PROPOSED DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR SUNRISE REGISTRATIONS CHARTER QUESTIONS:

Original Charter Question 2 (Reworded Charter Question 2):

<u>Question</u>: Is there evidence that Registry sunrise or premium name pricing limits Trademark Owners' ability to participate during Sunrise? If so, how extensive is this problem?

<u>Sources:</u> INTA Survey and anecdotal evidence from Trademark holders and registries

Original Charter Question 5 (Reworded Charter Question 4):

<u>Question</u>: Should Registry Operators be required to publish their reserved names lists -- what Registry concerns would be raised by that publication, and what problem(s) would it solve?

<u>Sources:</u> Anecdotal data from different stakeholders, including registries. Registries that exist in jurisdictions that prohibit the publication of specific words/strings (example: profane language) should especially be sought for input.

Original Charter Question 11 (Reworded Charter Question 8):

<u>Question</u>: Are Limited Registration Periods in need of review vis a vis the Sunrise Period? Approved Launch Programs? Qualified Launch programs? Are the ALP and QLP periods in need of review?

<u>Sources:</u> TLD Startup Information page has data that can be mined, as well as anecdotal data from registries - .MADRID is the only registry known to the Sub Team that has used an ALP.

Original Charter Question 17 (Reworded Charter Question 11):

<u>Question:</u> How effectively can trademark holders who use non-English scripts/languages able to participate in sunrise (including IDN sunrises), and should any of them be further "internationalized" (such as in terms of service providers, languages served)?

<u>Sources:</u> Survey IDN gTLD Registries for the number of Sunrise Registrations that have taken place.

Original Charter Question 18 (No rewording):

<u>Question</u>: Does Sunrise adequately address issues of registrant protection (such as freedom of expression and fair use?)

<u>Sources:</u> Reach out to SO/ACs, Public interest groups and Trade Associations, registrars and registries for information (likely anecdotal evidence) - Review of articles, including investigative reporting articles, about the registration of domains in Sunrise Periods that have been noted to have an impact on free expression, fair use, and the ability of registrants to register domain names; possible additional questions for the Reporters on their research and findings.

Original Charter Question 21 (No rewording):

<u>Question</u>: In the light of concrete cases (case law) and from the perspective of owners of protected signs and of marks, which are the identified deficits of the Sunrise?

<u>Sources:</u> Anecdotal examples should be obtained. There may be some in the INTA study.

Original Charter Question 22 (Reworded Charter Question 12):

<u>Question</u>: Are there certain registries that should not have a mandatory sunrise based on their published registration/eligibility policies? Examples include POLICE.PARIS and POLICE.NYC for geo-TLDs, and WINDOWS.CONSTRUCTION for specialized gTLDs

Sources: Anecdotal evidence from registries.

Anecdotal evidence is generally available on domain name blogs (example: domiaining.com), as well as domain name forums (examples: Name Pros and DN Forum).