

claudio:Mary, can you help us share some of that feedback/discussion with the co-chairs?

Ozan Sahin:We are now starting NCPH Procedural In-House Issues session

Ozan Sahin:Please kindly note that remote participants are considered to be observers in the NCPH Intersessional meeting. You may still post comments or questions in the chat box but they will not be read out loud by the remote participation manager. On the other hand, in-room participants may bring these questions or comments forward during the discussion.

Ozan Sahin>All chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior: <https://meetings.icann.org/en/expected-standards-behavior>

Mary Wong:@Claudio, re your last question - the session co-chairs have been asked to take note of Action Items from their sessions, for confirmation with the broader group before we conclude this year's meeting. Staff has also taken notes and will make sure the suggestion goes back to the RPM Working Group.

claudio:perfect, thxs Mary...great work everyone!

Heather Forrest:@Claudio - also may be helpful to note that Renata and I will be summarising all those notes at the very end of the session tomorrow afternoon

claudio:Thanks, Heather - good idea

Heather Forrest:(but we'll be guided by the notes prepared by the various session chairs and not expressing our personal opinions)

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:Thanks Heather and Mary for the reminder on noting key messages and actions expected from co-chairs.

Barbara Wanner:Would be grateful for a PDF of this procedure for our files. Thanks!

Heather Forrest:Annex VI is CPH process of selecting their board seat

Heather Forrest:Their process for chair is not in the GNSO Operating Procedures

Heather Forrest:I do not know whether it is documented elsewhere (ie, not in GNSO Operating Procedures)

Steve DelBianco:Thanks, Heather

Rafik Dammak:it seems that documenting baord seat election is required

Heather Forrest:GNSO Operating Procedures here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_council_procedures&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkPSS6sJms7xcI5cM&r=SJoAZJPf4sll7c5HM-90jeUSDBNV8i1H6DgoihUn1_U&m=ximB9yDP0mEbJzFZPFGmf0Jv2kX2FQoqLPs40j67J0c&s=u-nZZEheoM95dSHRmgNsctoyE7A1QRMiYYv0OF3sdG0&e=

Steve DelBianco:page 9 of GNSO Op Procedures says this: 2.4.3 Detailed Election ProceduresEach House is responsible for establishing its own internal procedures for nominations, interviews, voting, and candidate selection; however, those processes must be documented and forwarded to the GNSO Council for inclusion as ANNEXES to the GNSO Operating Procedures. If a House subsequently elects to alter its procedures, such amendments must be submitted to the GNSO Council before becoming effective so that the procedures accurately reflect the actual processes and activities performed by each House in selecting its candidate.

Rafik Dammak:Thanks Steve, we havce to document all processes

Steve DelBianco:looking at the Annexes now, but not seeing the procedure that contract parties use to select their vice chair. Just sayin

Greg Shatan:I don't think it makes sense to try to identify "extraordinary reasons" in advance.

Lori Schulman:Agree with Greg. Also agree that this should be written.

Mary Wong:@Steve, the CPH has documented its process for selecting Board seat 13, which is what is mandated by the GNSO Operating Procedures:https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_council_annex-2D6-2Drysg-2Drssg-2Dboard-2Dseat-2D01sep16-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkPSS6sJms7xcI5cM&r=SJoAZJPf4sll7c5HM-

[90jeUSDBNV8i1H6DgoihUn1_U&m=ximB9yDP0mEbJzFZPFGmf0Jv2kX2FQoqLPs40j67J0c&s=2rGa3GGxmX4s3kfjRkF7fOpYZrPwiVfyqC4gS_oWKaU&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_council_op-2Dprocedures-2D01sep16-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=SJoAZJPf4sII7c5HM-90jeUSDBNV8i1H6DgoihUn1_U&m=ximB9yDP0mEbJzFZPFGmf0Jv2kX2FQoqLPs40j67J0c&s=H--cNhS2yFlchmGrGJq1j4irkXmGIC7BxZ4vUxeHU6E&e=)

Heather Forrest:@Steve- just to be 100% clear, that section 2.4.3 which you referenced (requirement for documentation) deals with Board seat, not Council leadership

Steve DelBianco:Ah, so while it may not be REQUIRED that we document the vice chair process, a number of us believe that we SHOULD

Greg Shatan:Maybe start with a timeline and then see whether anything more is needed.

Greg Shatan:So we don't get caught flat-footed (again).

