

Chat Transcript - NomCom RWP Meeting | 17 May 2018 @ 20:00 UTC!

12:58:40 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Are we using this chat as well?

12:58:56 From Yvette Guigneaux : yes we are

12:59:04 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : :-)

12:59:18 From vandascartezini : hi all , nice to hear you all so well!

12:59:35 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Hi Vanda

13:00:06 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Do we have any apologies?

13:00:28 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Hi Lars

13:00:57 From Yvette Guigneaux : None sent to the secretariat as of yet Vanda

13:01:15 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : That was my question but thx

13:01:43 From vandascartezini : i managed to be available

13:01:44 From angie.graves : Hi Cheryl ~ No apologies were received by me.

13:01:53 From angie.graves : thank you, Vanda

13:01:57 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Hi Team glad you could join

13:02:44 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Friday here in the antipodeas

13:03:00 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Hi Nadira

13:03:35 From Nadira Al Araj : Hi Cheryl and all

13:04:44 From vandascartezini : hi nadira

13:05:01 From Nadira Al Araj : Hi Vanda

13:05:15 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes agree the AC/SO/ C / SG's need to be weighted more than say "my aunt Joans input"

13:10:37 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Tom?

13:12:32 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : YES please Greg

13:12:34 From vandascartezini : will be interesting to see

13:14:12 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Perhaps the Analysis Group can let us know their thinking by briefly running through Rec by Rec... Tom

13:15:06 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : modify and review

13:15:19 From vandascartezini : yeah Cheryl guess can be interesting to hear their perception of each point

13:15:36 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and any new input worthy of addition

13:17:38 From vandascartezini : better to follow tCheryl's idea to go point by point

13:18:02 From Analysis Group : Angie - Is is possible to give us control of the presentation so that we can present a document?

13:18:45 From vandascartezini : i understood you can share from your computer

13:19:16 From Yvette Guigneaux : hi AG - you should be able to share from your screen

13:19:35 From angie.graves : Yvette - Please allow Analysis Group...or "Andrew & Greg" control

13:21:31 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Deffinatly different Lars as a current NC could choose to implement in any given year what they can or like from the Recs

13:25:17 From vandascartezini : we had in the pass and was possible to do during our normal time together

13:25:36 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes the Trg options up front will be a boon

13:26:35 From vandascartezini : agree with 5 - experience on the process and ICANn is fundamental and takes time

13:27:19 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes I am not supportive of the ALAC's (nieve in my opinion) views on 5 re External CONsultants

13:29:01 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Of course the GAC is still running a working party on its involvement

13:29:06 From vandascartezini : any country can "reprint"other is a vote position. so agree GAC hall no voing position

13:29:22 From vandascartezini : reprint= represent

13:33:24 From vandascartezini : i believe some criteria clearly define for nomcom members to help select adequate persons for this position

13:33:28 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : The framework would be useful

13:34:42 From vandascartezini : guess it is. some decision some times can demand quick responses from ICANN

13:40:02 From angie.graves : Comments addressed members, too, on this one (NCSG)

13:40:31 From Nadira Al Araj : the diversity in ICANN bylaw covers only regional diversity
I think

13:42:46 From vandascartezini : yes Andira only geographic though had been always a an
effort from NOMCOM itself to focus on gender diversity too

13:43:47 From Nadira Al Araj : the nomcom does include different variable of diversity

13:48:30 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Thanks for that run through of initial reactions

13:49:40 From vandascartezini : guess we already had in the apss

13:49:52 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Board Member 360's are a different matter to a
Board Self Assesment

13:51:08 From vandascartezini : the clear response about the internal performance of a
selected board member is fundamental to add knowledge to NOMCOM

13:52:37 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Yes todays review woth AG has been quite helpful
.... Thanks

13:53:52 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : All good from my POV thanks Angie

13:54:03 From vandascartezini : looks good and feasible

13:55:16 From vandascartezini : yes good point

13:55:24 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : ICANN 62 is a POLICY meeting not sure why we
need a public Meeting as opposed to an open Working Meeting.

13:56:15 From vandascartezini : cheryl probably a public meeting is not full aligned with
the spirit of ICANN 62

13:57:05 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and re Kick Off we may not have many of our team
actually in Panama

13:57:26 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Set that up for after ICANN 62

13:57:40 From vandascartezini : real cheryl. at least I am the one that will not be there

13:58:07 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : we would need to know who may be travelling

13:59:21 From Nadira Al Araj : ;)

14:00:56 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : I mean IF there is enough of us there to informally
set up a gathering sure... But I am not overly confident in a SHORT meeting Finding an
unconflicted time *will be a challenge

14:01:51 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : and that was alonger non Policy meeting

14:01:57 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Just saying ;-)

14:02:04 From Nadira Al Araj : thank you

14:02:26 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Thanks AG been great to go through things with you
today

14:02:26 From tom barrett : thanks for everyone for attending today

14:02:30 From Cheryl Langdon-Orr : Bye for now

14:02:52 From vandascartezini : thank you all!