

ICANN

Moderator: Maryam Bakoshi
February 2, 2018
1:30 pm CT

Brian Winterfeldt: All right everyone I think we're going to go ahead and get started with the Prep session, I'm trying to get closer to the microphone but it will not cooperate. There we go. Can everyone hear me all right? They're just not listening.

Welcome everyone to the final prep for the meeting with the CEO. We have 30 minutes so I'd like us to run through the timing and format of our meeting with Goran, discuss and refine the questions and discuss any adaptations and/or suggestions for the meeting. If we could go to the next slide please.

The total meeting time we have with Goran is 90 minutes. We've agreed that the GDPR topic will take 45 minutes and the capacity building and SSR2 questions can take the remaining 45 minutes. For the GDPR discussion we decided that the best way to split the time given that sometimes divergent views of the constituencies as we've just saw it would be best to allow each constituency up to five minutes to present their own questions to the CEO. Goran can decide whether to respond to the questions at that time or gather additional questions to identify commonalities. We'll ask him for his preference before the meeting so will sort of leave it to him.

In order to refine the questions since we are going to be providing them on a constituency level I was thinking that we might want to use some of our prep

time here today to break into our individual constituencies to discuss each topic and to refine our questions. Does that seem like a good idea for everyone?

Farzaneh Badii: So Brian I can see that you say each constituency will get five minutes to introduce their thoughts. I thought we are going to do this at the stakeholder group level and not at the constituency level because that would be too time consuming? So if we could have the question prepared and then at the Stakeholder Group each stakeholder present that would be okay.

Brian Winterfeldt: I think that's difficult. I think there is – we have more divergent views within the CSG. So I think the idea was that each of our constituencies would take five minutes. I think it would be okay if you'd like to present yours at the stakeholder group level.

Farzaneh Badii: So we don't want to have less time than you do – that you will. So basically I'm suggesting this because you have three constituencies so each one presents for five minutes. That's 15 minutes. Then of course NCSG wants to have 15 minutes too and then we have 15 minutes for discussion. So that is not going to work. Let's have stakeholder group level presentation maybe eight minutes each and then I think I mentioned this in my email. And then we can go to the questions. If we can agree on that, that would be great. Otherwise of course what we should have equal time.

Brian Winterfeldt: Kiran?

Kiran Malancharuvil: For the – that's a great idea except for the fact that the CSG doesn't agree on the question so we're not going to do that. So but what's unequal about the suggestion you made. We have three constituencies, we have 15 minutes. We split it how we want. You have 15 minutes. You have...

Farzaneh Badii: (Unintelligible).

Kiran Malancharuvil: Up to five minutes per constituency. We don't have to take the full five minutes and he can choose to use the five minutes for each constituency to start the discussion at that point.

Farzaneh Badii: Sorry I don't agree that. I think also our – it will be kind of imbalance in power. There will be more opinions cited from your side than our side so no. I think we should do this at the stakeholder group - I think we should do this at the – I think okay so you have - okay so let's have eight minutes for stakeholder groups and you can for each stakeholder group and that you can just - with the constituencies you can discuss and see how you want to use that eight minutes.

Vicky Sheckler: Hi.

Brian Winterfeldt: Vicky?

Vicky Sheckler: Yes?

Brian Winterfeldt: (Unintelligible). Yes I think people need to turn their mics off when they're not speaking. There can only be so many at one time.

Vicky Sheckler: Okay at least for the GDPR question I think it's fairly clear here that the ISPs and the Noncommercial Stakeholder Group have similar views, not consistent but similar views. I'm not sure where people are on - the other two it's just SSR2 and the capacity building one. So please let's try to be practical in thinking about this. And 15 minutes just for the sake of it doesn't make sense at least in the GDPR context.

Farzaneh Badii: Yes, yes totally.

Vicky Sheckler: Okay so no, I think it does not make sense.

Farzaneh Badii: No, no.

Vicky Sheckler: Okay because we do have a split in this group I think of people that are a little more obvious than GDPR right for more access or less access for lack of a better word for describing it and of course all the collection issues and all of that. So at least for that one I do think that we should not split the time equally the way you're suggesting but split the time based on the fact that we've got these different issues. For the other two I don't know, I raise it to the group.

Farzaneh Badii: So you are saying that we ally with IS PCP okay. I don't know how the ISP constituency feels about that but no I don't think - no and I don't - so this is what I raised as well. I think if we want to go equally I don't know what exactly are opinion of ISP constituency is. We have not talked to them and I think the only fair thing is just go to at the stakeholder group level and then you can take your differences. You can have...

Brian Winterfeldt: I think, you know, I would – maybe you don't realize our stakeholder group is very different than yours. You guys...

Farzaneh Badii: Totally realize that. I've been in ICANN for a long time to understand it.

Brian Winterfeldt: Have you? I thought you were newer.

Farzaneh Badii: Well I have said this and I wish we had solved this before we come here. We...

Brian Winterfeldt: I thought we had so I apologize.

Farzaneh Badii: We need equal - I told you in the email that at stakeholder group sure, one representative for each stakeholder group. What is so unfair about this?

