

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the CCWG New gTLD Auction Proceeds call on Thursday 07 September 2017 at 14:00 UTC.

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_aWzwAw&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=Qif-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=WD3ZztuaGe1obm4RkhKqBq9OF1eCw5hZ3pJCS4omsGs&s=yUpwMWBaABIMn7jHrUCw_X6YH6ShFCu7ZiSYgG-slhs&e=

Erika Mann:Hi everyone

Sylvia Cadena:Hi everyone :)

Marc Gauw>Hello from Amsterdam...

Manal Ismail>Hello everyone ..

Dietmar Stefitz>Hello from Valencia-Spain to all of you

Wale Bakare>Hello everyone, good morning/afternoon/evening

Alberto Soto>Hello from Buenos Aires

Hadia Elminiawi>Hello from Cairo

Ching Chiao:+1 Erika / Tony

Peter Vergote (ccNSO):Hi all, sorry for being late. Login issues, seems there is an update of Adobe connect software...

Sylvia Cadena:Agree with Alan. This makes a lot more sense.

Manal Ismail:+1 Alan ..

Sylvia Cadena:Also agree on merging # 3 with #1

Marc Gauw:+1

Ching Chiao:support

Nadira AL-Araj:+1 to Alan

Marika Konings:The first bullet would read in that case: "Benefit the development, distribution, evolution and structures that support the Internet's unique identifier systems"

Maureen Hilyard:It does seem a little repetitive..

Hadia Elminiawi:+ Alan

Manal Ismail:+1 Marika

Wale Bakare:I seem not have full grasp of what Alan said, sorry

Sylvia Cadena:Something like this Alan? "Benefit the development, distribution and evolution of the Internet's unique identifier systems, through structures or projects"

Sylvia Cadena:Marika could you type yours?

Marika Konings:Benefit the development, distribution, evolution and structures that support the Internet's unique identifier systems

Tony Harris 2:marikas version sounds good

Sylvia Cadena:I like the one that Marika just phrased.

Stephanie Perrin:I think Marika's formulation works well.

Maureen Hilyard:Do we mention the projects, because they are important too

Alan Greenberg:Benefit the development, distribution, evolution and support structures/projects of the Internet's unique identifier systems

Sylvia Cadena:Thanks Marika

Sylvia Cadena:Thanks Alan

Maureen Hilyard:Thank you Erika

Marika Konings:you should be able to scroll up and down

Marc Gauw:Great 1-pager, compliments, thanks !... (although I would have preferred to have the word 'secure' written in BOLD...)

Sylvia Cadena:Thanks Erika. Good to see progress for the preamble. I agree with most of it. I think the last paragraph needs a bit of work, but I like what you have done with our contributions.

Marilyn Cade:I have a point to make about the use of the term of Open Internet. I think it is too identified with Net Neutrality. How about Open and Interoperable Internet? or even 'open interoperable Internet"

Marika Konings:we will make the google doc link available after the call so you can all add your comments/edits

Sylvia Cadena:+1 Alan :)

Marilyn Cade:Just to clarify that I did not object to IXPs, but to funding large infrastructure projects like under sea cables. :-)

Tony Harris 2:Good point, Alan

Asha Hemrajani:Hello everyone, sorry to be late. Had a small crisis to deal with and then challenges with Adobe.

judith hellerstein:@erika, can you also add some wording that stresses the need for open standards so that the internet remains accessible to all. I am talking specifically to the disability and specific needs community

Asha Hemrajani:Please could I get a dialout

Marika Konings:@Ashi - Julie will work with you on a dial-out. If you can send her a private message with your phone number?

Marilyn Cade:While I respect that this group is focused on gTLDs, we should all recognize that the ccTLDs are very important contributors to increasing access. Perhaps this could become a neutral statement about the importance of an online presence and providing information about all kinds of TLDs

Maureen Hilyard:I agree Marilyn. cctlds in developing and underserved countries also need development support. The statement needs to be inclusive of all tlds.

Nadira AL-Araj: Those examples, could be could be included in most frequently asked questions.

Hadia Elminiawi:however we do need to be in a way or another specific being too broad does not help the evaluators either

Maureen Hilyard:Any funds should benefit the full ICANN community. It is very important to the stability of the Internet, as the ccTLDs build infrastructure at the national level

Sylvia Cadena:Yes, that is why I am saying not to include the examples on a preamble. The preamble helps with a framework. But having the examples listed together so none of us missed the importance of the examples already provided on previous surveys

Samantha Eisner:@Erika, yes. We haven't discussed internally, but I can give some of my impressions on it

Erika Mann:Thanj you Sam!

