

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the CCWG New gTLD Auction Proceeds call on Thursday 05 October 2017 at 14:00 UTC.

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_bWzwAw&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=B67qiWYPNGqUjy_sBhNKoD0qfKxAzSNpH9KfHnIGLVo&s=C2ppu-TJZKckMPgQUDCZSQ-iA-OCTjSXadCemdLjc&e=

Olga Cavalli:Hello all, good morning from Buenos Aires!

Ching Chiao:Good morning Olga. Good to have you

Julf Helsingius:Good <timeofday>

Marc Gauw:Hello from stormy Amsterdam

Manal Ismail:Hello everyone :) !!

Ching Chiao:Hello Julf, Mac

Alberto Soto:Hello everyone !! from Buenos Aires

Joke Braeken:We will do so Ching

Nadira AL-Araj:Happy day to all

Sylvia Cadena:Hi everyone from Brisbane :)

Vanda Scartezini:hi everyone - in a very noise environment ..sorry. will be mute

enoss:[apologies for arriving late]

Mary Uduma:Hi Everyone

Wale Bakare:Hi all

Sylvia Cadena:@Julie @Marika can you please organize to call Carolina Caeiro? She is trying to connect but she says Adobe doesn't let her in

enoss:and hello from sunny toronto. beautiful fall day

Marika Konings:@Sylvia - if you or she can provide us with her number we can dial out to her.

Sylvia Cadena:Just send it to Julie

Sylvia Cadena:Thanks Marika

Marika Konings:Great, thanks Sylvia

Wale Bakare:Please, can Staff send code for this call, for dial out participants

Vanda Scartezini:Elliot - spring time here and as always sunny day

Marika Konings:changing browsers may sometimes help with getting into Adobe.

Marika Konings:@Wale - participant code is AUCTION

jian Zhang:gt in

Wale Bakare:Thanks, Marika

jian Zhang:got in, but the voice is quite unstable

Daniel Dardailier:sorry to be late, connection pbs on my side

Marika Konings:as a reminder, call details as well as adobe connect room for every meeting are circulated prior to the meeting (plus several reminders) by the GNSO Secretariat (Julie Bisland, Terri Agnew or Nathalie Peregrine) to the ntfy-ccwg-auctionproceeds@icann.org mailing list.

Marika Konings:also, the adobe connect room link is always the same, so you may also want to save it separately so you always have it handy at the start of a meeting :-)

Stephanie Perrin:I apologize for being late.

Carolina Caeiro:Hi all, I was able to connect via Adobe Connect so I hang up the phone. Many thanks for all the assistance

Julie Bisland:you're welcome, Carolina!

Manal Ismail:Many thanks for slide 5 .. extremely useful and informative ..

enoss:as noted on email, I think a temperature of the room wrt who wants 1/2/3/4 would be useful. maybe others are not decided on that so it is better to do that after this presentation

Ching Chiao:@enoss -- we will talk about that later , a 2nd survey on charter question 7

Marilyn Cade:Sam, while I appreciate the exploration of the fourth column, I do not support it. this avoids the accountability to ICANN which I think we all consider a baseline. Creating an independent Foundation, is this 3? A foundation that is independent, without ICANN doing much, only assessing affiliation with its mission?

Vanda Scartezini:+ 1 Marilyn

Sylvia Cadena:Agree with Marilyn. Better to focus on the first 3 columns. The 4th one is just not going to work

Marilyn Cade:Thanks Elliott, but I am not sure what you mean by "temperature of the room". We both know that many in a room might vote one way, and then, when informed, say: wait, didn't understand we were creating a legal liability for ICANN. so we want to not do that.

Stephen Deerhake (.as):+1 Marilyn

Alan Greenberg:My understanding was that Col 4 is there simply to make it clear that it is NOT an option (since no way to audit).

Hadia Elminiawi:+ 1 Marilyn, though I prefer focusing on the first two

Sylvia Cadena:@Sam when you say ICANN resources to support those 4 lines, you mean a portion of the auction proceeds, or do you mean that ICANN is committed to devote resources for those key elements?