Brian Winterfeldt: I think Wolf-Ulrich is next in the discussion. Wolf please.

Louise Marie Hurel: No I think Michael you can put it up.

Michael Karanicolas: So just to say I think that Goran is aware of the differences of opinion that are there. I mean I know for anyone who tuned into the presentation on it this morning there was a lovely chart presented with everybody's positions staked out. So I'm just not sure that it's the best use of our time with him to try to restate what he's likely already knows. So I'm just not sure why we would devote more time to flushing out positions that he's already aware of where we stand.

Brian Winterfeldt: Wolf-Ulrich please.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: Thanks. So we are talking about procedural issues here, not content-wise. So I fully understand you all okay you would like also to have time enough, you know, you - to present your things. We are splitting things. We are not going now to make big speeches here about, you know, what we are thinking because we don't expect to take any decision today, you know.

But it is very hard, you know, within our stakeholder group for one person to cover, you know, the different views. So that's why we would like or to have also just disputed maybe we take it in eight minutes all together per stakeholder group but how we split it up is our thing, you know.

We can put forward eight people for one minute each from our slot or we could do it by one. So let's do it how we are doing. Let's just talk about the timing we have all together for that and that's enough. So nothing else, thanks.

Brian Winterfeldt: Anyone else have suggestion?

Farzaneh Badii: Rafik here.

Rafik Dammak: Okay Rafik speaking. So maybe again we try to understand what we're trying to achieve when meeting with Goran. Are we going to spend more time telling him different I mean opinion about this issue or we are trying to engage and create some dialogue because the concern is just we spend time telling him we think this and this and I don't see any - I mean any interest on that. So we are trying maybe just short introduction what the different group think about this position and try to get more from him, to listen more from him and have that conversation. I expect that people will intervene ask other questions and so on so it's something more interactive than spending time and long kind of it will be a long presentation if we go on that way.

Farzaneh Badii: So you also said yourself we don't have presentation. Let's not have presentation then.

Louise Marie Hurel: Do you have a roaming mic perhaps?

Man: Mine's working.

Man: Yes but the others.

Claudia Selli: Claudia Selli from the BC for the record. I would agree not to have presentation and just go with the questions because also Goran answers will be difficult to contain. I mean we cannot measure so we can take turn, ask questions and spend time with them.

Brian Winterfeldt: So are you suggesting though how do we present the question because I think that's part of – we are talking about presenting questions? I think we're having a heated discussion about how to present them and who's going to present them?

Claudia Selli: We can go with constituencies. So taking turn.

Brian Winterfeldt: Okay that was my original proposal that we've been...

Claudia Selli: I understand.

Brian Winterfeldt: So you guys are supporting that. Okay so we do have some support. Kiran did you have something to ask?

Kiran Malancharuvil: I mean so 15 minutes for each stakeholder group and the CSG is free to split our time within our 15 minutes how we want period, the end?

Farzaneh Badii: Okay so from the slide I see here each constituency will have up to five minutes to introduce their thoughts on the topic. So you are going to pose your questions or are you going to introduce your spots on the topic?

Brian Winterfeldt: So I think we move from the slide with our discussions. And I think what we are proposing is that each constituency or each actually stakeholder group is going to have 15 minutes to present their questions. And we are going to

decide how we're going to use our 15 minutes then you can decide how you're going to use your 15 minutes?

Farzaneh Badii: No I don't think presenter so you're going to ask your question and then ask Goran to answer and then why do we have to divide the questions?

Brian Winterfeldt: So you don't want to divide the questions? You want us to all ask questions together as one group?

Farzaneh Badii: If do – what are the questions? Have we come up with the questions?

Brian Winterfeldt: We actually if you were listening to the beginning of the presentation we were going to break out into our individual groups to come up with the questions.

Farzaneh Badii: Yes so what is important for me – I have been – I'm sorry I don't think these intimidation remarks if I have been listening or if I have been long enough here are actually productive.

Brian Winterfeldt: Have you listened to your tone? I don't think your tone is very productive.

Farzaneh Badii: Yes it is not very productive. What I'm saying is that...

Brian Winterfeldt: I'm actually very uncomfortable with how aggressive you are to be honest.

Farzaneh Badii: We need equal time and also...

Kiran Malancharuvil: Just refuse to engage.

((Crosstalk))

Brian Winterfeldt: The actual point of this meeting is actually for us to be building bridges and learning how to work together.

Farzaneh Badii: Yes exactly.

Brian Winterfeldt: And I'm personally really upset because I feel like...

Farzaneh Badii: I am sorry you're upset.

Brian Winterfeldt: ...you're not being constructive.

Farzaneh Badii: As I said I – so we need equal times for the question and answers, equal time...

Brian Winterfeldt: But it seems like you just want to dictate how the entire session goes your way or nothing else is acceptable.

Farzaneh Badii: No, no I'm only asking for treatment. We are the Noncommercial Stakeholder and the Commercial Stakeholder Group.

Brian Winterfeldt: (Unintelligible).

((Crosstalk))

Farzaneh Badii: Maybe it would have been better if we could come up with the questions all together. Then that would have been a possibility so that we don't...

