

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 2 – Legal/Regulatory Issues on Thursday, 07 September 2017 at 21:00 UTC

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki page: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_community.icann.org\\_x\\_LgEhB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QjF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB\\_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=-kgIkgUWKJ\\_wUTcKsAIQPsRkqAxoVNYil6Qx0YsUYKM&s=yS9Vv8\\_4IUnFjvUBcwEszhactRX3Nx57OR5\\_tvy\\_sbc&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_LgEhB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QjF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=-kgIkgUWKJ_wUTcKsAIQPsRkqAxoVNYil6Qx0YsUYKM&s=yS9Vv8_4IUnFjvUBcwEszhactRX3Nx57OR5_tvy_sbc&e=)

Michael Flemming:Are we expecting a small turnout because of Irma and Harvey?

Michael Flemming:Could I get slide control please?

Michael Flemming:Thx

Steve Chan:@Michael, slides are unsynced so everyone has control of their own slides

Jeff Neuman:I wish i had a small violin emoji for Adobe Connect

Jon Nevett:For the record, i object to 2 meetings per week

Jon Nevett:there are 5 subgroups and many of us participate on most, if not all of them -- thanks!

Steve Chan:We'll be starting on slide 20

Steve Chan>Note, you can review the ICANN org feedback on the Background Screening topic in the Program Implementation Review Report here: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.icann.org\\_en\\_system\\_files\\_files\\_program-2Dreview-2D29jan16-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QjF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB\\_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=-kgIkgUWKJ\\_wUTcKsAIQPsRkqAxoVNYil6Qx0YsUYKM&s=Sta6Zlljz2y9ViF78PkL\\_gOmkGrepjW7\\_GGeWAZ41Vg&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_en_system_files_files_program-2Dreview-2D29jan16-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QjF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=-kgIkgUWKJ_wUTcKsAIQPsRkqAxoVNYil6Qx0YsUYKM&s=Sta6Zlljz2y9ViF78PkL_gOmkGrepjW7_GGeWAZ41Vg&e=) on page 57

Greg Shatan:Sound went crazy for a while.

Julie Bisland:yes, seems Michael's audio got suddenly loud

Greg Shatan:Good

Julie Bisland:excellent

Kiran Malancharuvil:It's fine now

Julie Bisland:it does sound good now

Julie Bisland:thank you

Alan Greenberg:Sorry to be late.

Emily Barabas:Welcome Alan. We are on slide 24

Alan Greenberg:Where are we in the slide deck?

Alan Greenberg:Thanks!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):a point well made in my view Michael

Kiran Malancharuvil:Agree with Michael

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):then I am also from AP :-)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Very true Paul!

Jeff Neuman:@Paul - was that an issue of non-enforcement or poor language on affiliates?

Kiran Malancharuvil:Good point Paul. ICANN needs to be transparent about how they respond to these complaints and submissions.

Jeff Neuman:or both

Michael Flemming:I agree with that. Transparency but at the same time flexibility for dotBrands. (personal opinion)

Alexander Schubert:How about the opposite approach: and impose EXTRA coast on Fortune 500 Corps?

Paul McGrady:I'm for finding ways to lowering application fees for anyone we can - too much wheel spinning in this program.

Heather Forrest:To the extent that we can rely on public information (stock exchanges, court decisions, etc) that is most efficient and transparent

Michael Flemming:+1 Greg (personal opinion)

Heather Forrest:Good question Jim - ICANN is getting a legal opinion. We should see if that's going to be addressed

Kiran Malancharuvil:Re: GDPR, Consent with certainly play a role, as would public/legitimate interest.

Greg Shatan:TMI, Cheryl.

Kiran Malancharuvil:Agree with Heather to make sure that ICANN includes that question in the GDPR readiness project

Kiran Malancharuvil:That was Heather's suggestion, not mine. :) But I agree with her.

Heather Forrest:No problem!

Alexander Schubert:OBJECTION: The applicant for .chicago might turn that around and claim that ICANN would check them out - so the city doesn't have to do it.....

Jon Nevett:probably should add PDDRP loss or two

Greg Shatan:Yes, now that that's a thing.

Kiran Malancharuvil:PICDRP should probably be included in the evaluation. Although I tend to agree that it shouldn't be defacto.

Paul McGrady:The cybersquatting bar is useless if never enforced by ICANN.

Paul McGrady:Needs to be enforced in the next round.

Kiran Malancharuvil:+1 paul. I'm really disturbed to hear that evidence of cyberquatting was ignored.

Kiran Malancharuvil:I also think ICANN should be looking into that proactively and should not wait for submissions.

Michael Flemming:No, we love the feedback. Anyone is welcome.

Jon Nevett:not if a good faith dispute

Greg Shatan:Paul, don't you mean the anti-cybersquatting bar? :-)

Kiran Malancharuvil:Paul is correct. They should look at everything and evaluate whether it impacts their potential obligations as an RO. And the community should set what that means so it's not a subjective evaluation.

Paul McGrady:@Greg - yep. Upside down...

Greg Shatan:@Michael -- randomly, like drug tests?

Julie Bisland:The next New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 2 – Legal/Regulatory Issues will take place on Thursday, 14 September 2017 at 03:00 UTC for 60 minutes.

Kiran Malancharuvil:Thanks Michael.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye 🙋🙋🙋 the now

Alexander Schubert:Txn

Greg Shatan:Thank you!