Vanda Scartezini:good question Sylvia

Sylvia Cadena:Or do you mean a portion of the auction proceeds?

Nadira AL-Araj:Notice the column 3. vs. column 4. which makes column 4 is not an option

Alan Greenberg:I think we have already decided that all "costs" associated with funds will be born by the funds and not ICANN operational budgets.

Marilyn Cade:I spend a lot of time exploring a foundation for single letters for com and .net. I really want us to explore an independent and accountable foundation.

Sylvia Cadena:That was my understanding Alan, but I am not clear because Sam is saying ICANN (not the auction funds)

Manal Ismail:I also believe that column 4 is not an option but understand that this is theoretically speaking .. i.e. just a listing of all possible (not necessarily practical) options ..

Alan Greenberg:+1 Manal.

Vanda Scartezini:yeah no reason to have column 4

Marc Gauw:Watch out: "Oversight" in option 2 or 3 should not mean "Service Contract". That would bring many 501c-look-a-like (charity-)organisations in trouble and as such become disqualified to take a role in this process

Wale Bakare:I would render sub-column, the External Resources of Col 1 non-existing

Vanda Scartezini:thanks for raise this MArc

Daniel Dardailler:I think there is a timing issue that needs to be considered in each valid scenario (first 3). The "allowed" scope of funding being likely to evolve, having intermediaries will lead to delays in reacting to these changes and bring more fiduciary risks for ICANN

enoss:@marilyn I was acknowledging in my comment that people may want to hear the presentation before having a view. I took your comment to simply reinforce that.. does that make sense?

Daniel Dardailler:e.g. an fund recipient has signed a 3 year contract with the intermediary funding agency to work on some scope, and a year after, ICANN declare this scope is now out, but there is this contract going on..

Sylvia Cadena:Thanks Xavier, clear on the purpose of column 4 on the slide and also that when you say ICANN you actually mean the Auction Fund (to cover costs).

Ching Chiao:+1 Sylvia

Julie Bisland:Reminder: please mute your line if not speaking

Hadia Elminiawi:yes Sylvia

Alan Greenberg:So in the case where the auction funds are transferred to another body, either some would be retained by ICANN to cover costs, or a charge would be levied by ICANN to the body holding the funds.

Daniel Dardailler:(I rejoined by phone, the voip was too bad)

Jonathan Robinson:@Sylvia. Good point. Monitoring & Review.

Kavouss Arasteh:I DISAGREE with the conduct of the meeting. I was the second on queue after Alan I Was pushed to fifth WHY?

Jonathan Robinson:Ongoing monitoring & review of funded projects. Outcomes.

Sylvia Cadena:Sorry Kavouss, I think your hand was dropped. My apologies.

Sylvia Cadena:I was not paying attention to who was before.

Marika Konings:@Kavouss - there is no ability for us to change the order of speakers. It is in the order in which hands are raised. Maybe your hand got inadvertently dropped and as a result you got added to the back of the queue?

Mary Uduma:+1@Alan

Kavouss Arasteh:Who manage the adobe?

Kavouss Arasteh:Why a type of discrimination was made pushing me out from the Queue and

Marilyn Cade:I will have to drop off in 15 minutes to move to a different call. I saw Kavouss asking before I did. Marika, perhaps you might want to just check on who can stay around. I cannot due to another commitment, but if I cannot speak, I will post my comments.

Marilyn Cade:Kavouss, my experience is that the technology is not perfected. :-)

Marika Konings:@Kavouss, as explained, there is no way for staff or anyone else to change the order of hands raised. Your hand may have dropped and as a result added in the back of the queue.

Marilyn Cade:Maybe we can move you up in the discussion.

Sylvia Cadena:Thanks Xavier. It is not clear on the slide. It will be good to clarify that so the table does not refer only to the disbursement processes.

Marilyn Cade:After all, to ICANN, we do not yet have a government speaker, and you might be our first in this discussion.

