

AC Chat Next-Gen RDS PDP WG Tuesday, 18 June 2017

Julie Bisland: Welcome to the GNSO Next-Gen RDS PDP Working Group call on Tuesday, 18 July 2017 at 16:00 UTC

Julie Bisland: Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_SWfwAw&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=VcnCL_DNxQy5Df6iup-9SfD7FFEAtOz0qs86wE-h2_Y&s=whcaoFMCCmWx5xKOVzpokTdfY13gEgAgkez0ywclk_M&e=

Chuck Gomes: Thanks to all of you who joined early.

Michele Neylon: all hail

Nathalie Coupet: Congratulations, Chuck

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): Hello All

Herb Wayne Ombuds: Sorry for being late... that Internet thing was giving me grief...

Rod Rasmussen: Great work Lisa - makes it very easy to walk through.

Lisa Phifer: Document displayed now is Analysis of Poll Results:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_download_attachments_66086729_AnalysisResults-2DPoll-2Dfrom-2D28JuneCall.pdf&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=VcnCL_DNxQy5Df6iup-9SfD7FFEAtOz0qs86wE-h2_Y&s=WivSzL-US7gl7vs9jNi8tCgrmXN3c82PXsLdXWfeKWM&e=

Sara Bockey: YI - I will need to drop at 16:30 UTC but will rejoin the call as soon as I can.

Elaine Pruis: the first time it was ever registered... meaningful to buyers on the secondary market

Lisa Phifer: Refer to handout: The date on which this domain name was first registered... the first date that the domain name was ever registered.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): how to check if the field 39 is correct or not?

Stephanie Perrin: A relevant question is what is the purpose of collecting it in terms of the overall purpose of gathering registration data.

Stephanie Perrin: barely

Stephanie Perrin: hear

steve metalitz: sam is very faint

David Cake: very quiet

Julie Bisland: Sam, we might need to dial out to you, please let me know.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): usually Tech contact = Admin = billing

Michael Hammer: Quite often it is not the same.

Michele Neylon: more often than not it's the same as the admin

Michele Neylon: The "reseller" field is probably more useful

sam lanfranco: the ngo sector uses original date to uncover scams targeting ngos. We get impressive webpages on "donors" where the page has been customized to scam us.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): +1 @Michele about reseller

Stephanie Perrin: It was one of these contacts that prompted me to start asking whatever happened to the OPOC..... why not an OPOC instead of umpteen different contacts

Lisa Phifer: We sampled the domain names collected by NORC for their Registrant ID study, and Admin and Tech contact differed in something like one third of registrations

Benny Samuelson / Nordreg AB: sound are dropping on and off

Marika Konings: @Benny - sounds via the phone bridge is stable on this end. You may need to reconnect your phone line or reconnect to Adobe Connect.

steve metalitz: agree with that action item -- defining data elements

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): the more unrelvnt fields we have the moew unrelvant info is in DNS

Lisa Phifer:From EWG Report (pg 57): Original Registration Date: The date on which this domain name was first registered. Footnote: This is different than the creation date since the creation date picks up the latest time that the domain name was registered; it is possible that the domain name was previously registered and subsequently deleted multiple times. The Original Registration Date denotes the first date that the domain name was ever registered.

Michele Neylon:Lisa - can you remember why we added that? I honestly can't

Stephanie Perrin:uesful in copyright fights, surely?

Lisa Phifer:@Michele, agree w Stephanie's recollection

Rod Rasmussen:Some of the comments on the list captured the rationale we used at the time. This was particularly important for IP issues on first-use of a name.

Michele Neylon:Rod - ok - I just couldn't remember where on earth it came from

Lisa Phifer:@Michele, purposes for that data element were DN control, Biz DN Purchase/Sale, DNS Research, Reg/Contract Enforcement, Criminal Investigation/DNS Abuse Mitigation

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): I am not sure Registrars upload such data into escrow

steve metalitz:@Stephanie, yes but does that make ICANN the controller for EU data protection purposes -- or not?

Stephanie Perrin:I bet they don't.

Stephanie Perrin:Yes, I would suggest that it does Steve.

Stephanie Perrin:old hand sorry

Michele Neylon:Maxim - which data??

