RDS PDP WG Poll - 22 August

RDS PDP WG Poll - 22 August 2017

During our 22 August meeting, the RDS PDP WG continued deliberation on the Data Elements Charter question: What gTLD registration data elements should be collected, stored, and disclosed?

The purpose of this week's poll is to gauge the level of agreement on the RDS possibly supporting 5 data elements, which were mostly agreed on as per the <u>28 June poll</u> (reseller, URL of Internic complaint site, original registration date, registrar abuse contact email address and registrar abuse contact phone). Additionally, the poll is meant to determine the level of support for and objection against the RDS supporting other outstanding data elements also previously included in the <u>28 June poll</u>, however, the purpose in polling on these data elements now is to only serve as a starting point for further deliberation by the WG during next week's WG call.

Any WG member who did not attend the 22 August WG meeting is expected to catch up on WG discussion before taking this poll. Meeting notes and materials, including transcripts and recordings, can be found here: https://community.icann.org/x/W2fwAw.

This poll will close at COB Saturday 26 August.

As previously announced, by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.

| If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so. | |
|---|---------------|
| Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member. | |
| | |
| 2. Reseller: | |
| Reseller is defined by the 2013 RAA Whois Requirements (Section 1.24) and included in data elements recommended EWG Final Report (page 49). | nded by the |
| The proposed WG agreement you are asked to respond to in this poll question is:"Reseller must be supported by | y the RDS, |
| and must be provided for inclusion in the RDS by Registrars". Do you agree with this statement? | |
| I support this WG agreement | |
| I do not support this WG agreement (please explain in the comment box why you do not support) | |
| I would like to propose alternative wording for this WG agreement (please use the comment box to provide alternative wording for this V | WG agreement) |
| Other (please specify) | |
| | |
| | |

| 3. URL of Internic Complaint Site (ICANN WHOIS Data Problem Reporting System) | |
|--|------------------|
| URL of Internic Complaint Site is included in the response format defined by the 2013 RAA Whois Requirements | (Section 1.4.2), |
| see ICANN WHOIS Data Problem Reporting System) and included in data elements recommended by the EWO | Final Report |
| (page 49). | |
| The proposed WG agreement you are asked to respond to in this poll question is:"The URL of the Internic Cormust be supported for inclusion in the RDS". Do you agree with this statement? | nplaint Site |
| I support this WG agreement | |
| I do not support this WG agreement (please explain in the comment box why you do not support) | |
| I would like to propose alternative wording for this WG agreement (please use the comment box to provide alternative wording for the | s WG agreement) |
| Other (please specify) | |
| | |
| 4. Original Registration Date | |
| Original Registration Date is a new data element recommended by the EWG Final Report (pages 49 and 57). The agreement you are being asked to respond to in this poll question is: "The Original Registration Date must be inclusion in the RDS". Do you agree with this statement? | 1 |
| I support this WG agreement | |
| I do not support this WG agreement (please explain in the comment box why you do not support) | |
| I would like to propose alternative wording for this WG agreement (please use the comment box to provide alternative wording for the | is WG agreement) |
| Other (please specify) | |
| | |
| 5. Registrar Abuse Contact Email Address | |
| Registrar Abuse Contact Email Address is included in the response format defined by the 2013 RAA Whois Req 1.4.2) and included in data elements recommended by the EWG Final Report (page 49). The proposed WG agr being asked to respond to in this poll question is: "The Registrar Abuse Contact Email Address must be sup inclusion in the RDS, and must be provided by Registrars". Do you agree with this statement? | eement you are |
| I support this WG agreement | |
| I do not support this WG agreement (please explain in the comment box why you do not support) | |
| I would like to propose alternative wording for this WG agreement (please use the comment box to provide alternative wording for the | is WG agreement) |
| Other (please specify) | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| 6. Registrar Abuse Contact Phone Registrar Abuse Contact Phone is included in the response format defined by the 2013 RAA Whois Requirement and included in data elements recommended by the EWG Final Report (page 49). The proposed WG agreement asked to in this poll question is: "The Registrar Abuse Contact Phone must be supported for inclusion in the provided by Registrars". Do you agree with this statement? | nt you are being |
|--|------------------|
| I support this WG agreement | |
| I do not support this WG agreement (please explain in the comment box why you do not support) | |
| I would like to propose alternative wording for this WG agreement (please use comment box to provide alternative wording for this W | /G agreement) |
| Other (please specify) | |
| | |
| 7. The June 28 poll identified 5 data elements (alternative methods of contact) that most WG members who participated in the survey either disagreed with them being supported by and included in the RDS, or were unsure of whether or not they should be supported by and included in the RDS. These data elements were: | |
| Registrant Fax + Registrant Fax Ext Registrant SMS* Registrant IM* | |
| Registrant Social Media* | |
| Registrant Alt Social Media* | |
| * indicates data elements not in the 2013 RAA | |
| The complete list of data elements can be found in the <u>analysis of the 28 June poll results</u> | |
| Please indicate in the comment box which of these alternative contact methods you believe should be supported by the RDS, and please also include your rationale. Note that your response will only serve to inform deliberations during next week's WG call. Responses to this question will not, at this time, contribute to a WG agreement. | |
| | |
| Please click the Submit button below to record your responses. | |
| By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. | |
| Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on charter questions. Thank you for participating in this poll. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |