Michelle DeSmyter:Dear All, Welcome to the Privacy and Proxy Services Accreditation IRT Meeting on Tuesday, 25 July 2017 at 14:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter: Meeting agenda wiki page:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A__community.icann.org_x_4X7wAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSV zgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe _5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=HhdA1rzuvG3rn0QU9RSiF7ewQg1v9CKCLKKgg1 UkY2w&s=1v0tE0b0zYBh4nhUWl1JvTsr-pzWv5kJ8ottKonrhxA&e=

Darcy Southwell:Totally agree with Volker on that point.

Sara Bockey: Agree with Volker

Volker Greimann: The solution envisioned by the PDP WG was that there would be no need for _any_ implementation for affiliated proxy service providers.

Darcy Southwell:+1 Volker

Sara Bockey: Exactly. Our processes should NOT change.

Volker Greimann: Registrars already have to escrow underlying registrant details with the escrow provider (BTW: When will the number of free providers finally be expanded?) as secondary data set. There is simply no need for any additional application

Volker Greimann:I actually do not remember that poll. if it was directly before the last ICANN meeting, it might have been overlooked by many

Sara Bockey: I don't recall a poll either.

Alicia Kaelin: Yes, please resend the poll

Sara Bockey: And it should be noted that polls are not votes. Polls are only to be used to get a feel, but does not override our discussions

Volker Greimann: I am generally worried by the use of IRTs to implement stuff that was not contemplated simply because it might be "helpful" or "anticipating future standards".

Volker Greimann: When a future standard comes along, we deal with it then

Sara Bockey: Agree with Volker. It's not helpful. and feels like overeach by staff.

Volker Greimann: Anything related to data may change when GDPR is more understood by ICANN

Theo Geurts: Ah okay, I thought this was providers suspending domain names....

Theo Geurts:but the UDRP is dealt with by the registrar not the provider

Theo Geurts:anyways we can discuss it

Darcy Southwell:Rather than a poll here today, I think distributing a detailed "issue" list and then working those issues is probably better (sorry if that was circulated in the last few days - I'm a little behind on emails).

steve metalitz: Some of these issues could be disposed of rather quickly, might want to start with those.

Darcy Southwell: Agree with Steve - tackle the smallest issues first.

Darcy Southwell:We don't need a poll to do this.

Lisa Villeneuve:Thank you.

Eric Rokobauer:thanks