

Renata Ribeiro: I don't know. Yes everyone Renata. Yes for the closing we have asked those who co-chaired sessions to send in their messages. Let's give a few more minutes to start the session – a couple of minutes.

And also those who haven't – who hadn't sent in their message you can still send it to the list. It's just the key message so only a phrase of what was the most important point of your session.

And that will give us some time as well to wait for our CSG co-chair. Thank you. Hi everyone. Okay so we have a document. I would ask staff if they can put the document on the screen.

But also we shared the address on the mailing list so you will find it there. Some of the messages are from discussions at the stakeholder group level so for instance our initial breakout.

We have the NCSG message but the CSG would imagine it goes along the same lines. NCSG was prepared to discuss intersessional topics and will deepen the debate on important issues with NCPH like GDPR and the GAC role.

Our next session was the community overviews and we have key messages from the Non-Commercial Stakeholder Group and its constituencies. Grassroots participation is important, regional leadership and increased collaboration.

From the Commercial Stakeholder Group we highlighted that it would be helpful that the community overview session was helpful to enable a better

understanding of the concerns and objectives of the NCSG. This was sent by Tony Harris.

On the session preparation for the meeting with the board the key message was that the NCPH intersessional list would have a message to be discussed and presented to the board by NCPH if in agreement.

And on the next session, new gTLDs, the key message was we must come up with a way of stopping a reason reducing government efforts to restrict user rights to use words of geographic meaning in top-level domains.

The Workstream 5 needs more Non-Contracted Party House participants involved. So this was the new gTLDs and then we had the next session, which was the RPM.

The messages were sent by Co-Chairs John McElwaine and Martin. The key message: improve the work of developing policy in the RPM Working Group and pass along constructive inputs to the working group three co-chairs.

One of the possibilities raised by the participants was use smaller subteams. Another suggestion was to have an educational session on how the interactions in this PDP should work or best practices for the operation of a PDP.

The working group should also be reminded that its focus should be on data-driven analysis and decision-making, so these are the messages from RPM. The next session was NCPH procedural in-house issues.

The key message: there's a need to finalize the procedures and a subgroup will be created to follow up on these matters. Volunteers were Farzaneh, Tatiana, Rafik, Vicki, Steve, Wolf-Ulrich.

Work should be concluded before ICANN62. Actions: staff to clarify the formal handling of vice chair selection processes. Could be GNSO procedures. Draft all a process on council chair...

Man: This I have.

Renata Ribeiro: Sorry.

Stephanie Perrin: Stephanie Perrin for the record. Could you please pull the microphone a little closer? I know – a little wired out here but they only work when they're this close.

Renata Ribeiro: Better now?

Stephanie Perrin: Much better. Thank you.

Renata Ribeiro: Okay. So we are in procedural issues and the key actions. The second key action is draft all of a process on council chair nomination including timeline and communication aspects. Wolf-Ulrich is indicated on this.

Counterproposal: deferred action on the CSG suggestions on Board Seat Number 14 selection. Farzaneh is indicated on this. One month is the time indicated.

The next session – so these were the key actions and the messages for procedural – for procedures – in-house procedures. And the next session we had was reconciling WHOIS and GDPR.

A key message noted here is reconciling WHOIS and GDPR demands a broader view of community work, which is underway. ICANN's self-community-driven work will eventually replace the interim compliance model, RDS PDP, PPSIA and updates to procedure on WHOIS conflicts with privacy law.

CSG's view is to accelerate that work. NCSG suggested we consider suspending community WHOIS work. Note: there is widespread recognition that tiered gated access will be the future of WHOIS RDS.

There's a comment here. There is more things to this message of the session and this could be reworked. So bear and have in mind that all the key messages we are putting here are summaries made at – onsite, so here at the session.

Then we had the GDPR compliance session with Tatiana and Vicki. And the takeaway was there continues to be a diversity of views on how to address WHOIS in a privacy law compliance manner, though there also appears to be some understanding that there will be some form of tiered-related access.

Action items: we hope ICANN legal will respond to the questions that were included in the slide deck to the extent not already addressed so these are – this is the key message of GDPR compliance session.

And then we've had a prep for the meeting with CEO. The basic action items was refining and reviewing the topics: GDPR, SSR2 and capacity building,

the meeting with CEO, the key message and then we have here - as those sessions are pretty complex we have some pretty broad key messages.

GDPR procedures for our review teams and capacity building are topics which demand greater dialog between board and community – between CEO and community. Sorry.

No board and communities. It was the board lunch. And then we had the NCPH meeting with CEO - the same message. Then we had transparency session.

The key message is it'd be interesting of finding a new formula to ensure greater transparency of ICANN legal with respect to invoking attorney/client privilege, with respect to deep – DIP – DIDP and our IRP processes.

