ICANN
Transcription
Next-Gen RDS PDP Working group call
Tuesday, 10 October 2017 at 16:00 UTC
Note: Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases it is incomplete or
inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to
understanding the proceedings at the meeting, but should not be treated as an authoritative record. The
audio is also available at: http://audio.icann.org/gnso/gnso-nextgen-rds-pdp-10oct17-en.mp3

AC recording: https://participate.icann.org/p5qavmz9iee/

Attendance is on wiki agenda page: https://community.icann.org/x/dGfwAw

The recordings and transcriptions of the calls are posted on the GNSO Master Calendar
page http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/calendar

Coordinator: Excuse me, the recording has started.

Julie Bisland: Thank you so much. Well good morning, good afternoon and good evening
                    everyone, welcome to the Next Generation RDS PDP Working Group call on
                    the 10th of October 2017. In the interest of time there will be no roll call,
                    attendance will be taken via the Adobe Connect room. If you are only on the
                    audio bridge would you please let yourself be known now? Thank you.

                    Hearing no names, I would like to remind all to please state your name before
                    speaking for transcription purposes and please keep your phones and
                    microphones on mute when not speaking to avoid background noise.

                    With this I'll turn it back over to our chair, Chuck Gomes.

Chuck Gomes: Welcome, everyone. Does anyone have a statement of interest update you'd
                    like to alert us to? Please raise your hand in Adobe if you do. Alan, go ahead.

Alan Woods: Thank you. I just wanted to introduce myself; I just joined the working group.
                    My name is Alan Woods; I'm the Compliance manager for Donuts Registry.

So I'm going to be spending a lot of time catching up with the workings of this so I just wanted to, as said, introduce myself. So happy to work with all of you. Thank you.

Chuck Gomes: thanks, Alan, and welcome. Anyone else? Okay, you can see the agenda in the upper right of the Adobe screen there. Let's go ahead and bring up the template in Adobe. So in response to last week's meeting, the leadership team has met a couple times and done a lot of work online to map out some next steps.

And so we're going to go over right now our proposal for the next few weeks. And I'll - you can see kind of the outline of that in the agenda. We'll go through the document in the - that's posted on the Adobe - together here shortly.

But we decided it was - it would be useful to return to the charter question on purpose, for those of you that have been in the group for a while you know that at several times we have spent quite a bit of time on purpose already. Our first - the first question in our charter has to do with users and purposes. And so we're going to return to a focus on that but we're going to approach it quite a bit differently at least in the near term.

One of the things that we felt in our discussions is that there's still not a lot of listening by working group members to other people's positions if they are different than your own. And that's not true of everybody, and we recognize that. But we'd like to see if we can come up with a way to encourage more listening to alternative positions and more people sharing their positions and their justification for them. Now, it's hard to do in a really big group so what we're going to try is to use some small teams, we'll call them drafting teams for lack of a better term. And they will have a deliverable that they will be tasked with drafting and presenting to the whole working group.

But let me go through the document that's on the screen, and while I'm doing that feel free to raise your hand if you have a question about something. The - and we'll - at an appropriate time, I'll certainly entertain any questions that you have.

The - we're going to use drafting teams - small drafting teams that will engage in some calls, probably a couple telephonic meetings and hopefully a lot of email discussion over the next two to three weeks. And the objective will be to flesh out proposed definitions for RDS purposes. And we're going to start by focusing on the RDS purposes from the Expert Working Group report.

Now, the - let's go through the output. It's very important that everybody understand the output and the timeframe because on this call you're going to be given an opportunity to volunteer for - to participate in one of these teams so it's important that you understand what's going to be involved. I don't think it'll be a huge workload because the tasks aren't huge, but they're important for the people on the small teams to all participate actively in the next few weeks.

The goal is for all of us to better understand existing purposes for Whois, whether they are purposes that you support or not, as a working group for us to function effectively, each of us needs to understand all possible purposes. And these drafting teams, which we're intending right now to be a maximum of seven people, all with different points of view and the leadership team will choose those to make sure we have the diversity and after we've looked at the names of people who volunteer.

Each drafting team would discuss paths supported by the given purpose, the parties involved with regard to that purpose, and the data often used to fulfill that purpose. And ultimately the hope is that the team would create, develop a definition of the purpose using the template that we're going to look at right now. And that could be, you know, approximately a two-page definition, we're

not looking for something really long. And it can be shorter than two pages as long as it covers the elements that are involved.

