

During our 16 August meeting, the RDS PDP WG continued deliberation on the Data Elements Charter question: *What gTLD registration data elements should be collected, stored, and disclosed?* Specifically, we considered [8 August poll responses](#), which explored possible requirements for alternative or preferred methods of contact.

This poll aims to confirm views on the proposed WG agreement that was discussed during the meeting on 16 August based on the responses to the poll. Please note that this poll covers only collection of data; it should NOT be assumed that data will be displayed if collected. Access to RDS data elements - and collection of other data elements not yet discussed - will be deliberated separately.

Furthermore, during the 16 August meeting, the RDS PDP WG continued its discussion regarding purpose based contacts (PBCs). This poll also aims to test support for a possible WG agreement on this topic.

Poll results will be used to inform deliberation during the 22 August WG meeting and on-list, helping the entire WG better understand and then hopefully agree upon key concepts for or against inclusion of related data elements in the RDS.

*Any WG member who did not attend the 16 August WG meeting is expected to catch up on WG discussion before taking this poll. Meeting notes and materials, including transcripts and recordings, can be found here: <https://community.icann.org/x/WmfAw>*

This poll will close at COB Saturday 19 August.

As previously announced, by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.

**\* 1. Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls)**

If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so.

Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.

2. Please indicate whether you support the following proposed WG agreement in relation to alternative contact methods. Please note that this proposed WG agreement should be considered in the context of previous WG agreements, especially WG agreement #29 ("At a minimum, one or more e-mail addresses must be collected for every domain name included in the RDS, for contact roles that require an e-mail address for contractibility"). The proposed WG agreement you are asked to respond to in this poll question concerning alternative contact methods is as follows: "In order to provide resiliency to overcome communication failure, at least one alternative contact method (possibly multiple alternative contact methods) MUST be supported by the RDS as an optional field(s)".

- I support this WG agreement
- I do not support this WG agreement (please explain in the comment box why you do not support)
- I would like to propose alternative wording for this WG agreement (please use comment box to provide alternative wording for this WG agreement)

Comment box

3. Please indicate your support for the following possible WG agreement and/or provide alternative options for the WG to consider in relation to Purpose Based Contacts (PBCs). For the definitions of the PBCs under consideration, please review the following document: [PBC definitions from EWG report](#). The proposed WG agreement you are asked to respond to in this poll question is "PBC types identified (Admin, Legal, Technical, Abuse, Proxy/Privacy, Business) must be supported by the RDS but optional for registrants to provide."

- I support this WG agreement
- I do not support this WG agreement (please explain in the comment box why you do not support)
- I would like to propose alternative wording for this WG agreement (please use the comment box to provide alternative wording for this WG agreement)

Comment box

**Please click the Submit button below to record your responses.**

*By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.*

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on charter questions. Thank you for participating in this poll.