

Adobe Connect chat transcript for Monday, 25 September 2017

Julie Bisland: Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures WG call on Monday, 25 September 2017 at 20:00 UTC

Julie Bisland: Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_7BkhB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=IPTxjhmnwzYaEAUfBu4GcDI6VWYmcij5CAA104Q5mgE&s=B9fknXnsGB3IsA8fkYqGwTzogJdxzEUEt4Edac_GOUo&e=

kavouss arasteh: HI JULI

Julie Bisland: Hello Kavouss!

kavouss arasteh: Here is about mid night

Krishna Seeburn - Kris: hi all

Julie Bisland: Hello Kris!

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): Hello All

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): do we have adobe audio?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): Hi Jeff, audio positive

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): do we wait for 3 minutes more?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): test my AC audio now?

Jeff Neuman: Can hear you well Cheryl!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): I always prefer to have dial up phone, for audio stability but still no phone lines connected to the house, :(new cables to be laid underground it seems ... SIGH

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): and I am *not* going to the office to take calls even at this hour :-)

Heather Forrest: @Cheryl - did those 100km winds last week get you? It was rough down here in Tasie.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): It didn't help Heather but I lost phones the windy week before

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): ergh typos sorry

Heather Forrest: No good - hopefully underground lines will help

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): the office and laboratory BLD is just fine now and has been for the week just our residence still offline

Julie Bisland: Kavouss: I've sent you a private chat

Vanda Scartezini: hi everyone....with a lan connection hope it will work

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): Yup that's the *expensive* plan Heather

Vanda Scartezini: it is good Avri

kavouss arasteh: Pls advise the operator to dial me up

kavouss arasteh: Here is mid night and if there would no call then I have to quit

kavouss arasteh: I need to be connected

Julie Bisland: Kavouss, the operator is trying to call but having trouble

kavouss arasteh: what trouble

Karen Day: lost avri audio?

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): losing audio - Avri too soft

Erica Varlese: audio got very quiet

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): audio dropped volume

Vanda Scartezini: she is still here but became very low

kavouss arasteh: 00971 2656 0000 ROOM 1505

Ken Stubbs - Afiliat: volume back to normal

Jonathan Robinson: I updated my SOI recently. More a tidy up and removing of no longer relevant information.

Vanda Scartezini: have my full support

Greg Shatan: Huis Clos for CLO.

Greg Shatan:Translation: No exit for CLO. Full support.
Martin Sutton:Fully support Cheryl.
kavouss arasteh:De ar Julie
kavouss arasteh:Pls advise the operator to dial me up
Vanda Scartezini:I have been working with Cheryl since 2007 and she is all for process and quality
Rubens Kuhl:Full support for Cheryl, from someone already in the trenches with her for last 18 months!
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Thank you for the support on the list I appreciate that and also for the support shown here to step up in support of Avri and Jeff (hopefully the GNSO will not object)
Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):We are all happy Cheryl agreed to assume this responsibility.
Jamie Baxter | dotgay:support for Cheryl
Phil Buckingham:My congratulations too .
Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):congrats!
Julie Bisland:Kavouss, the operator is getting error messages, so i'm working with her to troubleshoot. still trying.
Alexander Schubert:Congrats!
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO)::-) you have to train me now Avri
Jeff Neuman:ok...Cheryl you take the meeting from here :) Just kidding
Jamie Baxter | dotgay:Congrats Cheryl!
kavouss arasteh:tk
Alan Greenberg:Chery, having worked with you for several years, trainable??
Heather Forrest:Council's October document deadline is next Monday 2nd - please could the PDP leadership/Paul as liaison put this on the Council agenda?
Jeff Neuman:@Heather - I will officially do that after this call
Julie Bisland:Kavouss, you're connected now, correct?
Heather Forrest:Great, Jeff, thanks. Just making sure it gets on the agenda otherwise we'll have to wait until AD
kavouss arasteh:yes thanks
Sara Bockey:Apologies for delay in joining - computer issues.
Jim Prendergast:Michael - can you referesh my memory on who is invited ot the WT2 call? thanks
Vanda Scartezini:please speak lauder
Phil Buckingham: WT2 15.00 UTC 28 September - please note the change of time this week only . @ Jim , Kathy Kleiman and Michele Neylon will be joining the call
Michael Flemming:Sorry Jim, aside from our normal roster I have confirmed planned attendance from Kathy Kleiman and Michele Neylon
kavouss arasteh:Avri, you are heard with distortion
Rubens Kuhl:28 September New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 4 – IDNs/Technical & Operations 20:00 UTC
Jonathan Robinson:Your line is fine now Jeff. Seemingly OK once Avri mic is off.
Jim Prendergast:thx
Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):@Jeff - Can you share the three GNSO nominees?
Greg Shatan:Is the WT5 nomination period still open?
Jeff Neuman:thanks
Julie Bisland:@Kavouss: your line was creating that echo from Jeff's line so the operator muted it. If I see your hand raised, we will unmute.
Rubens Kuhl:Yes we do.
Jeff Neuman:@Greg - WE have not really closed the nomination period yet since there were no real candidates from the GNSO that came forward until this week.

