Continuing our deliberation beyond "minimum public data set"

a) **Charter Question:** "What data should be collected, stored and disclosed?" focusing on identifying set of data required in the RDS first

b) See poll results from 18 July and 28 June:
   - https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/66086734/AnnotatedResults-Poll-from-18JulyCall.pdf (last week's poll)
   - https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/66086729/AnalysisResults-Poll-from-28JuneCall.pdf (prior week's poll)

c) Develop key concepts related to
   - Question 2: Registrant Name/Org
   - Question 3: Registrant Country
   - Question 4: Registrant Email Address

d) Deliberate on other "contact" data elements that were broadly supported:
   - Admin Contact
   - Technical Contact
   - Registrar Abuse Contact
   - Privacy/Proxy Contact

Question 2: Registrant Name/Organization

From the 2013 RAA:
- The Registrant is the entity that has acquired the right to use the Internet resource. A Domain Name Registrant is the person or organization who has registered the domain name, also referred to as a Registered Name Holder.
- For Registrant fields requiring a "Name" or "Organization", the output must include either the name or organization (or both, if available)

Question 2 Result Summary

Answer Choices	Responses	
a) The existing data elements Registrant Name and Registrant Organization must be included as RDS data elements. As today, either Name or Organization must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration.	47%	9
b) The existing data elements Registrant Name and Registrant Organization must be included in RDS data elements. Registrant Name must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration. Registrant Organization must be optional to collect.	21%	4
c) A new data element Registrant must be included in RDS data elements, replacing ONLY the existing Registrant Name data element. Registrant must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration. The existing data element Registrant Organization should be deleted as redundant.	5%	1
d) A new data element Registrant must be included in RDS data elements, replacing ONLY the existing Registrant Name data element. Registrant must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration. The existing data element Registrant Organization must be included in RDS data elements but become optional to collect.	42%	8
e) A new data element Registrant must be included in RDS data elements, replacing BOTH the existing Registrant Name and Registrant Organization data elements. Registrant must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration.	26%	5
f) Registrant Name and Registrant Organization must never be included in RDS data elements to be collected, even if these values are not made publicly accessible.	5%	1
g) Unsure, No Opinion, or Other Answer as described in comment box below	11%	2
Total Respondents: 19 https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/66086734/AnnotatedResults-Poll-from-18JulyCall.pdf		

Based on poll results (including comments), possible key concepts might be

i)   RDS policy must include a definition for every gTLD registration data element, including both a semantic definition and a syntax definition.

ii) At least one element identifying the domain name registrant (i.e., registered name holder) must be collected and included in the RDS.

Question 3: Registrant Country Code

- According to the 2013 RAA, the format of the country field must conform to the mappings specified in EPP RFCs 5730-5734 (or its successors).
- According to RFC 5733 (EPP Contact Mapping), contact country identifiers are represented using two-character identifiers specified in [ISO3166-1].

Question 3 Result Summary

Answer Choices	Responses	
a) Registrant Country must be included in RDS data elements; it must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration.	79%	15
b) Registrant Country must be included in RDS data elements; it must be optional to collect for each domain name registration.	5%	1
c) Registrant Country must never be included in RDS data elements to be collected, even if these values are not made publicly accessible.	11%	2
d) Unsure, No Opinion, or Other Answer as described in comment box below	5%	1
Total https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/66086734/AnnotatedResults-Poll-from-18JulyCall.pdf		19

By rough consensus, option a) be treated as a WG Agreement

iii) Registrant Country must be included in RDS data elements; it must be mandatory to collect for every domain registration.

Question 4: Registrant Email Address

- This is an operational email address that can be used to contact the Registrant.
- According to the 2013 RAA, the format of the email address field must conform to the mappings specified in EPP RFCs 5730-5734 (or its successors).
- According to RFC 5733 (EPP Contact Mapping), email address syntax is defined in [RFC5322]

Question 4 Result Summary

Answer Choices	Responses	
a) A new data element, Registrant Contact, must be included in RDS data elements, replacing the existing Registrant Email Address data element. Within Registrant Contact, at least one address enabling contact (email or [other addresses yet to be discussed]) must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration.	42%	8
b) A new data element, Registrant Contact, must be included in RDS data elements, replacing the existing Registrant Email address data element. Registrant Contact must be optional to collect for each domain name registration.	5%	1
c) The existing data element Registrant Email Address must remain a separate data element in the RDS, and must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration.	47%	9
d) The existing data element Registrant Email Address must never be included in RDS data elements to be collected, even if this value is not made publicly accessible.	5%	1
e) Unsure, No Opinion, or Other Answer as described in comment box below	0%	0
Total https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/66086734/AnnotatedResults-Poll-from-18JulyCall.pdf		19

In addition, contact data modeling was discussed in last week's call and on-list

Based on poll results (including comments), possible key concepts might be

iv) Data enabling at least one way to contact the registrant must be collected and included in the RDS.

v) At minimum, the registrant's email address must be collected and included in the RDS.

vi) Data enabling one alternative or preferred method of contact may also be optionally collected and included in the RDS.

Deliberate on other "contact" data elements that were broadly supported

To continue, let's next consider these data elements:
- Admin Contact
- Technical Contact
- Registrar Abuse Contact
- Privacy/Proxy Contact

Mostly Agreed Data Elements

https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/66086729/AnalysisResults-Poll-from-28JuneCall.pdf

Q	Data Element	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral/Unsure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree		Support
2	Registrant Name	20	7	2	1	5	35	36
3	Registrant Organization	20	7	2	2	4	35	37
13	Registrant Country	20	8	2	2	3	35	40
16	Registrant Email Address	21	7	3	1	3	35	42
25	Admin Contact and Contact ID	17	9	5	1	2	34	38
27	Technical Contact and Contact ID	19	6	7	2	1	35	40
29	Privacy/Proxy Provider Contact and Contact ID*	15	10	8	0	2	35	36
32	Reseller	14	11	8	0	1	34	37
33	Registrar Abuse Contact Email Address	22	11	0	0	2	35	51
34	Registrar Abuse Contact Phone	20	10	2	1	2	35	45
35	URL of Internic Complaint Site (ICANN WHOIS Data Problem Reporting System)	17	12	4	0	2	35	42
39	Original Registration Date*	16	7	6	3	2	34	32

* indicates data element not in 2013 RAA

Score: Sum of SA=2, agree=1, disagree=1, SD=2

Are these contact types (roles) needed?
How do they differ from each other?
How might they differ from the registrant?

Contact Type	Potential Responsibilities
Admin	Handling requests related to domain name acquisition and sale, such as purchase inquiries and domain name transfers.
Technical	Handling requests about this domain name related to problems with website outages, DNS issues, mail delivery issues, etc.
Abuse	Handling DNS abuse reports about this domain name, including phishing, spam, and other harmful Internet activities.
Privacy Proxy	Handling requests for relay/reveal, fielding complaints about domain name abuse on behalf of the Registrant/Licensee, complying with LEA investigations into criminal activities.

From EWG Report, Table 5. Potential Responsibilities for each Contact

> <u>As discussed in 18 July WG call</u>
> The same values are often but not always found in WHOIS records today
> for one or more of these contact points: Registrant, Admin, Technical