

During our 29 August meeting, the RDS PDP WG continued deliberation on the Data Elements Charter question: *What gTLD registration data elements should be collected, stored, and disclosed?* Specifically, we considered [22 August WG poll responses](#), which explored several additional data elements defined by the 2013 RAA and recommended by the EWG Report.

This poll gives all WG members an opportunity to consider and express their views about two more possible WG agreements, and to provide additional input to inform the next WG call. Please note that this poll covers only collection of data; it should NOT be assumed that data will be displayed if collected. Access to RDS data elements - and collection of other data elements not yet discussed - will be deliberated separately.

Poll results will be used to inform deliberation during the 5 September WG meeting and on-list, helping the entire WG better understand and then hopefully agree upon key concepts for or against inclusion of related data elements in the RDS.

Any WG member who did not attend the 29 August WG meeting is expected to catch up on WG discussion before taking this poll. Meeting notes and materials, including transcripts and recordings, can be found here: <https://community.icann.org/x/XmfwAw>

This poll will close at COB Saturday 2 September.

As previously announced, by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.

*** 1. Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls)**

If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so.

Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.

2. Reseller:

In the 22 August poll, initial rough consensus was achieved on the agreement " *Reseller must be supported by the RDS.* " However, several suggestions were made to further refine this possible agreement. As a result, we are now re-polling on the second part of this possible agreement - specifically, to determine whether Reseller "MUST" or "MAY" be provided for inclusion in the RDS. Please check the response below which best reflects your opinion about this agreement:

- a) Reseller Name must be supported by the RDS, and MUST be provided for inclusion in the RDS by Registrars. Note: There may be a chain of Resellers identified by Reseller Name.
- b) Reseller Name must be supported by the RDS, and MAY be provided for inclusion in the RDS by Registrars. Note: There may be a chain of Resellers identified by Reseller Name.
- c) I agree that Reseller Name must be supported by the RDS, but propose alternative wording given in comment box below.
- d) I do not agree that Reseller Name must be supported by the RDS.
- e) Unsure/No Opinion.

Comment or Proposed Alternative

3. Registrar Abuse Contact(s):

In the 22 August poll, strong support was voiced for Registrar Abuse Contact Email (80% support), with less support for Registrar Abuse Contact Phone (68%). During the WG call, several suggestions were made to further refine this possible agreement. As a result, we are now re-polling on the following possible alternative agreement: "*Per recently-approved consensus policy on consistent labeling and display (<https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/rdds-labeling-policy-2017-02-01-en>), BOTH the Registrar Abuse Contact Email and Registrar Abuse Contact Phone must be supported for inclusion in the RDS, and MUST be provided by Registrars.*" Please check the response below which best reflects your opinion about this agreement:

- a) I support this WG agreement.
- b) I support this WG agreement, but propose alternative wording given in the comment box below.
- c) I do not support this WG agreement. (Please explain why in the comment box below.)
- d) Unsure/No Opinion.

Comment or Proposed Alternative

4. Alternative Method(s) of Contact:

In the 22 August poll, input was gathered on several EWG-recommended alternative methods of contact with Registrants:

* Registrant Fax + Fax Ext,

* Registrant SMS

* Registrant IM

* Registrant Social Media/Alt Social Media.

Definitions for these existing and new data elements can be found [here](#).

In addition to comments on these specific data elements, poll results included several general suggestions about alternative method(s) of contact. The following poll question is intended to gather further input on those suggestions, to inform deliberations during next week's call. Please check the response below which best reflects your opinion. Note: Responses to this question will not be viewed as support for any agreement at this time, and will be used in combination with responses to the 22 August poll, as a starting point for WG deliberation.

- a) In the interest of maximizing contactability, all of the above-listed elements should be supported by the RDS and be optional for Registrants to provide.
- b) In the interest of maximizing contactability, some of the above-listed elements should be supported by the RDS and be optional for Registrants to provide. (Please list supported elements in the comment box below.)
- c) In the interest of maximizing contactability with future extensibility, additional contact methods should be supported by the RDS as an open-ended list and be optional for Registrants to provide.
- d) I agree that some or all of the above-listed elements should be supported by the RDS, but wish to propose an alternative approach given in the comment box below.
- e) None of the above-listed elements should be supported by the RDS. (Please explain in comment box below.)
- f) Unsure/No Opinion.

Comment or Proposed Alternative

Please click the Submit button below to record your responses.

By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on charter questions. Thank you for participating in this poll.