The transparency subgroup rapporteur – we work with ICANN staff to determine how to use upcoming CCWG-Accountability Plenaries to incorporate the minority views in the transparency subgroup report before that report goes back to the community for final review.

Transparency subgroup rapporteur will reach out to ICANN's David Conrad to clarify whether the FY219 budget – 2019 budget would include the sufficient funds to implement the open door initiative so that was the key actions.

So first we have the key message to incorporate the minority report and that key action to reach out to clarify the open door initiative funds. The next session, community-driven reviews, role of the board and SO/ACs in community-driven reviews – we have also a key message which the topics demand greater dialog and the message is being edited as we speak.

The next key message: ICANN transparency – yes we are just - repeat it.
Sorry. So GDPR compliance also we already did, right. Yes. Sorry a bit lost here.

Now our Workstream 2 – that’s the next – the last one. Workstream 2 – we don’t have a key message yet as it just happened so far so our guest co-chairs can take over or – your key message.

Rafik Dammak: Yes okay thanks. Well I guess because we went through a – the comments to show you if there is any commonalities. I guess we have in some different area so I think the matter here is – this is - my understanding is how – and when time comes for approving all those recommendation, not just the four that we went through but the other – the previous one in how we - at the GNSO in particular from Non-Contracted Party House we think about those comments.

So maybe we don’t have any common understanding for now but I think maybe the – what will – we can take from here but maybe Jimson may want to add.

Jimson Olufuye: Okay Jimson Olufuye. Well I think my takeaway is that the session has given us opportunity to see clearly where we all are and where the supposedly difference is to be cleared and made to be more understood by everyone.

So it tends more to some level of, you know, convergence in a way because we have a lot of maybe commonalities being supported, but only thing is that maybe some area that (SA)s did not make any comment so we can’t – maybe want to assume that silence is consent, so if that’s the case then we have a lot of area of commonalities.

Heather Forrest: Thanks Jimson. Thank you Renata very much and thank you to your colleagues as well for these very comprehensive notes. By way of a last thought just a few points.

So I think one of the things that Renata and I should be tasking the Planning Committee with doing is to – identifying out of these notes – this is a very helpful document but very lengthy and pretty comprehensive.

I think in order for this to be a success and demonstrate financial prudence in having this session again we need to isolate out the action items, and that ensures that they get followed up on so I think if we could task folks with doing that – and forgive me.

That's – I've already got a reputation in Council for being an action item task driver but that's I think, you know, really critical here. Just to confirm are we in a position - because these are very, very useful and comprehensive are we in a position to share these notes with others yes as a record of our time Stephanie?

Stephanie Perrin: For those of us who haven't had a chance to look over in detail the notes, if we could compare them with the transcript that might be very helpful. I've only just had a quick look and I notice there's a few things that aren't quite right, so I'd like the opportunity to make sure it is right. Thanks.

Heather Forrest: Fair enough Stephanie. I think we don't want to get into a position where we've got to, you know, let's say redraft these before they go public but let's do that.

Let's take an opportunity to look at them and then let's make sure they can go live. Staff have asked us to remind you they're going to circulate a survey that will ask for your evaluation of these two days.

And we ask that you complete that before you start to run out the door in order that we can adequately take into account that feedback, pump it back through the organization and to the staff that have worked very hard to bring us here. With that any final comments/questions? Brian please.

Brian Winterfeldt: Hello. Upon noticing staff I really wanted to take a moment to thank all of the staff to help do all the hard work behind the scenes to put this event together.

For meeting and planning I want to thank the policy team, David Olive, Benedetta Rossi, Ozan Sahin, Maryam Bakoshi, Andrea Glandon and Mary Wong, for meeting's technical services Sara Caplis, meeting team Melanie Cruz, travel Joseph De Jesus, Nichole Kennedy and from the board CEO support we had (Vinceon Konigsfeld), Wendy Profit, Cassia Oliviera and Tina Shelebian.

And I also want to thank our ICANN guests Goran Marby, Akram Atallah, Theresa Swinehart, John Jeffrey, Cyrus Namazi, Samantha Eisner, Larissa Gurnick and (Nagar Farzenya).

I know a ton of work went into making these two days happen and I just wanted to take a minute to thank them all.

Heather Forrest: (Unintelligible). Thanks very much Brian. Great initiative and a great transition from earlier comments. Any other comments/questions/concerns? Otherwise I'm going to set us loose into the cocktail evening that has been set

up for us from 6:00 to 8:00. Is that right Benedetta, 6:00 to 7:30? Seven thirty. Good.

And that is located where Benedetta? Just out here on the terrace so there you are – an opportunity for the terrace. Please forgive me. I'm going to say goodbye to you.

I have to catch a plane. It's been lovely to see you councilors. Been nice to see you for the whole week so safe travels home everyone and all the best.

END

