```
Michelle DeSmyter:Dear All, Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent
Procedures WG call Monday, 24 July 2017 at 15:00 UTC
  Michelle DeSmyter: Meeting agenda wiki page:
https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A community.icann.org x SnHwAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSV
zgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe
5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=F3H fnoVB TmJLfQT9PYxnj42UXznKyQQHXR3I
6Pqf0&s=Vibx9iuKP0LnWXevZ4I4Iv41rgwsh4D1W3a5981tDdw&e=
  Kavouss Arasteh:Dear HOST
  Kavouss Arasteh: I was connected for few mints but now
disconnected
  VANDA SCARTEZINI:HI EVERYONE.! ..
  Kavouss Arasteh: May you advise to reconnect me again
  Kavouss Arasteh:TKS
 Michelle DeSmyter:Hi Kavouss - I will have the operator redial
  Kavouss Arasteh: Tks with apology in bothring
 Hadia Elminiawi:I can't hear a thing, has anyone started
speaking
  Jeff Neuman: We will start in 3 minutes
  Jeff Neuman:2 minutes
  Jeff Neuman: 1 minute
 Hadia Elminiawi:ok great thanks
  Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:Yes
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello All
 Katrin Ohlmer | DOTZON:Hi ALl
  Jeff Neuman: Just waiting for recording to start
  Annebeth Lange: Hi all!
  Rubens Kuhl: Recording is still paused.
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):info about CWG UCTN - is it relevant?
 Michelle DeSmyter:thank you Jeff :)
  Annebeth Lange:Dear all, As you will recall the final paper was
subbmitted to the ccNSO and GNSO Councils for deliberation and
decision-making prior to ICANN 59.At its meeting on 20 July, last
Thursday, the ccNSO Council discussed the recommendations in the
final paper and adopted the reoslutions (included below). The
resolutions will become effective 7 days after publication on the
ccNSO website, Kind regards, Bart
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Ok, I will talk when time comes
  Emily Barabas: WT1 is meeting tomorrow, Tuesday, at 20:00 UTC
 VANDA SCARTEZINI:RELEVANT POINT KAVOUSS DIFFICULT TO AVOID
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): I am not sure GNSO can influence GAC, due
to quite different level of power in the latter
 Karen Day:Correct: WT3 August 1 @ 15:00
  Kavouss Arasteh: I never said or terefferd to what you talking
```

about Maxim

Rubens Kuhl:Wt4

VANDA SCARTEZINI:@kavouss , my suggestion is focus on the rationale behind any complain, may be set some minimum poitn that shall be covered in any complain... just frist thoughts

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):@Kavouss, Thanks for the clarification.

Kavouss Arasteh:Secretariat, pls replaced \*ferred" by
"referred" as it was misspelled bye

Annebeth Lange: I have update frrom CWG UCTN when we come to agenda point 3. As I wrote in the chat, the final paper is now adopted by the ccNSO council. However, there might be of interest to report a little more on the resolutions

Rubens Kuhl:RSSAC

Rubens Kuhl:RSSAC, SSAC and OCTO

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):@Jeff, please transfer my number in the queue to Annabeth (a CWG UCTN co-Chair)

Rubens Kuhl:Office of the CTO, exactly

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):about the update on progress in CWG UCTN Kavouss Arasteh:Jeff, pls clarify that 1000 per year issue as I am not sure well explained whether that 1000 appliation is stems from one or how many sources/applicants and where that nomber comes from?

Rubens Kuhl: Kavouss, that number was made up by ICANN Org during an IETF meeting as unimaginably large number.

Rubens Kuhl: Since then, that number has been used as a parameter.

Kavouss Arasteh: 1000?

VANDA SCARTEZINI:i remember 1000/year will be safe

Katrin Ohlmer | DOTZON:Fact is, we do not have any reliable source about potential demand.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):if we need some historical

Kavouss Arasteh: 1000 application on what?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):ifo - we might try to reach someone who was in ICANN Office by then

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):but I am not sure it will be anything usefull

Kavouss Arasteh:pls kindly clarify the limit of 1000? is it the total numbers of application that could be made from all applicants?

Rubens Kuhl:1000 new gTLD delegations.

Emily Barabas: ICANN59 F2F session transcripts:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-

3A\_\_sched.co\_B49Q&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7x c14I5cM&r=8\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe\_5iHWGlBLwwwehF BfjrsjWv9&m=F3H\_fnoVB\_TmJLfQT9PYxnj42UXznKyQQHXR3I6PqfQ&s=AYBXDMh rexVAPls6QKAuKzxRQM6gAejz5ztpCTwelQg&e=

Rubens Kuhl:So it's the total number of strings that would be

added in a year to the root zone.

