


Q1 Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls) If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so. Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.

Answered: 25 Skipped: 0

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|----|------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Hanan Y. Khatib | 9/16/2017 4:10 PM |
| 2 | Nick Shorey | 9/16/2017 3:26 PM |
| 3 | Tapani Tarvainen | 9/16/2017 12:25 PM |
| 4 | Roger Carney | 9/16/2017 7:40 AM |
| 5 | andrew sullivan | 9/16/2017 4:23 AM |
| 6 | Daniel K.Nanghaka | 9/16/2017 12:04 AM |
| 7 | Michael Peddemors | 9/15/2017 7:25 PM |
| 8 | Sam Lanfranco | 9/15/2017 7:14 PM |
| 9 | Alex Deacon | 9/15/2017 3:21 PM |
| 10 | Michael Hammer | 9/15/2017 1:15 PM |
| 11 | Nathalie Coupet | 9/15/2017 12:13 PM |
| 12 | Steve Metalitz | 9/15/2017 12:08 PM |
| 13 | Vicky Sheckler | 9/15/2017 12:01 PM |
| 14 | Rod Rasmussen | 9/14/2017 7:24 PM |
| 15 | Krishna Seeburn - Kris | 9/14/2017 5:40 AM |
| 16 | Tim O'Brien | 9/13/2017 2:38 PM |
| 17 | Klaus Stoll | 9/13/2017 10:19 AM |
| 18 | Ayden Férdeline | 9/13/2017 10:17 AM |
| 19 | Scott Hollenbeck | 9/13/2017 8:47 AM |
| 20 | Greg Aaron | 9/13/2017 6:37 AM |
| 21 | Chuck Gomes | 9/13/2017 6:36 AM |
| 22 | Benjamin Akinmoyeje | 9/13/2017 5:55 AM |
| 23 | Paul Keating | 9/13/2017 4:17 AM |
| 24 | Volker Greimann | 9/13/2017 1:50 AM |
| 25 | Maxim Alzoba (FAITID) | 9/13/2017 1:19 AM |

Q2 Registrant Postal Address: During the 12 September WG call, those on the call expressed support for the following statement; no objections were raised on the call: The RDS must support Registrant Postal Address data elements: Registrant Street Address, City, State/Province, and Postal Code. Please indicate below whether you agree or disagree with this statement.

Answered: 25 Skipped: 0




| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Agree | 80.00% | 20 |
| Disagree | 20.00% | 5 |
| TOTAL | | 25 |

| # | COMMENT OR PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE | DATE |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Hard-coding specific fields that don't exist in all countries is not good. | 9/16/2017 12:25 PM |
| 2 | I am happy with City/Province | 9/15/2017 7:25 PM |
| 3 | even in advanced industrial societies the treatment of site (home, office) locators is changing with (for example) unique Civic address codes and/or community postal boxes. what is needed is a field for contact, which my be other than a street address. | 9/15/2017 7:14 PM |
| 4 | I would suggest taking it but realistically not published for the whole world to see. Some countries may permit these to appear. I would however caution to keep it hidden and these are things that GDPR, even in the US and South Africa need explicit permission for. But taken with consent and preserved. | 9/14/2017 5:40 AM |
| 5 | It must "support" the collection of this data element - but for the avoidance of doubt, this is not to say I support its collection being mandatory. | 9/13/2017 10:17 AM |
| 6 | Postal address are not as reliable as before, especially in developing countries where we are encouraging more domain name businesses. | 9/13/2017 5:55 AM |
| 7 | Support is fine. | 9/13/2017 1:50 AM |
| 8 | with allowed N/A in cases where, State/Province or Postal Code is not available for the entity due to postal system or the way local regional division is implemented | 9/13/2017 1:19 AM |

Q3 Registrant Phone: During the 12 September WG call, those on the call expressed support for the following statement; no objections were raised on the call: The RDS must support Registrant Phone + Registrant Phone Ext (extension) data elements. Please indicate below whether you agree or disagree with this statement.

Answered: 25 Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|----------------|-----------|----|
| Agree | 92.00% | 23 |
| Disagree | 8.00% | 2 |
| TOTAL | | 25 |

| # | COMMENT OR PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE | DATE |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | The phone field must be vastly improved in a future RDS to better display numbers from outside the US for instance | 9/16/2017 3:26 PM |
| 2 | Again, I think the RDS must be able to support varied contact data, these are just two examples | 9/16/2017 7:40 AM |
| 3 | the mode of phone service is in flux and one may well have a URL but no phone, or only a proxy phone. | 9/15/2017 7:14 PM |
| 4 | Again i think it is important to have a verifiable and contactable phone. But for the same reasons of privacy what is in the RDS must not be explicitly publsied. | 9/14/2017 5:40 AM |
| 5 | It must "support" the collection of this data element - but for the avoidance of doubt, this is not to say I support its collection being mandatory. | 9/13/2017 10:17 AM |
| 6 | Phone numbers are relevant and efficient means of contacting anyone. | 9/13/2017 5:55 AM |
| 7 | Supporting these fields is fine. | 9/13/2017 1:50 AM |
| 8 | where the Registrant Phone Ext can be optional to fill | 9/13/2017 1:19 AM |