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):those fin /ID elements are subject to Registrar - registranr relations and are not to be inherited

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):@Michele, I think I misunderstood last bit of the speech with escrow

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):of Stephanie

Michele Neylon:so what happens if the registrar goes belly up? without the registrant name in the escrowed data there's no way to know who the domain is registered to

Michele Neylon:Or in the data set in the RDS

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):@Michele, I meant that financial details are not to be there

Michele Neylon:Maxim - that makes sense

Michele Neylon:As a registrar I need to know who the hell my client is

Michele Neylon:I'm not going to tell anyone

Michele Neylon:but I need to know

Michele Neylon:it has to be collected

Michele Neylon:domains aren't websites

Michele Neylon:*sigh*

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):from the perspective of GDPR THIN data looks bit safer (then THICK) for all of us

...

steve metalitz:@Stephanie, ICANN may "control" whether the registrar needs to collect it, but not necessarily the terms of disclosure. For example, where there is a subpoena or court order. So in that sense I would question whether ICANN is the "controller."

neil schwartzman:agree with Rod

Stephanie Perrin:I think Rod's intervention is very helpful to our understanding of exactly what we are doing at this phase of the discussion.

Stephanie Perrin:So hard to keep analytical clarity as we go through these data elements

Nathalie Coupet:I registered a website earlier and was sent an email address verification. So, my e-mail address was collected. Privacy protection should be enough to protect vulnerable populations (political opponents) while allowing people to know who they are dealing with (the registrar/proxy/the public in general), in order to keep the Internet safe. The issue of 'fake news' is creaping up also, and could

become an epidemic. By publishing the identity of the website owner (or legally responsible party), who preserve one control mechanism over the unruly Internet.

Michael Hammer:+1 to what Rod said.

Nathalie Coupet:Correction: we preserve

Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:@nathalie you registered a website?

Nathalie Coupet:yes

Nathalie Coupet:I am an opera singer

Benjamin Akinmoyeje (Nigeria):I appreciate the clarification

Lisa Phifer:In the EWG Report, Registrant Name is mandatory to collect but gated to display

Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:@Nathalie the website have nothing direct to do with the domain

Stephanie Perrin:I think the GDPR (and all/most other data protection laws) allow for release for legal purposes, and recognition of the different legal regimes permits would preclude ICANN from intervening in such matters and telling registrar and registries how they respond to law enforcement. So ICANN and the contracted parties are joint controllers, if you prefer to refer to it the issue that way.....nevertheless I would argue that with respect to the current RAA, anything in there as data element requirements is under ICANN controllership.\

Lisa Phifer:For a proxy-registered domain name, the REGistrant is the Proxy Provider, thus Registrant Name = Proxy Provider

Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:you can point at domain to a website but that are not the same as registering a domain

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):these days people change names to all kinds of things ... I wonder what happens to the person called Privacy Proxy :)

Lisa Phifer:@Marc, for a privacy-registered domain name, the registrant is the beneficial user, but PP Contact is the Privacy Provider

Kris Seeburn:I would assume that ICANN would have to adhere to EU GDPR as a data controller.....

Lisa Phifer:@Marc, having a PP Contact ID is a definitive way to determine the domain is proxy/privacy registered - otherwise you may not be able to tell from the Registrant Name that it's a PP provider

Lisa Phifer>Note there is also a Registrant Contact ID - it didn't have as strong support and so isn't displayed on this page

Michael Hammer:We need a regulation prohibiting people from putting nonsense in fields. </humor>

Stephanie Perrin:Issues of data quality are important and I would argue that the more data you go for, the more junk you get. But we are not there at the moment, we are in design mode....\

Michael Hammer:Interesting thought... block chain for "name".

Tapani Tarvainen:+1 Chuck. "Label" works for me.

Stephanie Perrin:It is logical to think in terms of true name, so yes that might be helpful

Michael Hammer:or just call it Registrant

Lisa Phifer:Per 2013 RAA: For the Registrant, Admin and Tech contact fields requiring a "Name" or "Organization", the output must include either the name or organization (or both, if available)

steve metalitz:++1 to Michael

Benjamin Akinmoyeje (Nigeria):I agree with that

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Michael

Alex Deacon:Agree "Registrant" is good.

Kris Seeburn:Just call it Registrant

neil schwartzman:i am opposed.. anyone who doesn't understand what a name is probably should be trusted with an asset on the internet

neil schwartzman:shouldn't ^^

Lisa Phifer:Per 2013 RAA: Registrant is the entity that has acquired the right to use the Internet resource (here, Domain Name)

Lisa Phifer:@Rod, roles currently displayed are then "Registrant" "Admin Contact" and "Tech Contact"
Rod Rasmussen:@Lisa - correct - under current registration policies. EWG proposed other new, more well-defined roles, and that a contact could have multiple roles.

Lisa Phifer:@Chuck, Registrant is defined, we can start with that definition.

Lisa Phifer:@Marc, data elements may or may not be mandatory to collect

Lisa Phifer:In the EWG report, you can see the status given to each element, but that's for discussion here

Lisa Phifer:For example, see page 50 of EWG Report, Collect M or O column

Michael Hammer:I'm curious, what if someone puts something like "~!@#%\$" as registrant?