Really, really critical assumption is in the next paragraph. To participate in this activity for a given purpose, you don't have to believe that the purpose should or should not be a legitimate purpose for a registration directory services system. Rather, we're asking the team to focus on helping the full working group understand each of the purposes, whether you agree with it or not, understanding who are the people that are involved, why they need it, what data elements might be needed for that, etcetera.

Now, the first level of understanding will be at the team level, the seven people on the team plus a facilitator from the leadership team. And the facilitators won't - will avoid, you know, opinions and sharing but rather try and coordinate the activities so that the work can be done in what's going to be a fairly short period of time, as you'll see.

So the first objective will be for the team itself to do whatever they need to do to understand and capture the factors with regard to the given purpose. Now, ideally it'd be nice if there was one purpose for each team; I don't know if we'll get that many volunteers or not, that'd be great. But we really do want people to be on just one team. So if it comes down to it we may have to assign more than one purpose to a team and we'll work out the logistics on that later.

So first goal do everything you can to listen to the other members of your team to understand their point of view, ask questions when you don't and then capture that, that information so it can be shared with the full working group in Abu Dhabi so we have face to face meeting there. And the intent as it stands right now is to have each team share what they came up with and have a full group discussion on this to facilitate understanding by the - by all working group members. And of course as always there will be remote participation for those that can't be in Abu Dhabi.

And our hope is that fleshing out these purpose definitions and it's really kind of an expanded definition, maybe not typical definitions as we often think of definitions, but we hope that fleshing out these in this manner will hopefully foster better communication within the working group so that we can have informed discussions about all identified purposes before trying to make further progress on the legitimacy of the purposes and whether they should be collected and accessed.

So again, I want to emphasize the goal is understanding in this exercise with the belief that if we have a good understanding of all the purposes, whether they are ones that we support or not, it'll make it easier for us to then work on agreements on legitimacy collection and access.

Now you can see then, I won't read the three bullets there, but there are at least three documents we would - we want to reference and that we would like all of you who volunteer to review in the next couple days. You've seen them all before; it's not new material, so it should be a quick review. But you know where to go to if you need it on your team.

As far as timeline, all working group members interested in participating are going to be asked to respond to this week's poll not later than close of business on Wednesday two days - or tomorrow. In other words, you have today and tomorrow. You're - for those on the call we're actually going to give you a chance to do it on the call. You don't have to but again, to try and get things moving we're going to take five minutes or whatever it takes and even allow you to ask questions about the poll while we're doing that.

Volunteers then will be assigned to teams hopefully by the end of the day on Thursday, the leadership team has quite a bit of work to do on that and we're scheduling a call to do that work, so that work, by the teams, can hopefully start this coming Friday. Now we're not planning a meeting - meetings for Friday but could at least - the email - there will be an email list set up for each

team and the discussion can be initiated by the coordinator for that group which again will - the plan is for one of the leadership team members to fulfill that role.

The goal then is during weeks - the week of 16 October and 23 October, that's the next two calendar weeks, is to do your work and then come up with a document, two pages, give or take a little, with the definitions for the given purpose that your team is assigned to. Can we scroll down the document since - okay thank you. That's good right there. So and then so we would need by the 26th of October, which is a - the Thursday before the Abu Dhabi meeting start, your document so that we can prepare those and then use those in our working group meeting on Saturday the 28th.

The template there is pretty simple. The purpose name is going to be straightforward and that will come from our - the assignment for the group and the agreements we have so far. The definition - and it's kind of an expanded definition, okay, so it's going to be to describe the purpose as succinctly as possible in one paragraph first of all, identifying who, what and why. And I like this example that Lisa came up with, "This purpose makes it possible for X to contact Y to resolve problems associated with Z." Kind of a simple way to illustrate what the definition should be. And the definition is just really a paragraph or so.

Now, the task then is to list and briefly describe tasks commonly involved in carrying out this purpose. And a hint is given there, "Specific use cases for each purpose may be helpful as a starting point for enumerating tasks." And if you look back up at those three bullets you'll see that one of the reference documents that a link is provided for are the use cases that we developed for our working group a long time ago.

And then users need to be described, who often access the data associated with that particular purpose? Again, keeping in mind all the way through that you may not end up supporting or you may not support now the particular

purpose. That's okay. But make sure you understand - get enough information to understand the users of the data associated with that purpose.

And then you can see next to data, "List of gTLD registration data often involved in this purpose, contact data - for contact data please identify the data subject, registrant, tech contact, registrar," and even data elements that we've spent some time on as well that might be applicable for that purpose.