Nadira AL-Araj:It is also possible to attach an elaboration document on the "philosophical" point of view of the CCWG-AP point of view to the open Internet

Daniel Dardailler:+1 on using "network of networks"

judith hellerstein:+interoperable and standards based. as this works to ensure accessibility for people with disabilities

Daniel Dardailler:no strong opinion on Open vs open and interoperability Internet

Daniel Dardailler:s/interoperabilty/interoperable

Maureen Hilyard:Thank you Marilyn

Marc Gauw:Do we agree ?

Arsene Tungali:I would love to read Marilyn's comment in a document. Thanks for sharing your thoughts

judith hellerstein:do we have a link to the google doc?

Daniel Dardailler:I agree that our description removes all ambiguity wrt NN
Nadira AL-Araj:@Judith after the meeting we will have the link
Joke Braeken:correct Nadira. Following the call, we will make the google doc link available to allow for further edits / comments.

Marilyn Cade:Why would this group want to change the definition of network of networks? Are we "newbies"? That is sort of a joke.

Erika Mann:Judith - yes, Marika send it but we can send it again

judith hellerstein:thanks all

Marilyn Cade:Thanks.

Daniel Dardailler:FYI, the Internet technical reaction to DOI is: why is this needed since we have URLs ? so I doubt ICANN should fund it..

Wale Bakare:Internet itself is a network of international networks, but as Open Internet is about inter-operability and standard of internet.

Sylvia Cadena:Just add what you think it is missing when the google doc is shared

Sylvia Cadena:sound is breaking up :(

Nadira AL-Araj:Hi Asha

Wale Bakare:There are components of the standards which would include transport and application layers protocols

Marc Gauw:@Samantha: If the auction-funds are awarded in one-time portion(s), and awards may face the risk to be perceived to have a 'wider scope' than strictly 'Icanns mission', then in Holland you can ask the tax-authorities for an 'upfront tax-ruling'.... I guess it is the same in the USA.

Erika Mann:Can't you hear me?

Maureen Hilyard:No audio from Erika

Xavier Calvez 2:WE can hear you Sam

Erika Mann:I hear you Sam

Sylvia Cadena:I can't hear Erika, I can't hear Erika though

judith hellerstein:I can hear erika now

Nadira AL-Araj:Maybe it is not clear that the definition of this term is needed in the context of ICANN

Marc Gauw:@Samantha, could you answer my question ?

Marc Gauw:@Samantha: If the auction-funds are awarded in one-time portion(s), and awards may face the risk to be perceived to have a 'wider scope' than strictly 'Icanns mission', then in Holland you can ask the tax-authorities for an 'upfront tax-ruling'.... I guess it is the same in the USA.

Samantha Eisner:@Marc, there are some proactive processes available through the IRS though they may not be binding opinions.

Samantha Eisner:HOWEVER

Julie Bisland:mark, please let me know if you'd like a dialout for audio

Sylvia Cadena:If the idea is to change open for another term that is inclusive and open -no pun intended- we need some help with the creative terminology. It is quite a challenge to beat what the word open means

Daniel Dardailler:In case my mike doesn't work: my comment to follow-up on the infrastructure-in-scope question is that the funds shouldn't go to technology that are completely independent of ICANN, such as 5G, wifi, dsl, etc., since this funding could be used against ICANN in the end, to create e.g. another logical addressing system, names and numbers.

Maureen Hilyard:@Sylvia, perhaps inclusive and accessible?

Marc Gauw:OK, thanks

Hadia Elminiawi:We could say "From the aforementioned broad description and for the purpose of the work of this ccWG Open Internet will be defined (or understood) as...."

