

During our 5 September meeting, the RDS PDP WG continued deliberation on the Data Elements Charter question: *What gTLD registration data elements should be collected, stored, and disclosed?* Specifically, we considered slide 10 of the [29 August call handout](#), which explored several additional data elements defined by the 2013 RAA and recommended by the EWG Report, and then started deliberation on one element further described on slide 11: Registrant Type.

This poll gives all WG members an opportunity to express their views about Registrant Type. Poll results will be used to inform future deliberation, helping the entire WG better understand and then hopefully agree upon key concepts for or against inclusion of related data elements in the RDS.

*Any WG member who did not attend the 5 September WG meeting is expected to catch up on WG discussion before taking this poll. Meeting notes and materials, including transcripts and recordings, can be found here: <https://community.icann.org/x/YmfwAw>*

This poll will close at COB Saturday 9 September.

As previously announced, by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.

**\* 1. Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls)**

If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so.

Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.

**2. Registrant Type:**

In the the [29 August call handout](#), slide 11 presented the EWG's definition for a proposed Registrant Type data element. During the call, several possible uses for such a data element were identified, along with several possible implementation challenges. Ultimately the WG decided to put further deliberation of this data element on hold pending legal analysis of data protection laws and their application to registration data and directory services. In the interim, this poll question is intended to gather WG member thoughts on possible uses and challenges, so they may be recalled when deliberation on Registrant Type resumes.

To inform future deliberation, please use the comment box below to share your thoughts on Registrant Type, keeping in mind that our task in this phase is to assess the need for such a data element (e.g., for what would it be used, and how useful would it be).

**Please click the Submit button below to record your responses.**

*By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.*

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on charter questions. Thank you for participating in this poll.