Now, that covers it. And we all have screen control now so if you need to go back up and look at that, please do so. Now, could - let's see, I wish we had two screens where we could display something because it might be helpful - well, we'll do that when we get to the - when we get to the poll. Oh no, the poll doesn't include that. So anyway what I'm getting at there are nine purposes that we identified - or that the EWG identified and that we've spent some time in talking about over quite a few months, not consecutively but and it would be those nine purposes.

And if - because I want to leave the template up there. Lisa or Marika, could one of you put in the notes a brief listing of the purposes so that people can see those? Now by the way, when you complete the poll, you're not going to complete - you're not going to complete a purpose.

Never mind, it just dawned on me, I'm a little slow on the poll that you're going to see. You'll be able to see the purposes there, but you're not going to sign up for purposes. The leadership team so that we have seven people that are experienced for at least one of the - all seven purposes on a team the leadership team is going to assign the team members. And that'll all be transparent so you'll see what interests people expressed and what decisions we made.

So you'll see the purposes. And they're in there. Thanks, Lisa. Lisa did put them in the chat, if you look at Lisa's entry there, purposes - and we have working group agreements that we've made at least with regard to the

minimum public data set and for Alan's purposes, that's basically what we're calling thin data now, okay, minimum public data set.

The - but you can see them in there, technical issues is one - technical issue resolution is one of the purposes and so on. You can read through them yourselves. But again, you don't need to - in the poll you're going to say which of those you have particular expertise in, but we're not asking you to sign up for any particular team. Okay? Because it's essential that we have one person from each of the different areas that you'll see in the poll, different interest areas, it's not - we can't say stakeholder groups, because some of you aren't necessarily - stakeholder groups doesn't map one to one to that but you'll see that.

Let me be quiet and see if there are any questions about the template, about the plan, about what's expected of people who volunteer. Greg Aaron, go ahead.

Greg Aaron: Yes, Chuck. This is Greg. The proposed schedule strikes me as a bit optimistic. I think any sub team is probably going to have one opportunity to meet before Abu Dhabi. If teams are assigned on Friday, then people meet when the members can schedule it; they may also need some staff support. We then have a working group call on the 24th and people begin leaving for Abu Dhabi on Wednesday the 25th.

Chuck Gomes: So, Greg, let me - I forgot to say something that's really critical and so it's really important that you brought this up. We are not going to have our regularly scheduled working group meetings on the next two Tuesdays. Instead, that time can be used, if that timeframe works, for the teams to meet.

Now in some cases that time might be the most - might not be the most ideal so they may schedule at another time - but to at least partially deal with your concern, we're not going to have the working group meetings the next two

Tuesdays and that time or some alternative time that works for the team will be used for the team work.

Greg Aaron: Okay, that'll help a lot.

Chuck Gomes: Well I hope so. And it's still compressed, but keep in mind, these aren't new issues that we're dealing with. We want at least one person that - on each team that - we want people with different perspectives on each team so they can share their perspective of the purpose so that first of all the team members understand where each other is coming from but then translate that into a document that'll help the broader working group understand.

And of course in our face to face meeting on Saturday in Abu Dhabi, everybody in the working group, including those participating remotely, will have a chance to ask question again, the goal being understanding and communication, not decision making, okay? Anybody else? Great question, and I apologize for not pointing that out sooner. Anybody else have a question? Yes, Michele.

Michele Neylon: Yes, thanks, Chuck. Fully support everything you said. The question I suppose I have for the rest of the working group is there anybody who is opposed to what is being proposed and if so, could they please explain why? Thanks.

Chuck Gomes: Okay, I'll open the - this is Chuck - I'll open the floor for that if there's anybody that is strongly opposed to doing this leading up to Abu Dhabi and in Abu Dhabi, please raise your hand. Michele, go ahead.

Michele Neylon: Yes, thanks. This is - as to fill the void a little bit, you know, not necessary, now just I think, you know, the thing is that from the - first off the - from my own personal perspective I think we definitely need to do what we can to foster conversations, dialogue and better understanding. I think one of the issues that we see in a lot of the discussions that we have both in terms of

the meetings and the mailing lists and everything else, is in many cases there is a very - people are coming from very - very different views and while I think some people may have made attempts to get a better understanding of other people's views, the concept behind this entire thing is to try to kind of break that deadlock as it were and try to move things forward. Thanks.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks, Michele. And I'm going to call on Lisa and Susan next to maybe just share anything they think might help everyone because Lisa and Susan happen to be together in Europe after the RDS review team meeting there. And so they, in their spare time put their heads together and really came up with this idea so like them to add anything they have for people's understanding. And I don't care which of you goes first. Susan, you're first, okay.

