

Continuing our deliberation beyond "minimum public data set"

a) **Charter Question:** *"What data should be collected, stored and disclosed?"*
focusing on identifying set of data required in the RDS first

b) How results from [5 September call](#) will be used:

- 3 WG agreements from 29 August poll, as revised during 5 September call, have been added to [working draft and list of agreements to date](#)
- New 5 September poll results were [posted on wiki](#) and will inform future deliberation on Registrant Type, after receipt of legal analysis

c) Continue deliberation on remaining data elements that more respondents agreed or were unsure should be in RDS in the 28 June poll, starting with:

- **Registrant Postal Address Data Elements:**
Registrant Street Address, City, State/Province, and Postal Code
(Note we already have an agreement on Country Code)
- **Registrant Phone + Registrant Phone Ext**
(Note we already have agreements on Alt Phone, Alt Email)

d) Time permitting, continue with remaining data elements:

- Registrant Abuse URL
- Registrant Contact URL
- Registrant Contact ID (Registry Registrant ID)
- Registrant Contact Validation Status
- Registrant Contact Last Updated Timestamp
- Registrant Company Identifier
- Server Status (Registry)
- Registrar Jurisdiction
- Registry Jurisdiction
- Registration Agreement Language

More Agree/Unsure than Disagree

Data Elements from 28 June Poll

<https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/66086729/AnalysisResults-Poll-from-28JuneCall.pdf>

Q	Data Element	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral/Unsure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree		Support
4	Registrant Type*	12	8	7	3	5	35	19
5	Registrant Contact ID (Registry Registrant ID)	14	10	5	2	4	35	28
6	Registrant Contact Validation Status*	9	8	10	1	7	35	11
7	Registrant Contact Last Updated Timestamp*	13	10	5	0	7	35	22
8	Registrant Company Identifier*	12	7	10	4	2	35	23
9	Registrant Street Address	14	4	7	4	6	35	16
10	Registrant City	15	6	6	3	5	35	23
11	Registrant State/Province	17	3	6	4	5	35	23
12	Registrant Postal Code	14	5	7	3	5	34	20
14	Registrant Phone + Registrant Phone Ext	12	7	7	1	8	35	14
15	Registrant Alt Phone + Ext*	6	8	9	3	7	33	3
17	Registrant Alt Email*	8	14	5	3	5	35	17
22	Registrant Abuse URL*	12	7	7	2	5	33	19
23	Registrant Contact URL*	9	9	9	2	5	34	15
26	Legal Contact and Contact ID*	14	6	7	4	4	35	22
28	Abuse Contact and Contact ID*	16	7	5	2	5	35	27
30	Business Contact and Contact ID*	13	7	8	2	5	35	21
31	Server Status (Registry)*	12	8	10	1	4	35	23
36	Registrar Jurisdiction*	10	8	13	2	2	35	22
37	Registry Jurisdiction*	10	7	14	2	1	34	23
38	Registration Agreement Language*	7	12	9	2	3	33	18

* indicates data element not in 2013 RAA

Score: Sum of SA=2, agree=1, disagree=1, SD=2

Next Step:
Registrant
Postal Address
and Phone

As a reminder, initial rough consensus was reached on many related points:

28. **Registrant Country** must be included in RDS data elements; it must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration.
29. RDS policy must include **a definition** for every gTLD registration data element including both a semantic definition and (by reference to appropriate standards) a syntax definition.
31. Data enabling **at least one way to contact the registrant** must be collected and included in the RDS.
32. **At a minimum, one or more e-mail addresses** must be collected for every domain name included in the RDS, for contact roles that require an e-mail address for contactability.
33. For resiliency, data enabling **alternative or preferred method(s) of contact** should be included in the RDS; further deliberation to determine whether such data element(s) should be optional or mandatory to collect.
34. At least one element enabling contact must be based on an **open standard and not a proprietary communication method**.
35. To improve contactability with the domain name registrant (or authorized agent of the registrant), the RDS must be **capable of supporting at least one alternative contact method as an optional field**.
36. **Purpose-based contact (PBC) types** identified (Admin, Legal, Technical, Abuse, Proxy/Privacy, Business) must be supported by the RDS but optional for registrants to provide.
41. In the interest of maximizing contactability, **additional contact methods** MUST be supported by the RDS as an open-ended list and be optional for Registrants to provide. **This does not preclude agreements on requirements to include other contact methods.**

