

Ozan Sahin:Hello, welcome to NCPH Intersessional Meeting! My name is Ozan and I will be monitoring this chat room.

Ozan Sahin:Please kindly note that remote participants are considered to be observers in the NCPH Intersessional meeting. You may still post comments or questions in the chat box but they will not be read out loud by the remote participation manager. On the other hand, in-room participants may bring these questions or comments forward during the discussion.

Ozan Sahin:All chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior:<https://meetings.icann.org/en/expected-standards-behavior>

Ozan Sahin:We are having a break now. Intersessional meeting delegates will reconvene at 15:00 (PST)

Benedetta Rossi:CORRECTION: the session will resume at 14:45 PST

Lori Schulman:Have we restarted?

Andrea Glandon:@Lori, not yet

John McElwaine:RPM Session is about to start

Renata Aquino Ribeiro NCUC:we have now RPM

Lori Schulman:I am on RPM review too.

claudio:We paused the Sunrise and Claims work while the data collection takes place, so we have still have to revert back

Mary Wong:None of the RPMs (both the ones created for the 2012 round and the UDRP) have ever been comprehensively reviewed.

Jeff Neuman:I dont think we have already dont the others....we just agreed on surveys

Jeff Neuman:We have no recommendations on TMCH or PDDRP

Jeff Neuman:We havent even discussed them from a substantive standpoint. We have spent the past 2 years talking about what are the questions we should be asking

Lori Schulman:Agree with Jeff.

claudio:I think we are pretty delayed

Lori Schulman:No conclusions on Sunrise either. Been distilling questions for 2 years.

Jeff Neuman:We have to come to the realization that we may be in a position to launch the next round prior to the completion of this PDP or even the first pahse of this PDP

claudio:there are no subteams and all the work takes place at the plenary level which slows everything down

claudio:all the main work at least

Jeff Neuman:It would be great if as a house we can talk bout how to move this PDP further along. This is one of the examples where the PDP is taking forever, not because of the process, but because we let it take so long

Mary Wong:FYI the timeline you are seeing dates from a couple of months ago. It seems clear a new timeline will need to be established, and staff hopes to do this shortly once the Working Group has confirmed how it will be proceeding with reviewing cases that were filed under the Uniform Rapid Suspension dispute resolution procedure.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):The biggest issue with UDRP and URS is to modify for compliance with GDPR. Theoretically this should only affect natural person registrants based in the EU and registries/registrar based in the EU. (But that is not the approach being taken currently given the ICANN suspension of the Whols contractual requirement.)

Jeff Neuman:2 years and all we have done is come up with "questions" to ask the public

Jeff Neuman:This is one of those areas where we need to figure out as a house how we can try and come together.

Heather Forrest:@Jeff - I'm inclined to modify your statement and say here's where we need to figure out as a GNSO (not just a House)

Lori Schulman:Agree with Jeff.

Jeff Neuman:@Heather, my guess is that if the NPCH can come together and agree, the other house wont be a problem :)

Heather Forrest:Good point - but indulge the Council Chair in looking for the long game outcome

Heather Forrest:)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):ðŸ˜ˆ<

claudio:who's speaking?

Marie Pattullo:Christian Dawson

claudio:thxs, Marie

Marie Pattullo:Nada

claudio:we still don't know if any GIs are in the TMCH, there were 70 records submitted under Statute or Treaty category, but we never got to the bottom of it

claudio:correct, Mary?

Mary Wong:@Claudio, since GIs is not a separate category for TMCH submission, the TMCH Guidelines do not require an enquiry into whether a mark submitted under the statute/treaty category is, or is not, a GI. It simply needed to be protected by a statute or a treaty that the submitter can document.

claudio:Mary, exactly

Heather Forrest:It will be helpful to this discussion when Council can report back on our discussions over the previous 3 days about PDP manager role and the ideas that were shared around the room in terms of addressing some of these questions

Heather Forrest:I'm feeling like it's unfortunate that the Intersessional immediately preceded this meeting, as we haven't had the time to report to you all, and so in some cases we're having overlapping discussions without the full picture

claudio:Question: does anyone have concerns if we propose to start using Subteams, instead of all the main work taking place in the plenary?

Heather Forrest:Sorry, I meant Council Planning Session immediately preceded this meeting

claudio:can John or one of our IPC delegates ask this question

claudio:I have a question

Marie Pattullo:Thought we had subteams already? The ones that honed the questions?

Marie Pattullo:Type it so we can read in Claudio.

claudio:QUESTION: can we propose to start using Subteams for our main deliberations, instead of all the main work taking place in the plenary?

Lori Schulman:We do have subteams. I have been on 2 of them.

claudio:those subteams are only for small tasks

Lori Schulman:They are task specific.

claudio:exactly, then the end

Marie Pattullo:Thanks Lori.

claudio:all the main work takes place in the plenary

claudio:its not like the sub pro PDP

Lori Schulman:We have been distilling questions.

Lori Schulman:I don't think that the main plenary would agree to that.

Lori Schulman:but you never know...

claudio:Lori, that's why I want to ask them

Ozan Sahin:Paul McGrady on the mic

Lori Schulman:Agree about Compromise.

Lori Schulman:Exactly right.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):ðŸ˜ˆ?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):hear hear

Barbara Wanner: Helpful experience to share, Susan!

Mary Wong: Note that the role and expectations of a Working Group Chair, and the possibility of using co/vice-chairs, are scoped and described in the GNSO Working Group

Guidelines: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_gnso.icann.org\\_en\\_council\\_annex-2D1-2Dgnso-2Dwg-2Dguidelines-2D01sep16-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=SJoAZJPf4sll7c5HM-90jeUSDBNV8i1H6DgoihUn1\\_U&m=3sq\\_Bm1Vpzpjw8zaq90MIGC93QLzKhxSJ4te8MXHzS8&s=U0n0bEAMSPwctf4Qxits6azXBzZ7fa7IGEQ0wLb-g8w&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_council_annex-2D1-2Dgnso-2Dwg-2Dguidelines-2D01sep16-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=SJoAZJPf4sll7c5HM-90jeUSDBNV8i1H6DgoihUn1_U&m=3sq_Bm1Vpzpjw8zaq90MIGC93QLzKhxSJ4te8MXHzS8&s=U0n0bEAMSPwctf4Qxits6azXBzZ7fa7IGEQ0wLb-g8w&e=)