Susan Kawaguchi: Okay, can you hear me? I'm having a little bit of trouble…

Chuck Gomes: Yes.

Susan Kawaguchi: …with the microphone. Okay. So one of the reasons that this came to mind was - and Lisa and I did have time in Europe together. In the discussions on the working group calls, they often become disjointed. It's almost a round robin effect the way I view it. And there are oftentimes when I'm thinking, "Oh, I'd really like to dig into that one point someone made." I may not agree with it but it would be interesting to hear a little bit more. But by the time it gets back around to, you know, my turn to talk then the conversation has - or discussion has moved on and it doesn't seem like a good use of time.

So I'm really hoping that with these small groups representing each of the communities in ICANN to be able to dig in and have a very - maybe intense conversation but with the aim of really understanding that opposing view and then maybe also sort of working out in your minds and how it might work

down the road we might be able to leverage some of those conversations for - to create ways forward with practical solutions.

So it was really - this is really more about let's talk to each other and hopefully build some trust and see if we can't do this as a community. And so that was really Lisa, at least in my point of view, and I'll let Lisa give her point.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks, Susan. Go ahead, Lisa.

Lisa Phifer: Thanks, Chuck. Lisa Phifer for the record. I think both Chuck and Susan, you know, have elaborated on the rationale. The one thing that I'll add is that we observed that in trying to think about why we were having difficulty reaching agreements on the points that are raised and why we often kind of drill into a specific detail instead of stepping back, is that people spend quite a lot of time defending a position without truly understanding the perspective of someone else.

And we were in brainstorming about how we could overcome that, we were thinking that everyone seems to agree, and we keep coming back to it time and time again, that we really need to understand the purposes behind providing registration data and making it accessible through an RDS.

So no matter whether this working group ultimately decides that some of those purposes are legitimate and some others aren't legitimate, before we get there we really have to understand those purposes and we're hoping this exercise gives us a way of doing that without having to, you know, start from a position of defending a view about whether it's legitimate but start from just trying to understand what the purpose really is, who really uses that data today? Why do they really need - or believe they need that data today? And then from that understanding return to the deliberation on whether each one is legitimate and what specific data elements should be made accessible through an RDS to support that purpose.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks, Lisa. And thanks, Susan. This is Chuck. That's much appreciated. Now, could we bring up the poll? And then Lisa or Marika, I don't know which of you are going to do this, we'll take a - we'll let everybody look through the poll first and then I'll ask one of you to tell people on the call how they - give them instructions for taking the poll during this meeting. Again, it's not required that you do it during this meeting, we strongly encourage it. You can do the arithmetic yourself. If we have nine teams, one for each of the nine purposes, we would need seven people for each team, that's 63 volunteers that we need; we don't even have 63 on this call but of course we have lots of other working group members that are not on the call.

So I strongly encourage everyone to participate in a team. And I don't think it's going to be an overly workload, it's going to be concentrated over the next - just a little over two weeks. But still I don't think it's going to involve intense work. You're going to have to keep up with the email list of seven people, okay, and then there will probably be two telephone meetings as well and Doodle polls will be used to make sure that you try and find a time that most everybody can meet.

So I don't think this is a huge time commitment, but it does need to be done over the next couple weeks. And so hopefully that's clear. Again, you have scrolling capability, the - if you just - you can scroll down and you can see that the first question is just, "Are you interested in and available in joining a drafting team to define one or more RDS purposes?" we're hoping it's not more than one but it depends how many people volunteer, okay, just a yes or no.

And if you answer "no" you don't have to do the rest of the poll, okay, we hope there aren't too many of those. The - Question 3 in the poll is to identify which perspectives you have experience with and can particularly contribute to with regard to the particular purpose that your team would be assigned to.

And the - please understand you're not asked as a team member to represent any particular group you're associated with. That would be nice, but that takes a lot of time. You have to communicate back and forth, and this is in too short a timeframe, so we're asking you which of these perspectives you have some experience with and expertise that you can bring to the table and be helpful in - on your team. You can check as many as apply, okay?

And then if you go down to Question 4, the - we want to make sure we have people that have experience with each - with the purposes, okay, so we don't want to get people on the technical issue resolution team who don't have any technical - we need some people on that team that have some technical resolution experience. So when we're forming the teams we need to make sure we have some expertise. Everybody on the technical issue resolution team we don't want seven people who are all just technical issue resolution experts, okay, but we need some of that on that team. So in Question 4, just check off the boxes that fit you as asked there.

