguards or changes should be considered?”** – To be inserted into Session #5 (Policy Issue) - presented by Wolf-Ulrich (ISPCPC) ... Yes/No Obj from NCUC; No - none

2017 NCPH Intersessional Preparations

**Next Planning Call - 19 January 2017
1500 UTC**

2017 NCPH Session Ideas

Maintaining the GNSO's traditional policy-making leadership position at ICANN

CSG and NCSG jointly participate in the Policy Development Processes of our GNSO. The importance of the PDPs and Multistakeholder Process was affirmed in the Transition. But is the PDP process being protected and preserved to its fullest extent? Recently, inroads into policy development have been made by the GAC, ALAC, even ICANN staff. The issue of preserving the GNSO process and policy development leadership affects both NCSG and CSG. It is in both parties' interest to explore how to maintain the required checks and balances of the Multistakeholder process, and the policy leadership role of the GNSO.

Questions for this session include:

In what instances is the GNSO PDP process being undervalued or undermined?

What were the reasons?

Is the process usually undermined because we don't pay attention or because of ICANN's deficient governance structure?

What can we do about it?