Michael Hammer:Does anyone have an issue with that?

Lisa Phifer:@Michael, the EWG also recommended pre-validation of contacts to avoid that scenario, but we're not deliberating that yet

Benny Samuelson / Nordreg AB:Well you would probably get a problem sending id for verification in a dispute

steve metalitz:@Lisa, I thought the current exercise was to list the the data elements that would have to be collected (but not necessarily disclosed).

Michael Hammer:What if ~!@#%\$ responds to ~!@#%\$@<domain>?

Lisa Phifer:@Steve, data elements that are possible to collect - may be mandatory or optional

Lisa Phifer:@Steve, as in isould it be a registration data element in the RDS

steve metalitz:@Lisa if it is not collected in what sense is it an element n RDS?

Lisa Phifer:What I mean Steve is that an optional data element might or might not be collected for a particular Domain Name, but it's in the universe of data that can be collected

tim obren:hello all, appologies for the absence & being tardy - \$dayjob :(

Michael Hammer:Clearly there should be an option to not collect - What if there is no organization involved?

Stephanie Perrin:sorry

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):what to do if the person has few organisations related to him/her?

Nathalie Coupet:I feel we are trying to find braod general rules based on very special and isolated cases. We have to create a regimen to allow for exceptions, not craft geenal rules based on special cases.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Countries ... what to do when the person chnges loctions each few months?

Michele Neylon:Maxim - that's an edge case

Michele Neylon:I used to change address a lot, but my official home address was stable

Michael Hammer:What to do if the person isn't located on the planet earth?

Tapani Tarvainen:.skynet?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):also Country does not mean citizenship

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):for those who are not on Earth , psaceport and PObox

Nathalie Coupet:Allison Nixon isn't on teh call today, but I'm sure she would oppose any attempt not to collect data she considers crucial for her work.

Michele Neylon:Maxim - nobody said it did?

steve metalitz:@Michael, re ~!@#%\$, are you being euphemistic? If so see

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.cnet.com_news_domain-2Dnames-2Dget-2Ddirty_&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=VcnCL_DNxQy5Df6iup-9SfD7FFEAtOz0qs86wE-h2_Y&s=UZeyNrXXu8iKpu_IkfaeWKzmXAR4jMOHpjOnmuP7TbA&e=

Michael Hammer:@steve, I was just pondering if it needs to be alpha or alphanumeric. No intent to represent swear words.

Lisa Phifer:@Marc, that is why the EWG included other kinds of contact information, all of which were optional, so each contact could populate their preferred methods, but email would always be available at minimum

Michael Hammer:It's just a label. I know of a situation where someone legally changed their name to "Q". They then sued an insurance company because the insurance company only offered first name and last name fields and the person argued that their name was neither and they shouldn't be forced to enter it in one or the other.

Lisa Phifer:Email address is often required by the registration process

Stephanie Perrin:Marc made my point, need a pull down list for preferred method of contact

Stephanie Perrin:preferred

Michele Neylon:I've had to create a temp email for a client in the past

Michele Neylon:they had phone + fax - they didn't have an email address

Benny Samuelson / Nordreg AB:+1 Rod

Michele Neylon:Very valid point Rod

Michael Hammer:Rod, can you contact me offline via smoke signal?

Benny Samuelson / Nordreg AB:collected but not displayed

neil schwartzman:i'd like the name of my carrier pigeon in my whois record

steve metalitz:Multiple contact points facilitate contactability. Why eliminate?

Michele Neylon:Steve - exactly

Michele Neylon:as long as they're optional

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):multiple copies of the same data - useless

Michele Neylon:I think we're still obliged to include fax even though nobody uses it

neil schwartzman:yep yep steve. redundancy. i've a sore i'm dealing with that has some issues with their phones atm.

Rod Rasmussen:@Maxim - completely agree that putting stuff in multiple times is not useful - that's why you create contact objects with roles attached to it. Enter data once, list it where needed.

Rod Rasmussen:@Steve - not limiting to one method - making sure at least one works!

Michele Neylon:Rod - I wish somebody would tell a couple of the registries that ..

Michael Hammer:Interesting question... How do we accommodate/account for new technology/communications method?

Michael Hammer:other method field?

Michele Neylon:see you all soon

Fabricio Vayra:Thanks, all

Kris Seeburn:actually if one looks at the approach a lot of the fields could become sub elements such as contact address,, email, etc.,

Tim O'Brien:I will most likely miss next week - in Vegas for Black Hat & DEFCON

Michael Hammer:Me too

Herb Wayne Ombuds:Very interesting discussions, have a great day everyone

Michael Hammer:Well, defcon.

Rod Rasmussen:Enjoy Vegas folks!

Kris Seeburn:bye...tc