Mary Uduma:since ICANN had incurred some costs from the beginning of the Auction process, it goes without saying that some part of the proceeds would be retained by ICANN to recover all costs.

Stephanie Perrin:By all means, Kavouss can go ahead of me

Stephen Deerhake (.as):Marilyn, I'm not ready to publicly comment on this from a ccTLD perspective...

Mary Uduma:Whichever options that would be adopted. This is in support of Alan's last post.

Stephanie Perrin:absolutely

Sylvia Cadena:Your hand was dropped off.

Marilyn Cade:I think that perhaps my view that we should seriously examine a separate foundation with accountability to key criteria established that meets the requirements of ICANN... as we establish it

Daniel Dardailler:(has this situation ever occurred? if not, I'd just move on, rather than try to rationalize, it was a bug)

Marilyn Cade:I stepped aside not to speak, to make sure that those not yet heard could speak, Kavouss, as I hoped to listen to you. I was not taking a slot, as I wanted to hear from any government or any ccTLD as these views have not been heard.

Marika Konings:@Daniel - yes, it sometimes happens when a person's connectivity drops.

Mary Uduma:Kavouss, kindly accept the apology and still take the

Mary Uduma:floor

Marilyn Cade:I hope to hear from all, and with apologies, I will have to drop off in 7 minutes. I have posted my suggestion that I prefer an independent foundation, with requirements provided for

adherence to the ICANN mission and core values. I will be advancing that in a discussion within the BC, to see if we are supporting any of the options.

Wale Bakare:The problem is probably caused by Adobe Connect and perhaps connectivity

Manal Ismail:+1 Stephanie on the difference between columns 2 & 3 ..

Marc Gauw:Stephanie: please do not talk about 'agencies' or 'contracts'... this disqualifies the charity-organisations from joining this process

Ching Chiao:+1 Stephanie

Sylvia Cadena:+1 Stephanie

Marilyn Cade:So many appreciations for the ICANN staff presentation and for the great work of this CCWG-Auctions Proceeds.

Sylvia Cadena:It doesn't Marc. There might be intermediaries, there might be different types of mechanisms (contracts, grant agreements, MGCs, etc)

Nadira AL-Araj:To my understanding column 2 will need a MOU to enable the Hybrid while column 3. it will need a full contract with ICANN

Marc Gauw:@Sylvia: tax-exempted organisations have to be careful with the wording ...

Stephanie Perrin:Thanks so much Xavier, great explanation

Samantha Eisner:@Nadira, there's really not enough information available at this time to identify what arrangements/agreements would have to be in place between ICANN and an external distributor of funds

Hadia Elminiawi:Thanks Xavier - very clear

Mary Uduma:From, .ngccTLD, we

Hadia Elminiawi:kavouss i think there is a problem with your connection

Marika Konings:It is likely linked to your connectivity.

Daniel Dardailier:I'm raising my hand to check adobe and to ask a question ;)

Mary Uduma:Chair, please allow Kavouss to speak, please.

Stephanie Perrin:I have very low bandwidth where I live, and it seems to be the nature of Adobe that when there is a microdrop (one that does not show up enough to show a lost connection flag) my hand will drop off.

Nadira AL-Araj:@Samantha, agree but I was trying to add the agreement aspect.

Ching Chiao:Yes, Kavouss is the next

Sylvia Cadena:+1 Alan.

Ching Chiao:I feel sorry too that Adobe seems to have issue like this

Stephanie Perrin:So I sympathize with Kavouss, and suggest that he comment in the chat if possible (eg. I am after Alan) because there is no notice of the sudden disappearance

Marilyn Cade:can we hear from Kavouss and others from governments? They have not yet been heard, and technology has defeated us. Ching, you can, as chair, move something up.

Sylvia Cadena:Marilyn, he is next. Xavier is answering Alan.

Wale Bakare:it is likely the Adobe Connect since one or two features not appearing for users

Sylvia Cadena:Ching has already said Kavouss turn is after Alan.

