

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear All, Welcome to the Privacy and Proxy Services Accreditation IRT Meeting on Tuesday, 01 August 2017 at 14:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Meeting Agenda Wiki page:  
[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_community.icann.org\\_x\\_yQUhB&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw1l3mSVzgfkbpSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe5iHWG1BLwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=LkxHm7uqLASqI5oU85XIiM5oQdoIaboJ2km55W7t-Ig&s=DaREVMN3UjPIvpJ1pDuXicqIBJs7TGWwbpX5ixBJKUY&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_yQUhB&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw1l3mSVzgfkbpSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe5iHWG1BLwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=LkxHm7uqLASqI5oU85XIiM5oQdoIaboJ2km55W7t-Ig&s=DaREVMN3UjPIvpJ1pDuXicqIBJs7TGWwbpX5ixBJKUY&e=)

Alex Deacon:sounds like we need an EPP for p/p providers -> Registrar communications.

Vicky Sheckler:agree w/ metalitz

Theo Geurts:Sounds like a good idea Alex

Theo Geurts:But needs some exploring I guess? It mght shutout non affiliated providers

Alex Deacon:It shouldn't - assuming standard authN/authZ mechanisms and some kind of credential mechanism.

Theo Geurts:Agreed, but how should a lawyer deal with all this when they want to offer some privacy to their clients? Build a full EPP and Escrow Service?

Darcy Southwell:This seems in line with the PDP recommendations and what registrars do today.

Vicky Sheckler:if its line w/ what registrars do today, seems ok to keep

steve metalitz:support having officer info available

Theo Geurts:Agreed with Steve

Darcy Southwell:+1 Steve

Darcy Southwell:It aligns with the PDP.

steve metalitz:Agree the provision not strictly necessary here.

Darcy Southwell:Agree with Theo.

Eric Rokobauer:agree with Theo

Roger Carney:Agree with Theo

Sara Bockey:Agree with Theo

Volker Greimann:Theo+1

Volker Greimann:Theo+1

Volker Greimann:Remove all references to the registration of the domain

Darcy Southwell:Agree with Steve. The P/P provider is limited to suspending the services it provides to its customer.

Lisa Villeneuve:if I am understanding this proposal, customers will be allowed approximately 30 days before a domain name will be suspended. 15 for p/p and 15 for registrar.

steve metalitz:@Lisa that would be unfortunate and we should try to avoid a second bite at the apple. Especially for affiliated providers that seems unfair.

Sara Bockey:I have another question. Active hand

Amy Bivins:Ok, sorry just a sec!

Susan Kawaguchi:I agree that we should not add time to this process

Vicky Sheckler:sounds like we need to clarify more consisely that upon uncorrected false whois, we need an explicit obligation to cancel p/p service.

Griffin Barnett:Agree with Susan and Steve re timing

Vicky Sheckler:agree we need a floor and that p/p providers can chose to have quicker turn around times

steve metalitz:+1 Vicky. The RAA Spec language ends with "Registrar either terminate or suspend or place on Client Hold or and client Transfer Prohibited." The PPAA should contain a more specific obligation, not "be a basis for suspension or cancellation."

Theo Geurts:Good suggestion Steve

steve metalitz:Whois Accuracy Spec #5 to RAA.

steve metalitz:+1 Roger but still useful to have this language to ensure customer is on notice.

Griffin Barnett:Agree Darcy, a P/P provider itself cannot cancel anything, it would need to be the registrar....domain name would be locked during a UDRP by the registrar

Griffin Barnett:Perhaps all this means is that the P/P provider should provide notice to the customer of this cancellation lock issue?

Griffin Barnett:But again, that should already be included in the registration agreement

Griffin Barnett:Good point Steve, P/P provider could provide option of cancelling the registration instead of disclosing customer identity pursuant to UDRP complaint...could this work in practice, given cancellation lock provisions of UDRP rules?

Theo Geurts:That was ny point Griffin, thanks :)

Darcy Southwell:Prohibition of cancelation of a domain name during a UDRP is a registrar obligation I see no reason to include this language in the P/P accreditation agreement.

Roger Carney:I tend to agree with Darcy here

Theo Geurts:yes

Theo Geurts:oops

Mary Wong:@Griffin, I think Steve was talking about the Disclosure Framework process, where the Provider can refuse to Disclose a Customer's details in response to a Disclosure Request in the case where "the Customer has surrendered its domain name registration in lieu of disclosure, if the Provider offers its Customers this option"

Griffin Barnett:Thanks Mary, I certainly support that concept...i thought we were talking about in the context of a UDRP complaint being brought....slgihtly different scenario

Roger Carney:Thanks Everyone!  
Griffin Barnett:bye all, thanks  
Eric Rokobauer:thanks!