

## RDS PDP WG Poll - 8 August

During our 8 August meeting, the RDS PDP WG continued deliberation on the Data Elements Charter question: *What gTLD registration data elements should be collected, stored, and disclosed?* Specifically, we considered [1 August poll responses](#), which explored several possible requirements for alternative or preferred methods of contact.

This poll further unpacks one concept discussed during the WG call, giving all WG members an opportunity to consider and express their views about several alternative possible WG agreements related to that concept. Please note that this poll covers only collection of data; it should NOT be assumed that data will be displayed if collected. Access to RDS data elements - and collection of other data elements not yet discussed - will be deliberated separately.

Poll results will be used to inform deliberation during the 16 August WG meeting and on-list, helping the entire WG better understand and then hopefully agree upon key concepts for or against inclusion of related data elements in the RDS.

*Any WG member who did not attend the 8 August WG meeting is expected to catch up on WG discussion before taking this poll. Meeting notes and materials, including transcripts and recordings, can be found here: <https://community.icann.org/x/WGfwAw>*

This poll will close at COB Saturday 12 August.

As [previously announced](#), by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.

**\* 1. Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls)**

If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so.

Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.

2. During the WG call, several WG members supported resiliency to communication failure as a purpose for collecting alternative contact methods. Although there was no opposition voiced on the call, several WG members indicated in chat that they only supported this as a voluntary option. To better understand support for possible WG agreements related to this concept, please indicate all of the following answer(s) IF ANY that you could agree with (or suggest an alternative in the comment box):

- a) In order to provide resiliency to overcome communication failure, at least one alternative contact method MUST be supported by the RDS; collection of at least one alternative method must be mandatory.
- b) In order to provide resiliency to overcome communication failure, at least one alternative contact method MUST be supported by the RDS; collection of any alternative contact method should be optional.
- c) In order to provide resiliency to overcome communication failure, multiple alternative contact method(s) MUST be supported by the RDS; the method(s) that must be supported and whether or not collection of each method is optional remains to be determined through further deliberation.
- d) In order to provide resiliency to overcome communication failure, multiple alternative contact method(s) SHOULD be supported by the RDS; the method(s) that should be supported and whether or not collection of each supported method is optional remains to be determined through further deliberation.
- e) I do not agree that resiliency is a reason for specifying any RDS requirements for alternative contact method(s).
- f) Unsure, No Opinion, or Propose Alternative given in comment box below

Proposed Alternative

**Please click the Submit button below to record your responses.**

*By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.*

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on charter questions. Thank you for participating in this poll.