Lori Schulman: Me too, I am grounded. Are you OK?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):that is for sure Anne

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):oh I even had a ticket issued, willing but support withdrawn... I am over my more recent chemical boost ðŸ~"

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):thanks for asking Lori

Lori Schulman: I am suffering from the interminable flu bug.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):@Cheryl - Support withdrawn for you? How so? That does not make much sense.

Ozan Sahin: Discussion contionues with New gTLDs Subsequent Procedures/Next Expansion Round

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): I am not a Councillor only a Liaison to the Council... now to find a way to use a ticket in next year, no gonfor PR asvitvwoukd make it a nightmare... but never mind...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): Thanks Robin, certainly shows wherevwe are, and how much has been done in WT3

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Great job Robin!

Jeff Neuman:No, that is Track 2

Jeff Neuman:But Track 2 defers to the RPM PDP and Track 2 only handles anything not covered Jeff Neuman:That is being discussed in Track 2 (the Legal Agreement)

Jeff Neuman:But we need people like Susan to come to the work track to provide real examples

Jeff Neuman: Or for people from the BC to bring her example to the PDP

Jeff Neuman: I guess I will keep typing assuming someone is reading it :)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):thx Steve

Susan Kawaguchi: Thanks Jeff I will see if I can weigh in on the WT 2

Benedetta Rossi:@all, kindly remember to state your name before speaking to ensure it's captured on the transcript

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):great Susan, that will be most appreciated

Jeff Neuman: And high engagement for Work Track 5

Jeff Neuman:SWORD tool presented a percentage

Benedetta Rossi:Once again, please ensure you state your names for the transcript

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Regarding Applicant freedom of expression, I hope everyone will recognize that we should not unduly restrict the definition of "Community". Establishing a vehicle for expression within a community is important to achieving the freedom of expression goal. "Community" should not be limited to non-profit organizations or organizations which are somehow considered by some to be "a worthy cause". This would quickly evolve to content regulation and is outside ICANN's mission.

Jeff Neuman:Actually 4.....SSAC and RSSAC did not want to be involved (gNSO, ccNSO, ALAC, GAC) Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):moreva recognition of thebspecific interest in the topic by the wider

community

Lori Schulman: Agree with Anne.

Jeff Neuman:Some want to include culturally significant names and called them GeoNames Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):so lots of discussion required from all points of view

Juan Manuel Rojas: In WT5 we are discussing Definition and treatment of geographical names so we have too much to discuss yet.

Jeff Neuman: And the discussions should happen in the group

Jeff Neuman: and not wait until it gets to the council or the board

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):indeed Jeff

Jeff Neuman:@Steve - we are not even close to being there

Jeff Neuman:We need to define what is in the scope of geo names

Jeff Neuman: then talk about the consequences if it is a geo name

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): Take the issue of two letter conuntry codes at the second level as an example of what differing positions might be.

Jeff Neuman:Some dont know what they want to do with the string....they just know that they should have the right to eventually decide what to do with the string

Jeff Neuman: Who is speaking :)

Susan Kawaguchi: Christian Dawson

Ozan Sahin: Christian Dawson

Jeff Neuman:thx

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): deffinitional work is likely to be on agenda for WT5 next

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):so important to get engaged now

Jeff Neuman:Yes - Make sure we we get the issues worked out before it gets to the board :) Steve DelBianco:@Jeff -- seems to me that you need to understand the consequences of being a geoname in order to come up with rules to define a geoname

Jeff Neuman:@Steve - It is a chicken and egg problem for sure. But we need to start somewhere Jeff Neuman:And in balance, it was felt by the 4 co-leaders to start with definition

Steve Chan:For those that are interested in signing up for Work Track 5, please find details here: <u>https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A</u> www.icann.org news_announcement-2D2017-2D10-2D22-

<u>2Den&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5cM&r=SJoAZJPf4sll7c5HM-</u> <u>90jeUSDBNV8i1H6DgoihUn1_U&m=WixtPn-Wei60fe-K4bFaRyFnz9ym0j3ESiXhcQgcb0o&s=3NidmL-</u> CH_WNmyrk4-frmcj2agm9MeMkml2gAgsiMoQ&e=

Jeff Neuman:4 co-leaders are Martin Sutton (GNSO), Christopher Wilkenson (ALAC), Olga Cavalli (GAC) and Annebeth Lange (ccNSO)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):best display that to the roomSteve as Inassume only a few will look here in chat if in the room

Marie Pattullo:Remember that a place name in one language can be a dictionary word in a 2nd and fanciful in a 3rd. And what happens to those that already exist/have been delegated?