Brian Winterfeldt: With all due respect we seem to not even be able to come up with a procedure that works so I very much doubt we can come up with questions together on GDPR.

Farzaneh Badii: I think the...

Louise Marie Hurel: Let me just chime in for a moment.

((Crosstalk))

Farzaneh Badii: Yes because I can see that you want more time with the CEO. I mean of course I can just let this go but I don't think it's fair.

Louise Marie Hurel: Okay. So let me just maybe - let's see what's the consensus in the room perhaps. So Farzaneh let me just get straight. Your proposition was maybe have eight minutes for each stakeholder group. So who would be in favor of separating eight minutes for each stakeholder group?

Please I think we are – it's not productive for us to keep on discussing this so let's just see what are the two different propositions, just stick with the 15 minutes for each stakeholder group and they separate accordingly or we just separate it eight minutes each stakeholder group and that's it. So who would be in favor of the eight minute separation please show of hands?

((Crosstalk))

Louise Marie Hurel: Okay let's count. I think it's better. The other choice is keeping 15 minutes to each stakeholder group? Okay yes.

((Crosstalk))

Farzaneh Badii: I'm sorry Louise...

Louise Marie Hurel: Yes.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: ...people are confused. (Unintelligible) can we rephrase on who we ask?

Woman: It's either eight minutes...

Louise Marie Hurel: It's no per stakeholder groups eight minutes or 15 minutes that's it. These are the two choices.

Woman: (Unintelligible).

Louise Marie Hurel: Yes, no yes, yes that's it sorry. That's exactly it. Who is in favor of the 15 minutes please show of hands?

Man: Rafik (unintelligible).

((Crosstalk))

Louise Marie Hurel: Okay. It is stakeholder groups not constituencies stakeholder group. Okay...

((Crosstalk))

Louise Marie Hurel: (Unintelligible).

Woman: Of course. Sorry, so we have two points of view right? We have Farzaneh on one side and Brian on the other. Can you please just let us know what your suggestion is and then Brian can tell us what his suggestion is and then we can vote?

Louise Marie Hurel: Exactly.

Woman: Thank you.

Farzaneh Badii: I suggest each stakeholder group gets equal time to pose their questions and answer. That's what I'm suggesting.

Louise Marie Hurel: Brian?

Brian Winterfeldt: That was I think the suggestion that we all agreed on.

Louise Marie Hurel: Okay. Okay so it is 15 minutes. Then I was just trying to understand we're disagreeing for like 15 minutes and we only have ten minutes to talk about the question. And okay so we're sticking with 15 minutes and that's it. Okay so let's go to the next slide to the questions and sorry for the confusion. Okay so refining and reviewing the questions so we have three main chunks of questions so the first definitely on GDPR.

So what are the key issues that we identified during the earlier sessions today and the prior days and the Webinar today? So as Brian suggested maybe break up ten minutes into our groups. Then there's the SSR2 update, the implications of the board's extension for other community driven reviews, trying to understand how these CEO sees this topic. This - the - not the continuation of the SSR2 team.

And there is a third stream which is capacity building so schematic workshops with experts in ICANN meetings, could be interesting initiatives to discuss evolving themes such as GDPR. Given the changing landscape of investments in capacity building proposed by the cuts in FY '19 budgets so trying to understand also the newest process on reviewing the Fellowship program,

cutting the Fellowship program reduction sorry, comments on these and other paths for capacity building initiatives would be interesting.

So we have less than ten minutes but I propose we go now and break into our different groups to have the discussion about the questions. So three guiding things for us to have in mind in these breakout groups. Could you pass to the next slide please? And the next. So is there anything that we would want to add to the list of questions, any urgent items that have been raised by earlier discussions or any adjustments to timing or format? Okay so let's break into our groups now. Thank you.

Benedetta Rossi: Hello everyone just a reminder that the board is going to arrive for lunch in about five minutes. So lunch is already set up outside on the terrace just in front here. We set up tent cards on the tables. Well they're actually flat because it's really windy but we've got tent cards on the table for balance purposes. So we have two NCSG, two CSG and two board members per table. And then there's some spare seats just for those of you don't qualify for either or who - and for our guests to make sure that everything is balanced.

David Olive: If I could say a few words here. I'm sorry I have been in and out trying to make sure that the right people are here, both the board is coming, Goran is coming and others and so I was coming in and out of your discussions. I want to make sure that we are all sensitive to I know some passionate views. Some words were exchanged and they shouldn't be in that sense. We have to respect everyone and I hope that you can sort out the issue because if you cannot it looks to be very strange to have the CEO here and not have the exchange that you want to have with him in a calm and professional manner.

So I would ask everyone to please tone down the passions of your discussions, work out a common and fair solution for the questions. There's 90 minutes

should be more than enough time. And I think that would be the best way to do that so that everyone can have a fair chance to express their constituency or stakeholder's views quickly and answer questions on the topics that you've raised such as GDPR, SSR2 and the like.

So I hope you would all agree that, that should be the way forward and to be respectful of each other even though sometimes passions get beyond that. And so I would like to say let's be calm and proceed with the sessions. Thank you.

END