Julie Bisland: Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 3 – String

Contention, Objections & Disputes on Tuesday, 26 September 2017 at 15:00 UTC

Julie Bisland: Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A community.icann.org x AhohB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM &r=QiF-

<u>05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=GzBGgAuNCU19h9HOCoqARIIqKa3Qd8iCFyvJqrCE</u>CYc&s=wm7OQlmx3ZDywmfNWVlkiNK8JnV1r89WxdAC4AwFZrA&e=

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):@ staff - the 520 phone number is Anne Aikman-Scalese

Julie Bisland: thank you Anne

Alan Greenberg: We have. Christopher Wilkinson

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): I believe the plenary said they would seek permission from the 3 GNSO Co-Chair nominees for WT5 to disclosse their names.

avri doria: for example i did not mention the rrreport the poolicy staff is working on describinf all the issues and state of discussions and which they gave out a url on.

avri doria:... report that policy staff ...

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):@ Avri - it would be so great if staff could post the associated pdfs on the Wiki rather than having every member copy and paste the urls in the Summary document that are NOT live links.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Or post the live links on the wiki along with the link to the Summary doc.

Alan Greenberg:Better to sort bby pair and not purely alphabetically.

avri doria:Thank you Anne, I am sure they will take your comment into account for their later versions and will react appropriately.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Thank you Avri.

Michael Flemming (GMO): I support this. It was a nightmare going through filing procedures in such a limited time period.

Michael Flemming (GMO):In regards to the first comment.

Michael Flemming (GMO):Sorry, thanks for the clarification.

Paul McGrady: I'm happy to hold my comments until the chair is ready. :)

Michael Flemming (GMO): I wanted to get in the que too

Julie Bisland:Paul, no sound at all

Julie Bisland:ok, now good

Alan Greenberg: My adobe connect speaker just came on and phone mutted of disconnected!

Alan Greenberg: Ahh. My phone died.

Paul McGrady:So sorry about the audio problems. Ugh.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): Agree with Paul

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):For example, what happens when we compare .hardrock with .hardrocks? (I don't represent the Hard Rock or any rock bands or any rock climbers.)

Roger Carney:+1 Michael, on synonyms

Michael Flemming (GMO):Potentially confusing, maybe, but not restrictively. Unless the application itself outlined a plan to operate within the field that shared similarity with its synonym, then I could see that, but other than that it is too widestretched.

Paul McGrady:@Alan - that wasn't my comment RE: Drs.

Paul McGrady:Ha!

Michael Flemming (GMO):Poor Paul

Michael Flemming (GMO):Never

Paul McGrady: Sword tools worse than useless.

Jim Prendergast:https://icannwiki.org/String Similarity Panel

Jim Prendergast:second section

Jim Prendergast:and the link to the tool does not work... live by the Sword....

Alan Greenberg: Thanks Paul. Reminds me about an old joke that involves the line that particular information is "100% accurate and 100% meaningless"

Paul McGrady:@Kurt - I promised that my description should be highly editorialized.

Paul McGrady:@Kurt - how would the algorythm take into account trademark registrations?

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Too bad the tool is o longer live. We could run hypothetical strings against it to help find examples that might lead to a better tool.

Paul McGrady:@Kurt - would the new Sword be for only comparing strings for string similarity and not for rights objection?

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):But should we incorporate any new tool into the panel decisions? Phil Marano:SWORD results (high or low) were routinely cited by either party in string similarity objections, though deference by Panels was unclear at best.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Thinking about "predictability".

Michael Flemming (GMO):Question: Does the similarity review panel used in the ccTLD fast track, if applicable to gTLD similarity, allow for an appeals process for being placed in a contention set or being refused based upon similarity with an existing TLD?

Kurt Pritz:@ Paul - the algorithm merely compares any two strings and provides a similarity score. Someone would have to draw a line under a certain score. I should write a sad obituary of a good idea that didn't make it (cf. digital archery)

Michael Flemming (GMO):Wish Rubens was here

Emily Barabas:ALAC response to 3.1.1: "The recommendation on string confusion is one that must be enhanced. Singular and plural versions of related strings proved to be problematic in the first round and must be addressed this time. Such provision should not be limited to just the addition of an S but should be more generalized as suggested in a recent Registry SG document. That being said, as discussed in relation the ccNSO Extended Process Similarity Review Panel (EPSRP) document, for strings that are inherently confusing in their own right, but for which STRONG irrevocable policies mitigating against confusion in full domain names, delegation could be considered."

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):It would also be pretty useless when there is a trademark involved in one string that has secondary meaning to the public - probably need to just scrap it.

Michael Flemming (GMO):@Anne is that in regards to the harmonization with the ccTLD fast track harmonization?

Michael Flemming (GMO):sorry, used by noun twice

Michael Flemming (GMO):my*

Michael Flemming (GMO): I would be support of exploring that further

Michael Flemming (GMO):Not at the current time

avri doria:appeals could apply to that as much as anything, i think.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Another tough question. What about .star compared to .starz? And is that confusing with .etoile?

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): Not sure what Michael is supporting (or opposing)

avri doria:does that mean that japanese see car and cars as different and have no confusion?

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):And what do we think about the phonetic equivalent of a plural? e.g. starz? Michael Flemming (GMO):Theoritically, though, the IDN equivalent of English is recognized completely differently.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Gg: If I'm understanding you correctly, wouldn't that mean we would have to do the community evaluation at the beginning (and leaving string similarity and confusion to the end)?

Michael Flemming (GMO):I don't want to pick at .shop, but .通販 and .shop are two different words and have different target communities.

Michael Flemming (GMO): If they are thrown into the same contention set, don't we close ourselves off to allowing for the IDN to go live?

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Michael: +1:-)

Gg Levine (NABP):@Kristina: perhaps; that would be one approach.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): I can tell you how we got to the result. Amazon was one of the applicants.

Paul McGrady: I think we need to talk about both contention sets (2 new applications) and string preclusion (1 new application v an already delegated gTLD, which already delegated gTLD wins).

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):IN Track 4 we are talking about a registry getting the right to the equivalent idn when it is awarded the English word. I dont really understand to what degree that is being proposed but it relates to string similarity and contention sets in my mind because the issue is not JUST foreign languages that use the script we use - it's also idns an idn equivalents. Don't really know how this was treated in 2012 but Work Track 4 is now working on the idn issue. Would appreciate if Rubens or Cheryl could clarify.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Bye for now...

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Have to drop for another meeting. Michael is correct. Board ordered the hearing of .shop v. online shopping (japanese). Panel strongly argued that upholding the string contention would, in fact, undermine the existence of IDNs, which were one of the stated (and perhaps only widely supported) rationales for the new gTLD program.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): Have to run.

Michael Flemming (GMO):Thx, Kristina

Paul McGrady:Great call, but I need to go. Have a great day y'all!

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):I think the methodology is fine. You get lots of opinions out on the table and issues get raised.

Julie Bisland: Next TRACK 3 call: Tuesday, 10 October 2017 at 20:00 UTC for 60 minutes

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Thanks Avri and Karen. Have a good day all.

Michael Flemming (GMO): I will be there

Michael Flemming (GMO):Great call

avri doria:bye