

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the Sub Team for Additional Marketplace RPMs on Friday, 11 August 2017 at 16:00 UTC

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki page: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_community.icann.org\\_x\\_ChAhB&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3Pj6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB\\_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=cvtEJIHWIsTeuNQKX6Z-n\\_0mz09q-H8JlPFVh5dBAAw&s=D18T1K3gemlOIAjo44kQdK0HHjAdJvAdK2LtIEhFBGo&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_ChAhB&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3Pj6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=cvtEJIHWIsTeuNQKX6Z-n_0mz09q-H8JlPFVh5dBAAw&s=D18T1K3gemlOIAjo44kQdK0HHjAdJvAdK2LtIEhFBGo&e=)

Amr Elsadr::-)

Amr Elsadr:Thanks Julie.

Paul McGrady:Happy Friday Everyone (unless it is still Thursday where you are or if its already Saturday)!

David McAuley:Hello all, just dialed in

David McAuley:small group thus far

Steve Levy:Hi all

David McAuley:That makes sense Paul

Kathy:+1 David

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:I'm sorry I'm late.

David McAuley:good point Kathy

Susan Payne:apologies for being late

David McAuley:yes

Susan Payne:hi - is there a new version of the document that we are working from or is it the same one as last week?

Mary Wong:@Greg, that is why the language of previous sentence/question asks if the uses are currently permitted by the rules that apply to the TMCH.

Mary Wong:@Susan, this is the document that was circulated earlier this week.

Steve Levy:I favor keeping

Greg Shatan:Mary do you think the question is redundant?

Mary Wong:@Greg, staff was only trying to capture what was discussed by the Sub Team (not our place to opine :))

Susan Payne:got it

Greg Shatan:I would get rid of the second question in question 2, on judginess grounds.

David McAuley:would suggest adding "or otherwise addressed"

Mary Wong:@David, noted!

Greg Shatan:Agree with David.

David McAuley:Thanks @Mary

David McAuley:agree w/Jon about that

Susan Payne:it's back down thanks

David McAuley:OK - Kathy and Mary's point about keeping a question seems ok given that

Mary Wong:@Paul, yes that is correct.

Julie Bisland:Does anyone want to jump in while we try

Paul McGrady:Call failed. Will call back in ASAP

Julie Bisland:thank you Mary

Philip Corwin:My main point is that restricting DPML services to marks registered in the TMCH limits their scope, and that if regsitries offering them could not use the SMD files they might well desire to use a form of trademark validation that significantly broadened the scope of marks eligible for such blocking services. Not a data issue, but a policy consideration for the full WG.

David McAuley:yes

Kurt Pritz:I like the reversion that Jon recommended

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:@Phil, registries can restrict eligibility based on TM rights, even if those TMs are not in the TMCH. I think .istanbul did something like that. Sunrise #1 was for TMCH sunrise files. Sunrise #2 was for local brand owners. Not sure who validated them...probably the RO themselves or a 3P.

David McAuley:sorry - I had to step away for a moment for a chat

Mary Wong:@Jon, on that second bullet, maybe a better phrasing can be "Do all registry operators who offer Protected Marks Lists (e.g. blocking services) use a valid SMD file ... etc.?"

Mary Wong:@Paul, all - I believe the Sub Team agreed last week that Q3 as a whole is a "fact gathering" simple exercise.

Kathy:+1 Kurt

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:Yes, Mary. I believe I suggested that this is data that is available on the websites of the ROs who offer these mechanisms.

Philip Corwin:@Kristine--I think we agree. Other than in the unlikely context of prohibition of DPML services, registries can offer such services to any TM that meets its eligibility criteria -- which could be far broader than marks registered in the TMCH. Again, I am not making an argument for or against anything -- just pointing out a policy consideration.

David McAuley:no obj

Kathy:Good point, Mary

Kurt Pritz:Anyone who describes herself as, "horribly inarticulate," is not

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:+1 Kurt

Mary Wong:Aw geez thanks

Steve Levy:+1 Kurt :-)

Greg Shatan:Mary, you have the best words.

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:That's Q5

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:Which I recommended we move to the front when we re-order them

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:Because it's overarching

Mary Wong:Q5 is what Kristine had identified as the main overarching question for this exercise.

Mary Wong:Q4 has been rephrased to take into account Kristine's reformulation from last week, which people on the call seemed to agree with.

Susan Payne:looks fine

David McAuley:makes sense

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:I think I pointed out last week, despite the more neutral re-write, that this data is likely to be hard to get because of confidential business information.

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:(sorry bad mic today or I'd be raising my hand)

Kathy:e.g. volumes of registrations??

Steve Levy:E.g., Numbers of exact matches?

Kathy:I think to be balanced, shouldn't we say something about benefits or concerns about this extension?

Kathy:Sorry, I was questioning that volumes of registration means??

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:+ Susan. We're here to gather information, not make value judgments.

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:No, this isn't a notice. This is about numbers.

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:We're trying to figure out if these additional mechanisms took away from ICANN RPMs. I think this Q is trying to get at the bell curve over 30 vs 90 days

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:but I'm still trying to figure out if the data is positive or negative. It's just data. What's the bell curve?

Kathy:Did they get additional matches? Did they get additional turnbacks (people not registering)?

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:But your question is being asked in the Claims ST.

Kurt Pritz:I am for the question 4 as that to which we agreed in the last meeting for the reason Kristine typed above. I think it would harvest the information Kathy is requesting also.

Kathy:+1 Kurt

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:This ST is about the data we need to analyze how Additional Mechanisms affected the ICANN mechanisms.

Susan Payne:@Kristine, honestly I'm not sure wat the value of seeking this info is either. since the permanent claim comes after the mandatory RPMs for a registry does it even impact? I suppose it might if a brand owner decided not to buy a sunrise because they knew there would be permanent claims

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:@Susan, I agree. I don't personally see the value either but I'm trying to keep an open mind about why someone wants it here.

Susan Payne:no obj

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:no obj

Lillian Fosteris 2:no objection

David McAuley:question 6 is a good place to start as Mary suggests

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:We probably need to give Claudio a chance to see if he is ok with Q5.

Jon Nevett:Thanks all!

Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:Thanks all.

Steve Levy:Thanks all!

Susan Payne:thanks all

David McAuley:thanks Paul and staff, good bye

Amr Elsadr:Thanks all. Bye.