Jeff Neuman: This Work Track is a little further along in their deliberations on these issues Jeff Neuman: But this work track, like other Working Groups, suffers from as much participation as we want

Jeff Neuman:sorry - not as much participation as we want

Jeff Neuman: Applicant support is reviewing the original report and implementation.....

Jeff Neuman: And it is talking about more types of support other than financial

Rafik Dammak:thanks Jeff

Rafik Dammak:@Jeff is WT1 close to finish its owrk, or still open for participation?

Steve DelBianco:@Jeff -- is the old JAS (Joint Applicant Support) group re-convening to look at this? Jeff Neuman:In work track 2, one of the biggest debates is on Closed Generics and whether the Board decision for the last round should continue. Not allowing closed generics was a top down decision. We are now trying to decide if that becomes policy

Jeff Neuman:@Steve - We have reached out to many members and invited them to participate. Some have accepted that offer, others have not

Steve DelBianco:Be sure to ping Andrew Mack

Rafik Dammak:@Jeff I was not invited....

Jeff Neuman:@Steve - We did, but it would be good to do it again

Michael Flemming: At this point, we have put together recommendations for Registry Agreement, Reserved Names, Registrant Protections, Applicant Terms and Conditions, and Cosed Generics.

Jeff Neuman:Rafik - I was told that Sara and Christa (the 2 Co-leads) have reached out to you on a number of occassions :)

Jeff Neuman:Sara Bockey and Christa Taylor

Michael Flemming:recommendations as in paths forward*

Jeff Neuman:But Rafik, consider this a renewed invitation. We would love for you to join us

Rafik Dammak:@Jeff yes but for meeting with me, I suggested when but no follow-up :) if the WT1 is still, I will join

Jeff Neuman: To be honest, there are not as many people as you that have the requisite experience in this area, so your participation is more than welcome

Jeff Neuman:@Steve - Can we make sure Rafik is added ASAP!

Steve Chan:@Jeff, assuming you're talking to this Steve :) I'll be happy to reach out to Rafik.

Jeff Neuman:@Steve Chan: good point, yes

Jeff Neuman:@Steve D. - exectly. Its hard to review harms when the practice was never allowed to exist

Jeff Neuman:@Steve D: We have had many discussions on this issue. And people on both sides Michael Flemming: If Closed Generics could be allowed in the Public Interest, then it could be feasible to allow them.

Jim Prendergast: there is a provision in contracts prohibiting them

Jeff Neuman: And people have made strong cases on both sides

Lori Schulman: What would that "public interest" look like?

Jeff Neuman: In the Initial Report, we will likely present different options for consideration by the public Lori Schulman: bi.ngo

Lori Schulman:ta.ngo

Lori Schulman: love that

Greg Shatan: Registration restrictions are not the same thing as Closed Generics.

Lori Schulman:See you on the other side, Paul.

Greg Shatan:So bi.ngo and ta.ngo are not Closed Generic issues....

Jeff Neuman:@Paul - The GAC does have advice, but that advice does not prohibit closed generics. It just says that allowing them should only be done if it is in the public interest

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): I agree with Paul that this will come up again with the GAC. Is anyone from GAC participating in Sub Pro Track 2?

Lori Schulman:.ngo was a closed generic

Lori Schulman:in a sense

Jeff Neuman: The Board - rather than doing an evaluation - decided to just ban them

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): I was thinking .ngo was actually an eligibility issue - not a closed generic issue.