For reference, from 2013 RAA

Registry Registrant ID: 5372808-ERL³
Registrant Name: EXAMPLE REGISTRANT⁴
Registrant Organization: EXAMPLE ORGANIZATION
Registrant Street: 123 EXAMPLE STREET
Registrant City: ANYTOWN
Registrant State/Province: AP⁵
Registrant Postal Code: A1A1A1⁶
Registrant Country: AA
Registrant Phone: +1.5555551212
Registrant Phone Ext: 1234⁷
Registrant Fax: +1.5555551213
Registrant Fax Ext: 4321
Registrant Email: EMAIL@EXAMPLE.TLD

5 All "State/Province" fields may be left blank if not available.

6 All "Postal Code" fields may be left blank if not available.

7 All "Phone Ext", "Fax" and "Fax Ext" fields may be left blank if not available.

Source: <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/approved-with-specs-2013-09-17-en>

Registrant Street Address

Q9 Registrant Street Address is defined by the 2013 RAA Whois Requirements (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the EWG Final Report (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements? (Source: 28 June Poll)

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	40.00%	14
Agree	11.43%	4
Neutral/Unsure	20.00%	7
Disagree	11.43%	4
Strongly Disagree	17.14%	6
Total		35

#	Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)
1	As noted in response to #3, clear definition will be needed.
2	Not as crucial as above but a good datapoint
3	It could be a good thing but people tend to hide these information as well
4	Registrants are entitled to protection of their personal information.
5	not necessary for personal registrants, voluntary for business
6	A lot of places in the world don't have street addresses
7	Investigations of abuse are hindered by not providing this data. If it is desirable to mask this data by either the owner, then there exists methods to do so.

Registrant Street Address (continued)

8	This PDP should be looking at contactability for specific purposes related to a domain, not should the registrant address be in RDS. If there is a technical problem with a domain, can you contact someone? That doesn't necessarily mean making the registrant address available in RDS. For abuse contacts, there are only two fields, "Registrar Abuse Contact Email" and Registrar Abuse Contact Phone". Requiring the Registrant Address is somewhat outdated.
9	Contactability is fundamental. The entire address must be collected.
10	Address is private data
11	Mixed feelings here. Could put individuals at risk, while companies may have a whole raft of addresses they could use.
12	As an optional field, provided registrant grants free permission for use of his data.
13	This is important to include as a data element. Registrants have valid reasons for explicitly wanting this information disseminated.
14	Being able to see that registrant organization information does not match geography can be a very important tool. This is also needed to serve legal paperwork.
15	I don't object to including it, if supplied. It shouldnt be required.
16	MUST always be collected and stored. Must be disclosed (published) in some cases (such as when a legal person); other disclosure cases TBD in light of privacy laws.

Other postal address fields: City, State/Province, Postal Code

Poll responses and comments were substantially similar for the following data elements:

Q10 Registrant City is defined by the 2013 RAA Whois Requirements (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the EWG Final Report (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Q11 Registrant State/Province is defined by the 2013 RAA Whois Requirements (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the EWG Final Report (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Q12 Registrant Postal Code is defined by the 2013 RAA Whois Requirements (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the EWG Final Report (page 50). Do you agree this data element should be included in RDS data elements?

Registrant Phone + Ext

Q14 Registrant Phone + Registrant Phone Ext is defined by the 2013 RAA Whois Requirements (Section 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the EWG Final Report (page 50). Do you agree these data elements should be included in RDS data elements? (Source: 28 June Poll)

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	34.29%	12
Agree	20.00%	7
Neutral/Unsure	20.00%	7
Disagree	2.86%	1
Strongly Disagree	22.86%	8
Total		35

#	Rationale (why do you agree or disagree?)
1	Could be a optional element, but not a required element
2	Important to know but i remain neutral about this because it all depends on the previous details. It may always be an agent in the middle who may have a contract not to release details
3	Registrants are entitled to protection of their personal information.
4	registrant should be asked how they wish to be contacted. Not required as mandatory field
5	Investigations of abuse are hindered by not providing this data. If it is desirable to mask this data by either the owner, then there exists methods to do so. Additionally, this field my be populated via data for role account.