Kavouss Arasteh: delegation or application?

Rubens Kuhl:Delegation

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):delegations per year

Rubens Kuhl:Since more than one party can apply to the same string, a delegation results from one to many applications.

Kavouss Arasteh: That means, irrespective of number of application ,ICANN could only be able to delegate 1000 of those application?

Steve Chan: Link to the full group face-to-face, which includes the transcript: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A sched.co B49Q&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7x cl4I5cM&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe 5iHWGlBLwwwehF BfjrsjWv9&m=F3H\_fnoVB\_TmJLfQT9PYxnj42UXznKyQQHXR3I6PqfQ&s=AYBXDMh rexVAP1s6QKAuKzxRQM6gAejz5ztpCTwelQg&e=

Rubens Kuhl:ICANN could delegate much more, but committed to not go beyond 1000/year since everybody else said 1000/year to be safe.

Rubens Kuhl:Not that they were asked how much would be safe, but were asked if 1000/year would be safe, and all said yes.

Kavouss Arasteh: What is the justification for that limit be the maximum?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): I think it was just guess , without much of justification

Rubens Kuhl: There is none. This number was posed as an incredibly high optimistic view of the number of applied-for strings.

Steve Chan: Cross community geographic names sessions, session 1: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-

3A sched.co B3pX&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw1l3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7x cl4I5cM&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe 5iHWGlBLwwwehF BfjrsjWv9&m=F3H fnoVB TmJLfQT9PYxnj42UXznKyQQHXR3I6PqfQ&s=ZT3pcJf tillt3MLcAlwgQL1tP79B bigAnGc0YmAtY&e= and session 2:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-

3A sched.co B3pD&d=DwICaO&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7x cl4I5cM&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe 5iHWGlBLwwwehF BfjrsjWv9&m=F3H fnoVB TmJLfQT9PYxnj42UXznKyQQHXR3I6PqfQ&s=1nSeUNf wjvKp27MkGLEseeYtKFrR6W3pqXdKa4JhDQ&e=

Rubens Kuhl: At that time, the expectation was to 500 applications, so it would be less strings than that...

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):some details could be found in

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-

3A archives.republicans.energycommerce.house.gov Media file Hear ings Telecom 121411 Pritz.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgf kbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe 5i HWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=F3H fnoVB TmJLfQT9PYxnj42UXznKyQQHXR3I6Pq fQ&s=K-5f1yOn7jscPeQbXdmkTkd9mmndUfSWJHkpkVkFKwo&e= reference 41
Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):it has letter from RSSAC (2010) and 2010
Root zone scaling reports references

Anne Aikman-Scalese:sorry -did not hear the topic for Work Track 5 - what is it called? Geo names at Top Level?

Kavouss Arasteh: Suppose 3000 application came in and 2500 of them successfully examined and terminate the process but ICANN should not delegate more than 1000 of that why? how that 1000 are selected among the e 2500 which successfully applied the provcess in the example that I gave

Rubens Kuhl: The only hard limit from that time, which is probably invalid nowadays but was once valid, was the 100,000 total TLD delegation limit.

Annebeth Lange: Anne, yes

Karen Day: I have to drop now. good day all.

Annebeth Lange:Yes

Rubens Kuhl: Kavouss, if that happened, 1000 would be delegated one year, 1000 the next year, 500 the last year.

Rubens Kuhl: The sequence would be up for implementation.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Do we know how WS5 is going to interact with ccNSO? (on matters of GEO names on top level)

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):as far as I know, last few yeas it was GAC and ccNSO separate efforts, mostly not synced

Steve Chan: The ccNSO's resolutions were in regards to the Final Paper of the CWG on the Use of Country and Territory Names, available here: <a href="https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\_ccnso.icann.org\_workinggroups\_ccwg-2Dctn-2Dfinal-2Dpaper-2D15jun17-">https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\_ccnso.icann.org\_workinggroups\_ccwg-2Dctn-2Dfinal-2Dpaper-2D15jun17-</a>

2Den.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM&r =8\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe\_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9 &m=F3H\_fnoVB\_TmJLfQT9PYxnj42UXznKyQQHXR3I6PqfQ&s=EA91bwKU7-30pewQi1Vr60CQa2Z9gIml299ziCnaIgs&e=

Robin Gross:Will the WT5 be limited to only "geographic" words or will other types of words like cultural, religious, and sensitive words try to fall under the "geographic" concept in WT5?