Greg Shatan:Thanks, Jeff. There may be at least one more "candidate". It might make sense to put a deadline on it at this point.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):QUESTION: @Jeff - Who are the nominees from GNSO? QUESTION

Jonathan Robinson:@Avri. I think you are fine. Only when you and Jeff are both open mic is there a problem.

Jonathan Robinson:Simultaneously

Julie Bisland:@Avri: your line is clear, thinking Kavouss's line might have had an issue too, as when a few of you have open lines at the same time they are creating a feedback and echo.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):That's how I am hearing it as well

Rubens Kuhl:While the co-chairs have latitude in choosing their method, the one adopted by the Council in the SSC(Standing Selection Committee) is to only publish the chosen name.

Donna Austin, Neustar:did we lose audio or is it just me?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Audio fine

Rubens Kuhl:Donna, audio works for me in the audio bridge.

Donna Austin, Neustar:ok dialling in

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):There will be only 1 Kavous like all the other ACSOs

kavouss arasteh:Tks for clarification

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):COMMENT- Avri just said we would know the names of the nominees. That way members of this group can provide input to Leadership on the choice. COMMENT

Rubens Kuhl:I don't think we divide WG members between SOs and ACs, since there is no requirement to be from a SO or AC to joining a GNSO PDP WG.

Karen Day:I think Greg is recommending that only GNSO members of this group select

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Seems a good point to me there @Rubens

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):GNSO Council?

Rubens Kuhl:Maxim, the GNSO Council punted this choice to the WG leadership.

Greg Shatan:Not GNSO Council. They don't get involved in picking Subgroup leadership.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):The Council suggested the LT to do that as Rubens stated

Heather Forrest:Given that the WT5 leaders each represent an SO/AC, then I think the GNSO should be deciding its leader. The GNSO did not have input into the other SO/AC leader nominations.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):He types faster than I do it seems ;-)

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Dropping for another (hopefully short) meeting. Back soon.

Heather Forrest:No problem, Jeff!

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):@Greg, representation needs to be somewhat equal ... for GAC level it is going to be GNSO Council

Greg Shatan:Maxim, it's not Council's job.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):I thought GNSO said they will not agree to decide the chair of WT5.

Greg Shatan:Anne -- Council said that. Not the GNSO. Not the same thing,

Heather Forrest:@Jeff- that's my understanding too, but Council didn't delve into the specifics

Greg Shatan:I have to step away. Sorry.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Thank you Jeff!

kavouss arasteh:Perhaps GNSO need a PDP for this topic

Vaibhav Aggarwal:+1 Greg & +1 Heather

avri doria:certainly

Steve Chan:The link to the document being displayed is here:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_1mA-5FhTUhLhJSsfcmoQwREtUqxykZ5KfJffzJAAhEvNIA_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):There is clearly still a lot to discuss in this exercise, but it is a good one to pursue IMO

Gg Levine (NABP):Would an applicant have to be identified as a member of a designated category to be considered eligible for financial support?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):financial support was almost useless

Krishna Seeburn - Kris:If its sound NGO then the Public internet registry may have to be and may be under the PIR... .NGO / .ORG are under PIR

Phil Buckingham:exactly Jeff - we then develop a tree diagram based on Specifications that would be applicable or exempt

Gg Levine (NABP):Thx.