And then last of all, we put in some - Question 5 isn't - is kind of to help us with regard to not so much - it may help us forming the teams but what kind of timeframes typically work for you? Now we didn't provide days or anything else, but if you would indicate times that often work best for you during the following two weeks, the week starting next Monday and the week starting the Monday after that, which kind of timeframes work best for you, that'll help in setting up Doodle polls and setting up a telephone conference time for your team on that.

And let me turn it over to either Lisa or Marika to instruct people how they take the poll and let me say while we're pausing for that, probably at least five minutes, if we need more we'll take more, you will - feel free to put questions in the chat and/or raise your hand and ask a question, that's - otherwise - and there may just be silence so bear with us while we do that. But hopefully all of you will be taking the poll.

Now you're not - I mean, if you feel like you want to do the poll right after the meeting, the meeting will likely end early, you can do that. Okay? But we really need a strong response of volunteers. Daniel, your hand is up.

Daniel Nanghaka: Thank you very much. This is Daniel for the record. I'd like to make an inquiry, what about conflicting times whereby different calls are happening? I'll give an example, last week I had a conflict between the outreach and engagement subcommittee meeting and the GNSO RDS meeting, which is happening at the same. So how can that be resolved? Thank you. Back to you, Chuck.

Chuck Gomes: So, yes, and Daniel, we're not going to just use the times that are in this poll but that'll just be some information that may be helpful. We'll see. But we can do Doodle polls for each team. Keep in mind when you have seven people on a team, plus a coordinator, hopefully it won't be quite so hard to find times where nobody has a conflict. Doesn't always work out, but that'll be managed on a team by team basis.

So, Lisa or Marika, can you give people instructions for completing the poll?

Lisa Phifer: Chuck, this is Lisa. The link to participate in the poll was in the chat and the poll, as our usual polls are, is staged in Survey Monkey. The poll results of course this time are a bit different than our usual polls in that we're looking of expressions of interest and some information about the perspectives you'll bring to the team. But we will publish the results of the poll for the sake of transparency to be mindful of that issue complete the poll.

Chuck Gomes: Okay so you can see the link if you go up in the chat nearly to the top of my window in chat, I don't know if it's for everybody, but Lisa put a link in there that says, "The link to participate in the poll." And there it is. You can see the Survey Monkey link, just click on that and please complete the poll right now and we will pause and she put the link in again at the bottom of the chat right now. If everyone would please do that, that would be appreciated.

And once that's done we will come back and finish the agenda which are some fairly just administrative items, so our meeting should be done well under an hour so - of total time. I think audio is still on. We're just allowing people to complete the poll now.

Now again, if you have a question as you're taking the poll or you can put it in the chat or raise your hand. If there's anything that's not clear, this is kind of a nice little feature of this poll for those of you that are taking it right now, is you have a chance to ask your questions live. And for those that have finished, and some have, thanks, Paul, for letting us know, everybody doesn't have to let us know but some of you will finish sooner than others so if you'll just be patient, we'll allow enough time of quiet here while people are doing that.

The poll is on the meeting page as well on our wiki. Poll will be emailed to those not on the call - to the full working group including you guys, so. Hopefully there are times - possible call times in there that are convenient for people in the Asia Pacific region too, but if not, please use that other box and put times that work better for you.

So I really appreciate everyone's cooperation with this. And look forward to some good information to help the full working group understand various perspectives on the nine purposes. I would say that the intellectual property perspective is the commercial perspective, but I can see that that's pretty broad so the point is we need to form teams that have at least seven different perspectives.

I wouldn't anticipate it as forming teams that are much bigger than seven, if any, but we will try to - the more information we have the better job we can do at this, so all your input will be welcome. And we have a big job to do on Thursday.

So does anyone need more time during this call to complete - of course you can complete it after we end the call as well but I'm more than happy to allow a little bit more time waiting here. Okay, there's still some in the poll, that's good. We're not rushed because other than our administrative items it'll be a very quick close of the meeting.

Notice that Fabricio is getting an error. I don't know, it may be a - Lisa or Marika, can you do a private chat with Fabricio in Adobe, maybe we can figure out - help figure out what's going on or if not, troubleshoot it immediately after the call and Lisa gave a general question in the chat that may help. Good, glad to see that's resolved.