Registrant Phone+Ext (continued)

6	This is the wrong question to ask. We should be looking at contactability for a purpose. Requiring a bunch of contact types and mandating the inclusion of all these data elements is backwards.
7	See above.
8	Phone Numbers are private/personal data;
9	Worry about abuse here. Rather have initial access by email, or (optional) IM/social media.
10	Opens door to harrassment and is highly private data.
11	This is important to include as a data element. Registrants have valid reasons for explicitly wanting this information disseminated.
12	Those with privacy concerns should be informed they can use a google voice number or another way to obfuscate their true phone number.
13	There is little currently that can be done to avoid abuse of individual person's telephone numbers, for corporations I strongly agree
14	MUST always be collected and stored. Must be disclosed (published) in some cases (such as when a legal person); other disclosure cases TBD in light of privacy laws.

Proposed WG Agreements on Registrant Postal Address and Phone?

For example (provided as a starting point for framing possible agreements):

1a) **Registrant Phone + Phone Extension** must be included in RDS data elements; it must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration.

Or

1b) **Registrant Phone + Phone Extension** must be included in RDS data elements; it must be optional to collect for every domain name registration.

2a) One or more **phone numbers** must be collected for every domain name included in the RDS, for contact roles that require a mandatory phone number for contactability.

Or

2b) One or more **phone numbers** may be collected for every domain name included in the RDS, for contact roles that allow an optional phone number for contactability.

More Agree/Unsure than Disagree

Data Elements from 28 June Poll

<https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/66086729/AnalysisResults-Poll-from-28JuneCall.pdf>

Q	Data Element	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral/Unsure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Support	
4	Registrant Type*	12	8	7	3	5	35	19
5	Registrant Contact ID (Registry Registrant ID)	14	10	5	2	4	35	28
6	Registrant Contact Validation Status*	9	8	10	1	7	35	11
7	Registrant Contact Last Updated Timestamp*	13	10	5	0	7	35	22
8	Registrant Company Identifier*	12	7	10	4	2	35	23
9	Registrant Street Address	14	4	7	4	6	35	16
10	Registrant City	15	6	6	3	5	35	23
11	Registrant State/Province	17	3	6	4	5	35	23
12	Registrant Postal Code	14	5	7	3	5	34	20
14	Registrant Phone + Registrant Phone Ext	12	7	7	1	8	35	14
15	Registrant Alt Phone + Ext*	6	8	9	3	7	33	3
17	Registrant Alt Email*	8	14	5	3	5	35	17
22	Registrant Abuse URL*	12	7	7	2	5	33	19
23	Registrant Contact URL*	9	9	9	2	5	34	15
26	Legal Contact and Contact ID*	14	6	7	4	4	35	22
28	Abuse Contact and Contact ID*	16	7	5	2	5	35	27
30	Business Contact and Contact ID*	13	7	8	2	5	35	21
31	Server Status (Registry)*	12	8	10	1	4	35	23
36	Registrar Jurisdiction*	10	8	13	2	2	35	22
37	Registry Jurisdiction*	10	7	14	2	1	34	23
38	Registration Agreement Language*	7	12	9	2	3	33	18

* indicates data element not in 2013 RAA

Score: Sum of SA=2, agree=1, disagree=1, SD=2

Time permitting, continue with these elements

Definitions from EWG Report

Registrant Contact ID (Registry Registrant ID)

- A unique handle assigned to a pre-validated block of contact data identified as this domain name's Registrant. Refer to [Section V](#) for a more detailed definition of Contact ID and how it is created and used. This ID enables reuse and maintenance of contact data within the RDS. Note that when Registrant Type = Privacy/Proxy, the Registrant Contact ID will reflect the unique identifier assigned to that accredited Privacy/Proxy Provider.

Registrant Contact Validation Status and Registrant Contact Last Updated Timestamp

- The highest level of validation achieved and the date that it was most-recently validated, as further defined in [Section V](#).

Registrant Company Identifier

- The UK trading number, D-U-N-S number, or other unique real-world company identifier assigned to the Registrant by a public business directory. This enables searching for a company outside the RDS.

Definitions from EWG Report

Server Status (Registry)

- Expanding upon 2013 RAA client status values, these data elements contain the Registrar (client) and Registry (server) status values currently applied to this domain name: DeleteProhibited, RenewProhibited, TransferProhibited.

Registrar Jurisdiction and Registry Jurisdiction

- The legal jurisdiction in which the Registrar or Registry operates, as indicated in their signed agreement with ICANN.

Registration Agreement Language

- The language in which the Registrar's contract with the Registrant is written.