

Title of Proposed Issue	Description of Proposed Issue	Submitted by	Date	Source(s) for Proposed Issue with Link(s)	Reasons this is within our remit	Reasons this is outside our remit	Support for this as an accepted issue	Opposition to this as an accepted issue	Accepted/Rejected as an issue (with explanation)	Notes
Governing law for Registry agreements	There is currently no governing law in the "Base Registry Agreement" (except for .eu and possibly other "legacy agreements"). This is an issue because: (1) the governing law is important in interpreting the contract, (2) not stating a governing law gives too much discretion to a court to determine the governing law of the contract, (3) it creates the possibility that different courts will apply different governing law and interpret the contract differently.	Raphael Beauregard-Lacroix	18/04							
Governing law for Registrar Accreditation Agreement	There is currently no governing law in the RAA. This is an issue because: (1) the governing law is important in interpreting the contract, (2) not stating a governing law gives too much discretion to a court to determine the governing law of the contract, (3) it creates the possibility that different courts will apply different governing law and interpret the contract differently.	Greg Shatan	10-Jul							
Sanctions/OFAC (US sanctions laws and policies administered and enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC"))	US foreign policy limits ICANN's ability to accredit registrars and approve registries. See specific issues below.	Internet Governance Project	7-Jul	1. Comments of IGP, https://go.icann.org/2sKouVc ; 2. Comments of Iran; https://go.icann.org/2tuTeqk 3. Comments of Russia; https://go.icann.org/2tuwOFD 4. Comments of Richard Hill http://bit.ly/2tuDzXY 5. comments of Just Net Coalition https://go.icann.org/2tQVbzF	The subgroup was asked to explore how "jurisdiction of contracts and dispute settlements" affect ICANN's accountability (Accountability Report p. 6, Feb 2016) These aspects of US jurisdiction can undermine ICANN's accountability to its stakeholders as ICANN policy and decisions can be superseded by U.S. government policy. In the same report it is also stated that WS2 should be "Addressing jurisdiction-related questions, namely: "Can ICANN's accountability be enhanced depending on the laws applicable to its actions?" The CCWG-Accountability anticipates focusing on the question of applicable law for contracts and dispute settlements." (p. 47)		IGP			
Sanctions/OFAC (1): Registrar Accreditation	1) ICANN RAA says it is under "no obligation" to seek an OFAC license; 2) even if it does seek a license it has no control over whether it is granted and the process can be long and is not transparent;									
Sanctions/OFAC (2): Applicability to non-US registrars	There is a lack of clarity on whether registrars not in sanctioned countries but outside the US are bound by OFAC sanctions because of their contract with ICANN.									