Rubens Kuhl:Financial evaluation and contracting are also likely impacted by TLD types.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):.moscow TLD would be non for profit too

Vanda Scartezini:yes shall go ahead with this exercise.

Rubens Kuhl:And contract could be the only impact, like it was in 2012 for governmental organisations.

Christa Taylor:I would see financial support being separate as mentioned, there are very wealthy NFPs

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):audio

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):back

Martin Sutton:@Heather. dotBrands could have benefited from categorisation in 2012 round, instead, there was a lot of pain during the post-application phase, but eventually recognised as a distinct model through spec 13.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):It's not about being a non-profit. It's about needing Applicant Support.

Vanda Scartezini:I beleive so Rubens, and may be what alla re looking at when trying to define categories

Christa Taylor:+1 Anne

Heather Forrest:@Martin - it makes sense where a categor is created in order to serve a clear purpose. For brands, clearly the purpose was efficiency in evluation and contracting. That I can see clearly. I think we need clear reasons/purpose like that for any other categories as well

Donna Austin, Neustar:The criteria for applicant support should be needs based and not tied to specific organisations.

Martin Sutton:@Heather - agree

Phil Buckingham:+1 Donna

Donna Austin, Neustar:Agree Heather

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Is there a case for "case by case" in the matter of appropriate for Applicant Support program?

Rubens Kuhl:It's on the list for now, last line of page 1.

kavouss arasteh: We should avoid creating new categories under which some of the existing categories covered

Vanda Scartezini:totally agree with Anne

Rubens Kuhl:Some Geo TLDs are government entities (like .nyc or .rio) and some are not (like .berlin).

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):+1 Rubens

Donna Austin, Neustar:Regardless of category, all applications will likely undergo the same baseline process.

Donna Austin, Neustar:..nyc is not a geoTLD as defined in the AGB

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):The reason we need a separate category is because this effort failed in the 2012 round.

Donna Austin, Neustar:I was responding to a comment by Rubens

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):We need to develop policies to help those who need Applicant Support to succeed. That is why the category should be separate.

Rubens Kuhl:Donna, .nyc is a GeoTLD according to both ICANN and NYC Mayor's Office:
Rubens Kuhl:<https://newgtlds.icann.org/sites/default/files/ier/f3T5ufeSpeThAJezaxezuDtE/ie-1-1715-21938-en.pdf>

Rubens Kuhl:"Geographic Names Geographic Name - PassThe Geographic Names Panel has determined that your application falls within the criteria for a geographic name contained in the Applicant Guidebook Section 2.2.1.4, and the documentation of support or non-objection provided has met all relevant criteria in Section 2.2.1.4.3 of the Applicant Guidebook"

Donna Austin, Neustar:@Rubens, I get that, but it is not a geo in terms of the AGB.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):like poor oil industry community brand

Rubens Kuhl:Donna, tell that to ICANN and to the .nyc operator ... ;-)

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Hi! I think this conversation started while I had dropped for another meeting. Can someone fill me in as to why we're discussing categories? Whether to have them? What that determination drives? Thanks!

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:in the 2012 round weren't applicants allowed to pick both geo and community?

Krishna Seeburn - Kris:agreed things may have to be clear at the application time and meeting the needed requirements

Rubens Kuhl:@Jamie, that's true, and there some examples. OSAKA and one or two of the Barcelona TLDs.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):OK, thanks.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):We should perhaps consider different specifications for Applicant Support applicants - e.g. okay to have a fewer number of registrars - make their operations simpler. We need to develop policies to encourage the success of those needing Applicant Support in the public interest.