Lori Schulman: assuming you consider NGO generic

Lori Schulman:a generic acronym

Jeff Neuman:So for the record, closed generics were not banned by GAC Advice. To the contrary, they just wanted to know what public interest would be served

Steve DelBianco: it was eligibility, Anne. Farzi was talking about second level names -- but closed Gerneric is about top level

Greg Shatan:@Lori, a Closed Generic is one where the second level is restricted to the owner and affiliates.

Jeff Neuman:WT 4 is also looking at the 1000 TLD per year restriction

Jeff Neuman:We need to update teh wiki :)

Lori Schulman:@Greg, thanks for the clarification. I thought it meant you needed to meet certain criteria met by the registry

Steve DelBianco:imagine: winter.tires search.tires being controlled by the single tire-maker who controls the TLD .tires

Greg Shatan:So NGO would not be a closed generic unless registration was restricted to PIR and its affiliates.

Lori Schulman:got it

Lori Schulman:what is the term for what NGO did -- require certification, etc. that you were really an ngo

Lori Schulman:community?

Jeff Neuman:.ngo is an open restricted TLD

Greg Shatan: In Olden Dayes, it would have been called a Restricted TLD (rTLD).

Lori Schulman:got it. I was confusing open, restricted with closed

Jeff Neuman: Meaning it has registrations from third parties subject to meeting the eligibility requirements

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Some issues being discussed in Work Track 4. One is whether or not applicants will need to disclose new services in their applications as currently set out in the AGB. Or whether applicants can just wait and use the RSEP process. Another is whether a new name collision framework will be adopted that specifies different levels of risk to be assigned to strings - e.g. DO NOT APPLY and low risk, medium risk, and high risk new framework.

Jeff Neuman:@Steve - Yes, we are discussing allowing bundling of variants.....but variants is not the same as translations

Jeff Neuman:VERY DIFFERENT

Greg Shatan: The 2004 round was the golden age for restricted TLDs

Jeff Neuman:We need to be careful when discussing variants to not confuse that with translations Jeff Neuman:Language Tables generally have to be agreed upon by experts

Steve DelBianco:True, Jeff. I was talking about IDN versions of TLDs. Not "variants"

Greg Shatan: What was the question?

Jeff Neuman: Experts usually range cross region

Lori Schulman: We are banned from the phones. :)

Lori Schulman:We can listen.

Farzaneh Badii: It's for your own good Lori :))

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):just observers

Lori Schulman:@Farzaneh - agree.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): It seems there has been discussion in Work Track 4 about idn variants going automatically to the owner of the existing TLD. I am not at all clear on this though.

Jeff Neuman:Generally we try to have language experts work on language tablesthat are cross regional meaning that they try to get agreement from people of different regions sharing the same language

Benedetta Rossi:@all, as a reminder for the NCPH intersessional we have 7 delegates per community group for balance purposes as this is one of the guiding principles of this meeting. Remote observation is available and all sessions are recorded and transcribed.

gangadhar panday:countries that share the same culture will be having high competition for certain TLDs

Jeff Neuman:Timeline!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):thanks Susan and Robin

Jeff Neuman:RObin - do you want to talk about timeline

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):@Jeff - Robin already put the timeline up in her presentation

gangadhar panday:we should have mechanism which caters to more people rather than restricting which the present IDN regime is

Ozan Sahin: This session wraps up now. We will have a break for 15 minutes before the next session starts. Thank you!

Jeff Neuman:oh...missed it.

claudio:it would also be balanced to have 7 people dial-in from each SG

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): it was at the beginning

Benedetta Rossi:@Claudio: this is something the planning team from each participating community to discuss in preparation for the meeting. The format of the meeting has been this way for all 4 previous intersessional meetings

claudio:@Benedetta, I know - its been flawed for a long time :)

John Berard: are we on break?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):yes John

Benedetta Rossi:@John yes we are, ahead of schedule

Benedetta Rossi:resuming in 15 minutes

John Berard:until when? it came early

John Berard:ah thanks