Michelle DeSmyter:Welcome to the IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms Working Group call on Thursday, 25 May 2017 at 16:00 UTC for 90 minutes. Michelle DeSmyter: Meeting agenda page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A community.icann.org x RhPfAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSV zgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe 5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=SqnPJZ9REvmZ52R6gQ06JUeAVdjcMpa2P6lzb1 ph38A&s=6KWOqZ8uB7V1X31G7TQ96Gb7hKx-bqir1JQLF lbsZA&e= George Kirikos:Hi folks. Petter Rindforth:Hi George George Kirikos: Hi Petter. Paul Tattersfield: Hi George, Petter George Kirikos:Hi Paul. George Kirikos:What's a quorum? 5? Osvaldo Novoa:Hello all George Kirikos:Hi Osvaldo. Steve Chan: Hi George, it's up to chair discretion. George Kirikos: We have 5 now. Welcome, Mason. Steve Chan: At least we have 4 + chair now though! Mason Cole:Greetings George Kirikos:Hi again. George Kirikos: Adobe totally died on me. George Kirikos: I sent some of my thoughts on the discussion document to the mailing list, see: http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2017-May/000742.html Steve Chan:@Petter, It's available on the Wiki - I can provide a link George Kirikos:Certainty as to applicable law --- not certainty as to the result, though. George Kirikos: (law and venue) Steve Chan: You can find a number of background documents on the Wiki here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A community.icann.org display gnsoicrpmpdp Background-2BDocuments&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5c M&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe 5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsj Wv9&m=SqnPJZ9REvmZ52R6gQ06JUeAVdjcMpa2P6lzb1ph38A&s=emqD 4hhWtPBr iXgYWNmDS-QVMd1V3doYM4PZ1u1pD8&e= Steve Chan: The specific WIPO document is here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A community.icann.org download attachments 48347918 WIPO-2520SCT-2520paper-2520on-2520de-2520novo-2520appeal-2520mechanism-2520-2D-25202003.doc-3Fversion-3D1-26modificationDate-3D1427406287000-26api-3Dv2&d=DwICaO&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8 W

hWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=S qnPJZ9REvmZ52R6gQ06JUeAVdjcMpa2P61zb1ph38A&s=qHLWacPpItdt4Ejg6WOS deXsuoeLL4Yy-DkRH8GugUU&e=

Jay Chapman: reasonable, legitimate points, George.

Paul Tattersfield:intersting points George, thanks for explaining them

George Kirikos:New, in terms of the finality, since Option 1 is a final decision in court, vs. Option 2 is a final decision with less transparency.

Jay Chapman:Would option 2 have the effect of preventing a registrant from going to a court of mutual prior to the rendering of a UDRP decision?

George Kirikos:Good point, Jay.

Paul Tattersfield:Perhaps we could have the best of both options, go with option 1 and recommend the RPM WG looks at offering an arbitration option only with agreement from both parties for all domain names not just IGO names?

George Kirikos:@Paul: no need for a policy on that, as parties can always voluntarily decide to do so.

Jay Chapman: Even if the answer to my question is

"No", wouldn't the practical effect of option 2 be encouraging a court to punt?

Jay Chapman: That's a valid point as well, George.

Paul Tattersfield:@george in the us yes but not in the uk for example

George Kirikos:Where is the IGO special procedure created by the USPTO??

George Kirikos:I'm sure TM holders want all the protections of the courts, and wouldn't agree to procedural changes that reduce their rights simply because an opponent is an IGO.

Paul Tattersfield:6ter listing?

George Kirikos:(i.e if an IGO wanted to challenge an issued TM in the US, for example)

Paul Tattersfield:in rem even?

Jay Chapman: just for clarification, how many people are in the "Full" wg?

George Kirikos:28:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

<u>3A</u><u>community.icann.org_pages_viewpage.action-3FpageId-</u>

3D48347895&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM &r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjW v9&m=SqnPJZ9REvmZ52R6gQ06JUeAVdjcMpa2P6lzb1ph38A&s=QiX-

h51DHHu3RXHWTZche5YQ_AtVkh_QSS5W8N2JTk8&e=

Steve Chan: Jay, the membership list is here:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

<u>3A</u><u>community.icann.org_pages_viewpage.action-3FpageId-</u>

3D48347895&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM &r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjW v9&m=SqnPJZ9REvmZ52R6gQ06JUeAVdjcMpa2P61zb1ph38A&s=QiX-

h51DHHu3RXHWTZche5YQ_AtVkh_QSS5W8N2JTk8&e=

George Kirikos:Lots of inactive members.

Jay Chapman:We've averaged less than 10 for the past several months on the calls

Paul

Tattersfield:<u>https://community.icann.org/display/gnsoicrpmpdp/Att</u> endance+Records

George Kirikos:Weight the voting by attendance, perhaps. :-) Jay Chapman:thx Paul, Steve

George Kirikos:That's a good idea.

George Kirikos: "Registration OR use" vs. "AND", but also the rest of the terms on page 6 (section 4(a)) reflect gaming by IGOs, to rewrite the legal test to make it easier for them to win.

George Kirikos: And the last part, "must prove that ANY of the elements" instead of ALL elements, etc.

Paul Tattersfield:@george strange how indpendent people would try and make those extra changes along wih the main issues

George Kirikos:Page 34, very last point, also raises the point of treating new gTLDs differently from existing domain names.

George Kirikos: That's what they claim, but the small group proposal was also one-sided.

George Kirikos: We saw their small group proposal.

Paul Tattersfield:but the small group report was exparte this was a staff draft

George Kirikos:We already know IGOs have used the existing UDRP successfully. Just need to educate them more, on their existing options.

George Kirikos:@Paul: yes, was referencing Petter's statement that the IGOs only wanted a tweak of the UDRP. But, their small group report was more than a tweak.

George Kirikos: I wrote about the 2007 staff report back in 2007, see CircleID blog post:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-

3A www.circleid.com_posts_710118-5Fshort-5Fdomain-5Fnames-5Figo-5Fudrp_&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r= 8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9& m=SqnPJZ9REvmZ52R6gQ06JUeAVdjcMpa2P6lzb1ph38A&s=3y2WfQEzyre5fpG59 piEwoLqbMaTWgr9XraAGli38TQ&e=

George Kirikos:Recall (as pointed out in the comments to that blog post) all the fear-mongering about the .biz TLD raised by the Bank for International Settlements.

George Kirikos: Too much FUD created by IGOs.

George Kirikos:We can use the small group proposal, perhaps? George Kirikos:Other mailing list, with IGOs: http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/discussion-igo-rc/2017-May/date.html

Steve Chan:@Petter, I tried to adjust the action item to take into account your comments just now. Please let know if I've not captured it correctly.

Steve Chan:Nothing from me Petter, thanks Osvaldo Novoa:Thank you and Bye George Kirikos:Bye folks! Paul Tattersfield:thanks Petter, All, bye Jay Chapman:Thanks, all