## RDS PDP WG Poll - 20 September

During our 20 September meeting, the RDS PDP WG resumed deliberation on the Users and Purposes Charter question: For what specific (legitimate) purposes should gTLD registration data elements be collected? Specifically, we reviewed previous agreements concerning legitimate purposes for Minimum Public Data Set (MPDS) collection and access and discussed whether listed purposes were for collection, access, or both.

This poll gives all WG members an opportunity to consider and express their views about one possible WG agreement identified during this call. This poll also seeks WG member input on whether that agreement has been met for the MPDS.

Poll results will be used to inform deliberation during future WG meetings and on-list, helping the entire WG better understand and then hopefully agree upon key concepts for purposes of registration data and directory services.

Any WG member who did not attend the 20 September WG meeting is expected to catch up on WG discussion before taking this poll. Meeting notes and materials, including transcripts and recordings, can be found here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/x/ZGfwAw">https://community.icann.org/x/ZGfwAw</a>

This poll will close at COB Saturday 23 September.

As <u>previously announced</u>, by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.

## \* 1. Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls)

If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so.
Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.
2. Purposes for Minimum Public Data Set (MPDS):
During the 20 September WC call, these on the call expressed support for the following statement: no objections were

During the 20 September WG call, those on the call expressed support for the following statement; no objections were raised on the call:

There must be at least one purpose for collecting each data element in the MPDS, and that purpose must be sufficient for making that data element public.

такту тас часа ететет ривне.
Please indicate below whether you agree or disagree with this statement.
Agree
Disagree

Comment or Proposed Alternative		

3. Are purposes identified thus far sufficient for the MPDS:				
Are the WG agreements on purpose(s) thus far - see WG agreements #5 through #13 listed in the 20 September meeting handout -				
sufficient to meet the requirement stated in Q2 above? In other words, have we defined at least one purpose for collecting every data				
element in the MPDS, sufficient for making all data elements in the MPDS public? Why or why not?				
Yes				
○ No				
Why or why not?				
Please click the Submit button below to record your responses.				
By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.				
Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on charter questions. Thank you for participating in this poll.				