Rubens Kuhl:OSAKA was decided between two applicants on a Community Priority Evaluation, there were two applications with non-objection from the government. One was community and one was not.
avri doria:but Geo was an emergent 'category' while community was a defined category. perhaps that is the reason for overlap.

avri doria:but perhaps geo and community are not both categories but one of them is an attribute that can be applied to multiple categories.

kavouss arasteh: Yes ,highly regulated is totally different than sensitive category

kavouss arasteh:different from

Rubens Kuhl:Avri, we could define categories as mutually exclusive and attributes as non-exclusive to move the discussions along.

Donna Austin, Neustar:@Avri, geo was a category because of additional requirements. community was a category because of different requirements.

avri doria:so was geo a category or an attribute.

Donna Austin, Neustar:good question Avri

Donna Austin, Neustar:Avri

Donna Austin, Neustar:but perhaps we're just splitting hairs for no real purpose

Vanda Scartezini:the requirement regarding government authorization makes it a different category imo

Donna Austin, Neustar:on the chat discussion

Rubens Kuhl:Brand + Exclusive - Use : Category. Attribute of that category: Brand TLD>

avri doria:time check 20 minutes left.

Rubens Kuhl:Geographic, Community, Governmental: Attributes.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Depends on what we're using the categories for. If the "validated registry" category is strictly interpreted (e.g., extensive restrictions) and we don't have an open restricted TLD category, we could be stifling innovation.

kavouss arasteh:different from was typed to replace different than in my comment about highly regulated and sensitive pls kindly make that correction

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:i actually thought there were only standard and community TLDs as options in the 2012 round, however geo was a box that required checking when completing an application and as Donna pointed out, it required additional requirements

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Would it be because of contractual restrictions (e.g., with ICANN)?
avri doria:Jamie, that is somewhat what i mean by the difference between categories and attributes that can be applied to any category.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):To be clear, I as speculating on answer to Jeff's question as to why we had the governmental organization applicants entry on the chart.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):was speculating. sheesh. need more coffee.

Jeff Neuman:I need coffee too ;)

Donna Austin, Neustar:i think we should focus on a rationale for a category and i don't think organisation types require their own category

Jeff Neuman:@Donna that may be so, but this exercise will help us

Donna Austin, Neustar:yep, its already helped me Jeff and I've drawn my conclusion :-)

Greg Shatan:Kristina, you're in the right city for more coffee.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Greg. True. Have already dusted off the sun machine for the winter.

Heather Forrest:"sun machine" - now that's fabulous

Greg Shatan:@Kristina, your employer needs to buy the sun and move it to Seattle. No more need for a sun machine, then.

Donna Austin, Neustar:if an organisation like Nike wanted to sell domain names to anyone, they would not be a brand TLD, is that correct?

Rubens Kuhl:Donna, they could sell to brand licensees.

Greg Shatan:But not to just "anyone."

Donna Austin, Neustar:but does that change whether they are considered a brand TLD

Hadia Elminiawi:@greg like that - buy some sun ..

Rubens Kuhl:So that could be very ample, like anyone who bought one of their shoes. ;-)

Heather Forrest:Excellent initiative, Steve & team!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Thanks Steve

Donna Austin, Neustar:sounds good Steve

Steve

Chan:<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1A5uaxBAgmg7QsFuqMdVvt1HxNZ4jKXnm3HpOgZra7U0/edit?usp=sharing>

Phil Buckingham:excellent steve

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Bye everyone

Heather Forrest:Thanks Avri, Jeff and WT leaders

Christa Taylor:Thank-you

Hadia Elminiawi:Thank you all and bye

Rubens Kuhl:Bye all!

Katrin Ohlmer, DOTZON:Thanks & bye, everybody!

Greg Shatan:Bye all!

Alexander Schubert:Bye!

Bruna Santos:thanks evertone, bye!

Vanda Scartezini:thansk everyone..