# Q1 Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls)   If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so. Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.

Answered: 30   Skipped: 0

#	Responses	Date
1	Nathalie Coupet	8/12/2017 10:17 AM
2	Tom Lancaster	8/12/2017 2:32 AM
3	Benjamin Akinmoyeje	8/11/2017 5:30 PM
4	Carlton Samuels	8/11/2017 4:12 PM
5	Travis Farral	8/11/2017 3:47 PM
6	Brian Gosch	8/11/2017 3:22 PM
7	Marc Anderson	8/11/2017 2:26 PM
8	Alex Deacon	8/11/2017 12:54 PM
9	Steve Metalitz	8/11/2017 10:56 AM
10	Volker Greimann	8/11/2017 6:39 AM
11	Ayden Férdeline	8/10/2017 5:32 PM
12	Roger Carney	8/10/2017 12:11 PM
13	Sara Bockey	8/10/2017 12:10 PM
14	Vlad Dinculescu	8/10/2017 3:30 AM
15	Kal Feher	8/9/2017 6:05 PM
16	Farell FOLLY	8/9/2017 10:53 AM
17	Rod Rasmussen	8/9/2017 10:44 AM
18	Denny Watson	8/9/2017 10:03 AM
19	Michael Peddemors	8/9/2017 8:56 AM
20	Jeremy Malcolm	8/9/2017 8:53 AM
21	Erica Varlese	8/9/2017 8:44 AM
22	Maxim Alzoba	8/9/2017 8:26 AM
23	Michael Hammer	8/9/2017 7:43 AM
24	Chuck Gomes	8/9/2017 7:22 AM
25	Richard Leaning	8/9/2017 6:11 AM
26	Michele Neylon	8/9/2017 5:02 AM
27	Krishna Seeburn (Kris)	8/9/2017 1:54 AM
28	Jonathan matkowsky	8/8/2017 10:37 PM
29	Greg Aaron	8/8/2017 8:35 PM
30	John Bambenek	8/8/2017 8:10 PM

Q2 During the WG call, several WG members supported resiliency to communication failure as a purpose for collecting alternative contact methods. Although there was no opposition voiced on the call, several WG members indicated in chat that they only supported this as a voluntary option. To better understand support for possible WG agreements related to this concept, please indicate all of the following answer(s) IF ANY that you could agree with (or suggest an alternative in the comment box):

Answered: 30   Skipped: 0

Answer Choices	Responses	
a) In order to provide resiliency to overcome communication failure, at least one alternative contact method MUST be supported by the RDS; collection of at least one alternative method must be mandatory.	40.00%	12
b) In order to provide resiliency to overcome communication failure, at least one alternative contact method MUST be supported by the RDS; collection of any alternative contact method should be optional.	16.67%	5
c) In order to provide resiliency to overcome communication failure, multiple alternative contact method(s) MUST be supported by the RDS; the method(s) that must be supported and whether or not collection of each method is optional remains to be determined through further deliberation.	46.67%	14
d) In order to provide resiliency to overcome communication failure, multiple alternative contact method(s) SHOULD be supported by the RDS; the method(s) that should be supported and whether or not collection of each supported method is optional remains to be determined through further deliberation.	26.67%	8
e) I do not agree that resiliency is a reason for specifying any RDS requirements for alternative contact method(s).	6.67%	2
f) Unsure, No Opinion, or Propose Alternative given in comment box below	16.67%	5

Total Respondents: 30

#	Proposed Alternative	Date
1	Proposed WB agreement #30 states "In addition to email address, data enabling one alternative method of contact must be collected and included in the RDS." I don't see any of the options above as improvements to that statement.	8/11/2017 2:26 PM
2	c) is my preference. As it reflects existing policy. i.e. requires collection of email, phone and physical address data. a) looks to be OK also as it seems to imply 1 mandatory to collect contact method be specified with two alternative contact methods that are mandatory be collected. (i.e. 3 in total)	8/11/2017 12:54 PM
3	I read (a) as: mandatory to collect at least two methods. I read (c) as: at least three methods must be supported but TBD whether more than one is mandatory to collect. My view is that it should be mandatory to collect at least three methods (email, phone, postal/physical) with the potential for more to be supported.	8/11/2017 10:56 AM
4	Why is this field labelled "Proposed Alternative"? Talk about having a pre-determined outcome in mind for the poll. How about, "Rationale for Comment".	8/10/2017 5:32 PM
5	In order to provide contactability resiliency, multiple alternative contact method(s) and related data MUST be supported by the RDS; Registrar business practice(s) would determine any and all alternative collection requirements, including supported method(s).	8/10/2017 12:11 PM
6	In order to provide contactability resiliency, multiple alternative contact method(s) and related data MUST be supported by the RDS; Registrar business practice(s) would determine any and all alternative collection requirements, including supported method(s).	8/10/2017 12:10 PM
7	I don't have issues with this, but I'm not 100% sure that centralising all this data is such a good idea.	8/9/2017 5:02 AM
8	We need to clear that the required contacts or alternate ways are valid hand verifiable in case of abuse. But at east one contact should be valid in the rds and preserved as privacy and the registrar should be able to keep the details but again the contacts should be under strict scrutiny.	8/9/2017 1:54 AM
9	In order to provide resiliency to overcome communication failure, more than one contact method MUST be supported by the RDS; collection of more than one alternative method must be mandatory.	8/8/2017 8:35 PM