Benedetta Rossi:@Session Co-Chairs: as a reminder, the summary & wrap-up slot today is a roundtable for session co-chairs to flag action items from their sessions in preparation for tomorrow's "Closing & Next Steps Discussion" co-chaired by Heather and Renata

Heather Forrest:One of the reasons that timelines work against us here is that we have to wait for the full slate of incoming Councilor elections to be completed, and that's not long in time before the Chair election timeline kicks off

Rafik Dammak:staff sent reminder about the timeline, we can use that as trigger to start the process

Greg Shatan:If I recall correctly from past conversations, we need to get started earlier than anyone thinks. I believe we should have started even before the staff reminder.

Rafik Dammak:@Heather the SG elections are being scheduled to be aligned with council schedule

Heather Forrest:I think it's 3 weeks between the two processes (SG/C Councilor internal elections and Chair elections)

Heather Forrest:@Rafik - yes, that's a key improvement in the timing problem

Rafik Dammak:I mean staff asking us to have new councillors selected by specific date

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Isn't there a GNSO chartered group that replaced the Standing Committee on Improvement Implementation? Can this be assigned to them? (They were working on implementing Accountability changes I think but I don't know if they are still convened.) I recall Lori was participating and also Amr?

Rafik Dammak:@Anne you mean the GNSO review WG, it is not tasked to cover our party processes

Greg Shatan:This needs to be decided by the House.

Greg Shatan:We need a timeline before that timeline.

Greg Shatan:Bye all. It's dinner time in New York.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Thanks Rafik and Greg, for the clarification.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):@Greg - Bon appetit!

Mary Wong:The GNSO Chair election timeline is in Table 1, bottom of Page

7: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_council_op-2Dprocedures-2D01sep16-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=SJoAZJPf4sII7c5HM-90jeUSDBNV8i1H6DgoihUn1_U&m=ximB9yDP0mEbJzFZPFGmf0Jv2kX2FQoqLPs40j67J0c&s=H--cNhS2yFlchmGrGJq1j4irkXmGIC7BxZ4vUxeHU6E&e=

Steve DelBianco:to see how the Contract Parties select their board set, see pages 90-1 the document linked by Mary

Mary Wong:@Steve, this may be easier to read as it is the annex, separated out from the overall Procedures: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_council_annex-2D6-2Drysg-2Drssg-2Dboard-2Dseat-2D01sep16-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=SJoAZJPf4sII7c5HM-90jeUSDBNV8i1H6DgoihUn1_U&m=ximB9yDP0mEbJzFZPFGmf0Jv2kX2FQoqLPs40j67J0c&s=2rGa3GGxmX4s3kfjRkF7fOpYZrPwiVfyqC4gS_oWKaU&e=

Heather Forrest:Board seat 14 is filled until 2020

Heather Forrest:And 13 until 2019

Steve DelBianco:nothing in the bylaws require 60% for board seat. We get to define the procedure. (ii) the Non-Contracted Parties House (as described in Section 11.3(h)(ii)) shall select a representative to fill Seat 14.

Rafik Dammak:that is draft from iceland https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_document_d_1lx8jCTEWGAuPyPpnL-5FRaHGum4dQXf2a1MTyYXx8O9dc_edit&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=SJoAZJPf4sll7c5HM-90jeUSDBNV8i1H6DgoihUn1_U&m=ximB9yDP0mEbJzFZPFGmf0Jv2kX2FQoqLPs40j67J0c&s=gYhRSirKKmGyw5m9JK5lsg4Jzi3dQ_R1q7boGmtZA-c&e=

Mary Wong:The CPH process also considers a scenario where there is a single candidate, and one where there are multiple candidates.

Mary Wong:The document is unsync'ed in Adobe for easier scrolling by all who are in the AC room.

Steve DelBianco:I actually don't see how the CPH process restricts to just two candidates.

Steve DelBianco:Rafik's first concern about the CPH process was that it required max of 1 candidate

Mary Wong:@Steve, correct - my understanding is that the CPH process allows for more than 2 candidates (see Section 4(f)(c))

Steve DelBianco:Thanks, Mary. And the CPH process allows its SGs to make all the decisions. Their NomCom Appointee could be a candidate, but does not vote. Right?

Mary Wong:@Steve, I will have to check what the RySG and RrSG internal voting processes are, which I will do.

Steve DelBianco:THanks, Mary. All of us would benefit from that clarification

Mary Wong:ok will do!

Ozan Sahin:This is the end of 2018 NCPH Intersessional Meeting - Day 1. Thanks for joining!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye... have a good evening.