Stephanie Perrin:fading out

Julie Bisland:@Daniel: line is fading in and out.
judith hellerstein:@maureen. yes I like that term
Maureen Hilyard:@Judith, if it is deemed not appropriate to use "Open"
Wale Bakare:It is a bit complicated to strike a balance as majority seems to favour infrastructure-based against the ICANN's mission and core businesses. I can see IXP is a infrastructure.
Hadia Elminiawi:In this case we shall not be offering a definition for the open internet but rather saying that this is the definition that we shall be using
Wale Bakare:IMHO, we should just stick to open internet definition that embodies Application and Transport layers
Julf Helsingius:Do we really want to go into the layers issue?
Samantha Eisner:@Sylvia, my comment is not dependent on who manages the distribution of the funds
Wale Bakare:@Julf, I would think so because of ICANN mission and core businesses
Samantha Eisner:there is no change in ICANN responsibility to assure adherence to mission regardless of who manages the distribution of the funds
Julf Helsingius:@Wale either it should be "open Internet", or "numbers and names"
Wale Bakare:ICANN would not want to fund infrastructure projects
Julf Helsingius:ICANN could want to fund infrastructure that supports, let's say, a wider net of root servers
Wale Bakare:Thanks, @Julf. Please, are there examples of "wider net of root servers"?
Wale Bakare:I mean different to DNS systems?
Sylvia Cadena:That will be great to have Samantha. I have not seen that presentation.
Sylvia Cadena:Your voice was breaking up so I am not sure if I understood correctly.
Marika Konings:@Sylvia - please also see agenda item 5. This is an open action item.
Julf Helsingius:@Wale That was just an example I thought of, but there are of course alternatives for DNS being tested
Sylvia Cadena:Thanks Marika!
Sylvia Cadena:That is what the preamble said.
Marilyn Cade:ICANN cannot be funding other DNS systems. That is crazy. Do we believe in a single interoperable root? Has that changed? I recall when the Alt Root guys showed up at ICANN and we had to really address this idea and we did, as ICANN the community. BUT, we believe in a single interoperable root, not alt roots. Otherwise, ICANN does not exist.
Wale Bakare:Agreed. I think Security, Stability of DNS-based projects would receive 100% support of ICANN, I think
Hadia Elminiawi:totally agree Marika , I understand because I was there from the beginning but the document as it reads looks as if we want to define the term
Stephanie Perrin:I agree with what Alan is saying. People don't look up definitions.
Asha Hemrajani:yes Wale - those areas would receive strong support
Maureen Hilyard:+1 Alan
Sylvia Cadena:Yes Alan, very important.
Wale Bakare:Thanks, @Asha
Manal Ismail:Agree Alan .. so probably not a definition of open Internet but rather where open Internet intersects with/ relates to ICANN mission ..
Ching Chiao:sounds good Marika
Manal Ismail:*examples of where
Arsene Tungali:Good point Erica about examples
Marc Gauw:Erika, lets achieve your clear clarification of the COI part of the board-letter ! :-)
Marilyn Cade:I read all the Interest statements of all Board members, as part of the due diligence that I consider important to do as a BC member. Many Board members have "interests" and I am not sure

that I think that all of the Liaisons, or even the Board members are fully recusing themselves on decisions that they already know is a conflict. If you participated in contributing to auction funds, or if you are a contracted party that is asking for a refund, then I do think that you have a real conflict of interest.

Jon Nevett:semi-annual

Samantha Eisner:@Marilyn, if there are Board level issues you'd like to raise, please contact me and John so we can take a look at those

Sylvia Cadena:The group is asking for updates of CoI and DoI on every call with the reminder to update it on the wiki.

Nadira AL-Araj:What about looking again of the requirements of the DOI form and edit it accordingly

Ching Chiao:Thank you Asha for the clarification

Xavier Calvez 2:Transparency on discussions help identify conflict of interests. Once a conflict of interest is identified, the CCWG needs to have a mechanism to enable its conclusions and recommendations to be free of the content that resulted from the conflict. Transparency is not sufficient, it only allows to take the next step when it is needed. This is part of the rationale of the recommendation that the Board made to this working group to establish a mechanism to deal with identified conflict of interest.

Julie Bisland:The next CCWG New gTLD Auction Proceeds call will be held on Thursday, 21 September 2017 at 14:00 UTC for 90 minutes.

Daniel Dardailler:bye

Marc Gauw:Thanks, bye bye

Nadira AL-Araj:Thank you all

Ching Chiao:Thank you everyone

Joke Braeken:thank you all. Bye.

Peter Vergote (ccNSO):Bye all

Manal Ismail:Thank you all .. Bye ..

Sylvia Cadena:Bye, thank you all

Maureen Hilyard:Thanks Erica bye all

Asha Hemrajani:Thank you and bye

Wale Bakare:thanks. Bye all!