Kavouss Arasteh:Jeff Pls allow me to take follow up comments on the first question

Kavouss Arasteh:Robin, Geographic " word should be limited to "Geographic"

Annebeth Lange:Kavouss, I think the plan is to have a co-chair from AC/SOs - and as many participants as possible to share their competence

Greg Shatan: The "one from each" applies only to leadership of WT5, not to participation.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: @ Annebeth yes, there is no limit to

participation.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):could we say - that co-chair seats are reserved for the representatives of the SO/AC?

Rubens Kuhl: Except having an SOI, which is the only requirement for every GNSO PDP.

Annebeth Lange: And of course, what we hope, is that as many as possible also from GAC, ALAC and ccNSO will participate

VANDA SCARTEZINI: for sure Annebeth, I am the one that intend to participate as I am following this discussion since the beginning many years ago, though only now it really came out as really relevant..

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):indeed including some of us not just from the previous efforts though some of us will of course be there...

Annebeth Lange:@ Vanda, that makes two of us. I have lived wtth Country & Territory names since our time together!

VANDA SCARTEZINI:@annebeth , lets not remember so many years....

Emily Barabas: It will appear here, but it looks like it has not yet been posted:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A\_\_ccnso.icann.org\_about\_council\_decisions-

2Dresolutions\_2017&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM&r=8\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe\_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=F3H\_fnoVB\_TmJLfQT9PYxnj42UXznKyQQHXR3I6PqfQ&s=0VrAstgj2c9SOn9RM2C0qSHLJD7Vic7IVf0QMw0fMY&e=

Kavouss Arasteh: What is Cross Community Consultation Group?? Steve Chan: @Jeff, that's a link to the Final Report.

Steve Chan: The resolutions are NOT posted yet, but will be available here when they are posted:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A ccnso.icann.org about council decisions-

<u>2Dresolutions\_2017&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM&r=8\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe\_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=F3H\_fnoVB\_TmJLfQT9PYxnj42UXznKyQQHXR3I6PqfQ&s=0VrAstgj2c9SOn9RM2C0qSHLJD7V\_ic7IVf0QMw0fMY&e=</u>

Annebeth Lange:Kavouss: the ccNSO Council - The council of the ccTLD SO

Kavouss Arasteh: who and how and by who that group was created? Heather Forrest: Correct, Jeff. For reference, next GNSO Council meeting is late August.

Rubens Kuhl: I have to drop at this time.

Annebeth Lange: Kavouss: Se ccnso.icann.org

Rubens Kuhl:Bye...

Annebeth Lange: Sorry, I have to leave. Is there any more questions for me?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye Annabeth

Emily Barabas:@Annebeth -- if any additional questions are raised for you, staff will relay them

Emily Barabas: thanks for joining

Annebeth Lange: Great, Emily. Bye, all, I will now continue my holidayl;-)

Emily Barabas: and speaking to the resolution

Hadia Elminiawi:bye annabeth

Kavouss Arasteh: There should be no priority for one agaist other

VANDA SCARTEZINI: have a nice holiday Annebeth!

Gg Levine (NABP): How do you define a gap?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):it would be a desirable thing to explore I guess but I haven't thought deeply on that Jeff

Anne Aikman-Scalese:i had the same question as Jamie

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): Have to run for another meeting. I'll be on vaction from July 30-August 14 so give my apologies in advance for all WG and WT calls I'll miss.

Phil Buckingham: good points, Anne . A global accountancy firm (s) can scale up based on cost per financial evaluation

Trang Nguyen: The application volume topic has a broad impact, certainly on ICANN org, but also on the community. For example, the GAC Early Warning process, the application comment period (which allows the broad community to review applications and provide comments), the objection process.

Katrin Ohlmer | DOTZON:Why don't we use the application volume from the last round as a test for the different models?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:sorry - came off mute by accident. thanks to staff for warning me

VANDA SCARTEZINI:yes, my first thoughts would be to reduce number of applications per year. lets say 300 maximum per year. depends on resources, cost + persons ...

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): I think that it might be better to use best month's volume (most probably will be over 1000 per year)

Steve Chan:@Jeff, time check

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):bye all

Martin Sutton: I have to drop off the call. Thanks all.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:I think it makes sense to look at how many applications we were able to process in each calendar year since 2012

Steve Chan:Don't believe so

VANDA SCARTEZINI: thanks to all!

Katrin Ohlmer | DOTZON: Thanks all.

Hadia Elminiawi:Thank you all

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye for now then...

Robin Gross: Thanks, Jeff and all. Bye!

Hadia Elminiawi:bye Anne Aikman-Scalese:Thanks jeff et al