

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, Welcome to the Privacy and Proxy Services Accreditation IRT Meeting on Tuesday, 20 June 2017 at 14:00 UTC.

Chris Pelling:afternoon all

Chris Pelling:great hold music

Chris Pelling:hold music now gone :)

Amy Bivins>Welcome, Chris!

Amy Bivins:Sorry to cut off your music :)

Chris Pelling:no, not me :) it wasnt bad though :)

Chris Pelling:sounded kinda country and wesern :p

Chris Pelling:western even

Amy Bivins:haha, I never get to hear it bc I'm the host, so every time I dial in it stops

Chris Pelling:whoever that noise is - please stop it :)

Theo Geurts:sounds like wind tunnel to me

steve metalitz:sorry, heavy equipment operating outside -- OK now that I have muted?

Theo Geurts:yes Steve

Theo Geurts:and that must be rather annoying to have that noise around you

Chris Pelling:wow Steve, iof thats outside, Id move office, you must have a serious unpleasant headache at the end of the day (and not from just us either) :)

Amy Bivins:HI everyone! We will get started in a couple of minutes

steve metalitz:Who are the volunteer spokespeople?

Jennifer Gore:Looking forward to seeing you all at the session in Jo'burg.

Chris Pelling:@Jen arent you on safari ?

Alex Deacon:if they don't have a contact already - they will go to the abuse contact.

Chris Pelling:Similar to RADAR for registrars, RADAR for LEA

Chris Pelling:but of course RADAR is not going to be around for long

Theo Geurts:hmmm radar!

Chris Pelling:no, but a central place we can check, ICANN keeps up to date

Eric Rokobauer:otherwise, yes to Alex's response above...there is always the abuse contact

steve metalitz:Database of LE contacts is impractical in countries like US with thousands of LE agencies

Chris Pelling:@Steve then how do you expect 1000+ registrars to keep this information always up to date ? we need a central repository

Ashley Heineman:How about a cental database managed by ICANN of abuse contacts at the providers? Does something like that

already exist? Otherwise, is verifying LEA within jurisdiction in which the provider has a presence really that difficult? Do we have documented cases to show that difficulty?

steve metalitz:@Chris recall the US scenario is only an issue for US providers. It may be more practical in other countries with more centralized LE systems

steve metalitz:@Theo, only an issue for providers in Estonia!

steve metalitz:Yes read 1.1 of the document

Chris Pelling:@all, so great, LEA of our own country ONLY, and no other part of the world.

Chris Pelling:I can live with that COMMENT above

Alex Deacon:@ ashley - agree. I assume that verifying LEA withing the jurisdiction isn't difficult. It may take "some time" the first time but after that no verification (and thus delay) is required.

steve metalitz:Note Ashley's suggestion. Maybe ICANN could compile a list of provider LE contact points and make that available to LE.

Chris Pelling:@Steve, I would only agree with that if it is not public, or, if it is public, the email addresses are images and cannot be scraped COPMMENT

Chris Pelling:COMMENT *

steve metalitz:Abuse contact needs to be public. But LE contact, not necessarily.....

Theo Geurts:right

Theo Geurts:so that would have several consequences to set that up

steve metalitz:RAA already has two-tier contact system --- I believe -- one for LE, one general abuse contact.

Alex Deacon:something to consider at least....

steve metalitz:@Amy could you restate "the approach" you are talking about?

Theo Geurts:@Amy, go for it

steve metalitz:Note that abue point of contact must be public per WG report

steve metalitz:*abuse*

steve metalitz:Got it Amy, abuse contact not necessarily the same as LE contact

Theo Geurts:Exactly

Roger Carney:Thanks Amy!

Francisco Arias:<http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-arias-noguchi-registry-data-escrow>

Francisco Arias:<https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-arias-noguchi-dnrd-objects-mapping-06>

Chris Pelling:COMMENT: "draft" surely this is registry stuff and not registrar stuff *as Roger is saying"

Chris Pelling:so there will be 2 different types now, one for registrars who already data escrow and one if allowed for NA-TPPPs ?

Volker Greimann:actually, there should be no deposit "on behalf". The registrar deposit should also function as the PPS deposit

Volker Greimann:deposit once for both

Chris Pelling:RAA does specify it, ask Owen ;)

Chris Pelling:We already have a format

Chris Pelling:ask Owen

Chris Pelling:Compliance were quite happy to get humpy with me and shove down my throat sections I argued did not actually define the deposit data

Chris Pelling:COMMENT

Chris Pelling:Registrars are required to deposit the data in the Whois output (e.g. the privacy or proxy contact info) through the following sections of the RAA: - Section 3.6 requires escrow of elements in 3.4.1.2 through 3.4.1.5- Section 3.4.1.2 in turn requires registrars to collect and maintain the data elements listed in Sections 3.3.1.1 through 3.3.1.8- Sections 3.3.1.1 through 3.3.1.8 refer to the data elements in the Whois output, in this case the privacy/proxy details Regarding the technical format of the deposit, please follow up with Iron Mountain to confirm that.

Chris Pelling: /COMMENT

Francisco

Arias:<https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/registrar-data-escrow-2015-12-01-en>

Francisco Arias:which includes a link to https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_rde_rde-2Dspects-2D09nov07.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_whWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjr sjWv9&m=3I_h014YMSi2ijAJURyRTvc9hnN7Dq-ftw2IOvDsc4&s=JjXvU1Ed3zfBN76CgY5xw9GINMw7AT95ZXVwmAZjeCY&e=

Chris Pelling:QUESTION - why do we want to change this ? the current CSV works very easy and very simply

Roger Carney:Thanks Francisco, but if you follow this, at least IM, will fail your deposit as it is not exactly what they expect

Chris Pelling:COMMENT: Leave it as CSV then and keep it simple

Chris Pelling:lawyers will / might want to do this...

Francisco Arias:the format does support CSV or XML to the option of the entity making the deposit

steve metalitz:@Amy when will we be sending our marked up document to PSWG this week? Hope they can get it well in advance of Joburg meeting.

Eric Rokobauer:thanks Amy! Thanks everyone!

Roger Carney:Thanks!!

Chris Pelling:Thanks all

Chris Pelling:~)