Ching Chiao:i m juggling adobe windows here so bare with me ...

Ching Chiao:yes Kavouss is next

Mary Uduma:Stephanie, please allow Kavouss to speak. His hand was one of the first I saw as soon as Xavier finished his presentation.

Sylvia Cadena:I think that is an old hand Stephanie, isn't it?

Stephanie Perrin:That was an old hand Mary, sorry

Daniel Dardailier:(let's use a local blockchain to solve the adobe queue bugs: "I agree that Kavouss why ahead of me")

Alan Greenberg:Based on my experieince, if we do not allow and EXPECT some rate of failure and not meeting expectations, we will not be using funds well. To be effective overall, we MUST take chances. But that is not related to a project being approved out of scope.

Sylvia Cadena:+1 Alan

Sylvia Cadena:Can we please focus on the topics?

Sylvia Cadena:I am sorry but it is 1:00 am for me here

Sylvia Cadena:There are technical issues for everyone of us, and we all want to hear from you.

Sylvia Cadena:Agree Kavouss. No double dipping we called in Australia.

Wale Bakare:That's one of the expectations attributed to wireless service - reliability

Marc Gauw:@Peter: +1

Kavouss Arasteh: Are we discussing which senario we opt?

Julf Helsingius:volume is very low

Stephanie Perrin:I certainly think that option 3 will inevitably be significantly more expensive than option 2, even with nothing going wrong.

Hadia Elminiawi:+1 Peter knowing the costs associated is necessary

Alan Greenberg:@Kavouss, some people are voicing opinions but we are not formally talking about the choice yet.

Nadira AL-Araj:@Sylvia, regarding the double dipping, if ICANN employes someone to the purpose of this project, then that will eleminate the double dipping.

Manal Ismail:Daniel's voice is very faint ..

Kavouss Arasteh:If we discuss which option is to be opted I am in favour of senario 2 and I will explain later on why

Sylvia Cadena:Sure @Nadira, but it needs to be clarified. There are universities -for example- that allow something called "salary topping" depending on the funding they raise for university research so they earn more if they have more projects

Sylvia Cadena:So it is better to word it clearly

Daniel Dardailler:Alan: not only we can find out of scope issue after the fact, but in some cases, we can't stop them

Nadira AL-Araj:+1 Sylvia

Kavouss Arasteh:Senario 4 to be excluded for a clear reason that outsourcing without oversighting is defficient

Marc Gauw:So "Would outsourcing increase the risk of affecting ICANN's tax status if things go bad?" Not necessarily..

Sylvia Cadena:There is nothing that supports the idea that outsourcing will be more expensive. No evidence or quotes to have that for certain.

Marc Gauw:+1

Kavouss Arasteh:Senario 1 is to reply to ICANN which is not prudent then I opt for hybrid or Seraio 2 enoss:I am hoping there is more discussion about these options as I am a strong proponent of 1 and, just given the nature of the information presented, that was not discussed here

Daniel Dardailler:Sylvia, I can think of some costs (like training staff to be ICANN missionsavvy) that would be more effectively done within ICANN

Sylvia Cadena:A lot of donors like WB, ADB, JICA and many Foundations outsource parts of their processes because it is cheaper for them rather than doing it directly

Nadira AL-Araj:Outsourcing with an experienced organization usually less cost on the long run and even more transparent.

Sylvia Cadena:+1 Nadira

Marc Gauw:@Samantha: That is no problem ! That is covered in the grant agreements !

Sylvia Cadena:Sure @Daniel, but I dont think we can "decide" on a single type or mechanism/arrangement

Sylvia Cadena:+1 Marc

Marc Gauw:If the grant taking party spends the money out of scope, then they simply should be forced to wire the money back

Wale Bakare:The option this group would prefer IMHO need to be properly discussed, for decision to be taken by this group.

Sylvia Cadena:No grant agreements can be changed retroactively.

