During our 1 August meeting, the RDS PDP WG continued deliberation on the Data Elements Charter question: *What gTLD registration data elements should be collected, stored, and disclosed?* Specifically, we considered 25 July poll responses, concluding that rough consensus had been reached on several proposed WG agreements. However, one proposed WG agreement generated considerable discussion: *Data enabling one or more alternative or preferred methods of contact may also be optionally collected and included in the RDS.*

This poll unpacks and explores several possible key concepts embodied in the previously-polled text, giving all WG members an opportunity to consider and express their views about each. Please note that this poll covers only collection of data; it should NOT be assumed that data will be displayed if collected. Access to RDS data elements - and collection of other data elements not yet discussed - will be deliberated separately.

Poll results will be used to inform deliberation during the 8 August WG meeting and on-list, helping the entire WG better understand and then hopefully agree upon key concepts for or against inclusion of related data elements in the RDS.

> *Any WG member who did not attend the 1 August WG meeting is expected to catch up on WG discussion before taking this poll. Meeting notes and materials, including transcripts and recordings, can be found here: https://community.icann.org/x/VWfwAw*

This poll will close at COB Saturday 5 August.

As previously announced, by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.

* 1. **Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls)**

If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so.

Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.

[   ]

2. Should alternative or preferred contacts be required in the RDS?

In the 25 July poll, significant support and little opposition was expressed for the following proposed WG agreement:

  Q6 b) Data enabling one or more alternative or preferred methods of contact may also be optionally collected and included in the RDS.

The above phrasing was preferred roughly 2:1 to the following alternative phrasing:

  Q6 a) In addition to email address, data enabling one alternative method of contact must be collected and included in the RDS.

Given significant support for these alternatives but difficulty in converging on phrasing, the WG discussed rationale for/against these alternatives, along with a third alternative proposed during the call:

  Q6 -) Data enabling two or more alternative or preferred methods of contact may also be optionally collected and included in the RDS.

To inform deliberation on granular key concepts which may be combined in these alternatives, please check below all of the following statement(s) IF ANY that you could support, using the comment box to explain why or suggest alternatives.

Note: The number and type of contact method(s) that might be required should not be assumed and will be addressed by other questions.

☐   a) For resiliency, data enabling alternative or preferred method(s) of contact should be included in the RDS and optional to collect.

☐   b) For resiliency, data enabling alternative or preferred method(s) of contact must be included in the RDS and optional to collect.

☐   c) For resiliency, data enabling alternative or preferred method(s) of contact must be included in the RDS and mandatory to collect.

☐   d) There should be no requirement for data enabling alternative or preferred method(s) of contact in the RDS.

☐   e) Unsure, No Opinion, or Propose Alternative given in comment box below

Proposed Alternative

[   ]

3. If so, how many alternative or preferred contact values should be required in the RDS?

Please check below all of the following statement(s) IF ANY that you could support, using the comment box to explain why or suggest alternatives:

Note: The type(s) of contact method(s) that might be required should not be assumed and will be addressed by another question.

☐   a) Disagreed with Q2 concepts, so not applicable

☐   b) At least one (and possibly more) alternative or preferred contact values must be required in the RDS

☐   c) At least two (and possibly more) alternative or preferred contact values must be required in the RDS

☐   d) At most one alternative or preferred contact values must be required in the RDS

☐   e) At most two alternative or preferred contact values must be required in the RDS

☐   f) There should be no specific requirement for a minimum or maximum number of alternative or preferred contact values

☐   g) Unsure, No Opinion, or Propose Alternative given in comment box below

Proposed Alternative or Rationale for your answers

[   ]

4. If so, what type(s) of alternative or preferred contact method(s) should be required in the RDS?

Please check below all of the following statement(s) IF ANY that you could support, using the comment box to explain why or suggest alternatives:

Note: The number of contact alternative(s) that might be required should not be assumed and will be addressed by another question.

☐   a) Disagreed with Q2 concepts, so not applicable

☐   b) Alternative or preferred contact data must include additional email address(es)

☐   c) Alternative or preferred contact data must include telephone number(s) to receive voice calls

☐   d) Alternative or preferred contact data must include telephone number(s) to receive text messages

☐   e) Alternative or preferred contact data must include fax number(s)

☐   f) Alternative or preferred contact data must include postal address(es)

☐   g) Alternative or preferred contact data must include contact method(s) other than those listed above - please use comment box to propose new method(s)

☐   h) There should be no specific requirement for alternative or preferred contact methods

☐   i) Unsure, No Opinion, or Propose Alternative given in comment box below

Proposed Alternative or Rationale for your answers

[ ]

5. If you support requirements for alternative or preferred contact method(s) in the RDS, what is the purpose of collecting this data?

[ ]

Please click the Submit button below to record your responses.

By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on charter questions. Thank you for participating in this poll.