We used the nine purposes from the EWG report that we have already used in this working group and actually have some working group agreements with regard to the minimum public data set, that doesn't rule out adding some purposes later on, but for the sake of this exercise we're going to start here and if we need to do another small team after we do this in Abu Dhabi that's perfectly doable.

And for those on the call, if you would do whatever you can to encourage colleagues of yours that aren't on the call and are working group members to do the poll today or tomorrow, that would be greatly appreciated. Again we're trying - for scheduling purposes and to avoid conflicts, we're trying to have totally unique members on each team so for those in your particular interest area, you need one of you for each of the teams. So the more encouragement you can do to get likeminded colleagues to participate in the poll and then on a team, that'll help us a lot.

Now because of the short timeframe, once you're on a team, and you should be notified of the team membership on Friday of this week, and maybe - you may even see something sooner than Friday, I don't know if that's realistic, but if there's a Doodle poll that - be watching for any Doodle polls to arrange for a meeting time, but please start - be ready to start participating on list

discussion on Friday of this week. So watch for your new list and if you have spam filters that may get that, watch out for that as well because it is a short timeframe, but it's not a huge task, important but not huge I think, in terms of time commitment.

So it looks like we've had 20 working group members who participated in the poll. Keep in mind the poll will be open through close of business tomorrow on Wednesday at which time the leadership team is going to have to do a lot of work. And of course as you know, as I've already said, we need a lot more than 20 people to participate on these teams, so and of course some of those 20 may have said "no." So please help us out in that regard.

All right, let's go ahead and wrap up the meeting. So I've kind of covered some of the action items but let me let either Lisa or Marika go over those again and cover any I missed.

Lisa Phifer: Chuck, this is Lisa. Action items for this week, all working group members are encouraged to participate in this week's poll, 20 of you already did but for those who have not yet, the poll link is listed there. And again, this poll closes - unlike our usual polls, which go the whole week - this poll closes tomorrow, Wednesday, at close of business on the West Coast. And the action item I'm just about to type is that the leadership team has an action to consolidate poll responses on Thursday, assign those who expressed interest in participating in a drafting team to a drafting team and only one drafting team.

So each working group member that expressed interest will end up on only one drafting team and those drafting teams are asked to begin their work on Friday of this week. We will be sending you, by email, the invitation about a mailing list that has been set up for that drafting team, the purpose or purposes assigned to that drafting team as well as a recap on the target dates which will be to do your work in the next two weeks and deliver output and that output can be drafting - draft of course - but deliver your output by the 26th of October in preparation for Abu Dhabi.

Chuck Gomes: And staff, as always, will provide support as needed to each of the teams, so I don't think it'll be heavy duty support needed, but if there's something needed, that help will be provided. And not just Lisa and Marika but if there are logistical things Julie and her colleagues will help the teams as well on logistical matters.

All right, the next two scheduled working group meetings will not be held but you should have a team meeting the next two weeks, whether it's at the time of our working group meeting or not, and it could be, that'll be available, but we're trying to set some time free so that you have time to work on your teams.

So the next full working group meeting will be our face to face meeting on - oh our face to face meeting is on the 30th of October, I think I stated a wrong date; I thought that we had a meeting on Saturday. Am I wrong on that?

Lisa Phifer: We do have a meeting on Saturday, it's the 28th, Saturday the 28th.

Chuck Gomes: Okay so…

Lisa Phifer: …at 8:30 local time in Abu Dhabi.

Chuck Gomes: What's the face to face meeting in the agenda on Item 4B?

Lisa Phifer: `A typo.

Chuck Gomes: Okay, please fix that. But it's the 28th so it's Saturday the 28th, the first day of the ICANN meetings, okay, and it's in the morning. I think it starts at 8:30. And so I'm glad we caught that so the next working group meeting will be the face to face meeting the morning of the 28th of October in Abu Dhabi, which means people that aren't there in person, some of you are going to have a bad time to participate in that. But it is going to be a pretty important meeting

because we're going to try to really facilitate understanding for all working group members in that meeting of these nine purposes.

So anything I've missed? All right, thanks, everybody. Have a good rest of the day and week. Remember to be watching for things on Friday, if you volunteered, and those that haven't completed the poll please complete the poll and hopefully be willing to participate in a - on a team. With that, I will adjourn the meeting. The recording can stop. And thanks again to everybody.

Julie Bisland: Thanks, Chuck. Thanks, everyone. Today's meeting is adjourned. You can disconnect all lines and thank you. Please stop the recordings.

END