Sylvia Cadena:That will be terrible bad practice

enoss:we do not need to CHANGE mission, we just need to be "consistent" with mission

enoss:those things are very different and we should not think of that as being too steep a hill

Stephanie Perrin:To reiterate Elliot's point, the mere fact that the xs show up as no seems to indicate a lack of monitoring and oversight, which is not the case. We already have plenty of mechanisms in the MS model to achieve oversight.

Wale Bakare:Let this sub-group have this page (Resource Impact)posted on the mailing list for discussions/comments

enoss:I must leave. thanks all

Wale Bakare:then we can decide which options - 1, 2 or 3

Daniel Dardailler:I wasn't talking about a change in the ICANN mission but a change in the interpretation (by ICANN) of what is inline, or in service, or whatever, with the mission

Daniel Dardailler:(what Xavier is explaining if business as usual for grants: using timesheet, analytical accounting, etc)

Marilyn Cade:WITH APOLOGIES, I AM NOW RETURNED.

Stephanie Perrin:great, just in time Marilyn!

Daniel Dardailler:Are there other criteria to consider that are less quantifiable ? e.g. the willingness of the ICANN community at large to take over another "role"with more staff vs. keep ICANN as small as possible ?

Sylvia Cadena:Yes @Daniel no need to over complicate things

Daniel Dardailler:from the outset, the first choice seems like the one best positioned to control the fiduciary responsibility

Marc Gauw:I can indicate with the current info

Nadira AL-Araj:Yes, Marika, there could be the combination of the three options based on certain proposed budget

Sylvia Cadena:Please, add your comments to the examples doc. It is quite useful exercise

Wale Bakare:Would this scenario work - ICANN and the community (of course, it is subject to individual's expertise as relate to project similar to the Auction Proceeds) work together?

Xavier Calvez:To be clear, we also have not yet described any model. The scenarios we have described have only been created for the purpose of answering question #7, and a much more comprehensive description of each model to be able to elect a preference.

Stephanie Perrin:We are unable to figure out the ball park for costs until we have a better idea of scope and size, in my view.

Marc Gauw:@Kavouss: it is just a 'indication-check'

Marika Konings>Note that development of models is part of the next phase of the CCWG's work.

Sylvia Cadena:+1 Kavouss. Important to note that the outsourcing costs will be very different depending on the region, the tasks, language support, etc

Daniel Dardailler 2:bye bye, I have to run

Alan Greenberg:Have to go.

Kavouss Arasteh:have to go

Daniel Dardailler 2:(I'll stay on the phone til I start driving ;)
Stephanie Perrin:We could ascertain rates of outsourced contracts for similar activities (eg same ample size of audit checks, same level of costs)
Julie Bisland:Reminder: The next CCWG New gTLD Auction Proceeds call will be held on Thursday 19 October 2017 at 14:00 UTC
Nadira AL-Araj:Thanks Ching Chiao and all
Sylvia Cadena:I think the survey needs a bit of work to have questions that really shows opinions or preferences
Kavouss Arasteh:ranking from accountability point of view only
Kavouss Arasteh:Further discussion before a new survey
Kavouss Arasteh:No survey at this point of time
Manal Ismail:+1 Kavouss further discussion on the mailing list would be useful ..
Carolina Caeiro:Thanks all
Sylvia Cadena:Thanks Ching for chairing the meeting.
Vanda Scartzini:agree with Sylvia . we can join some effort to formulate some points
Carolina Caeiro:I have to jump off
Sylvia Cadena:Appreciate your patience and kindness. And also the support from ICANN staff
Hadia Elminiawi:Thank you all bye
Julf Helsingius:Thanks everybody!
Becky Burr:bye all
Xavier Calvez:Thank you
Marc Gauw:Bye bye
Manal Ismail:Thanks Ching, Xavier, Sam, Marika and everyone .. Bye ..
Sylvia Cadena:Bye everyone
Ching Chiao:thank you Xavier and Sam
Ching Chiao:thanks all
Peter Vergote (ccNSO):Bye all
Joke Braeken:thank you all. bye
Mary Uduma:Bye All.